#### NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# NeuroImage



urolmage

YNIMG-11418; No. of pages: 11; 4C: 2, 3, 6, 9

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

# Microstructural differences in the thalamus and thalamic radiations in the congenitally deaf

# Q1 C. Rebecca Lyness<sup>a,\*</sup>, I. Alvarez<sup>b</sup>, Martin I. Sereno<sup>a,c</sup>, Mairéad MacSweeney<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Cognitive and Perceptual Brain Sciences, 26 Bedford Way, University College London, London WC1H 0AP, UK

5 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Child Health, University College London, London WC1N 1EH, UK

6 <sup>c</sup> Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, Bloomsbury, London WC1E 7HX, UK

<sup>d</sup> Deafness, Cognition & Language Research Centre, 49 Gordon Square, University College London, London WC1H 0PD, UK

8 e Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, 17 Queen Square, London WC1H 3AR, UK

#### 9 ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
 Accepted 28 May 2014
 Available online xxxx

12 Wullable officie

13 Keywords:

- 14 Diffusion weighted MRI
- 15 Deafness
- 16 Thalamus

29 **30** 32

## 17 Neuroplasticity

### ABSTRACT

There is evidence of both crossmodal and intermodal plasticity in the deaf brain. Here, we investigated whether 18 sub-cortical plasticity, specifically of the thalamus, contributed to this reorganisation. We contrasted diffusion 19 weighted magnetic resonance imaging data from 13 congenitally deaf and 13 hearing participants, all of whom 20 had learnt British Sign Language after 10 years of age. Connectivity based segmentation of the thalamus revealed 21 changes to mean and radial diffusivity in occipital and frontal regions, which may be linked to enhanced periph-22 eral visual acuity, and differences in how visual attention is deployed in the deaf group. Using probabilistic 23 tractography, tracts were traced between the thalamus and its cortical targets, and microstructural measure-24 ments were extracted from these tracts. Group differences were found in microstructural measurements of 25 occipital, frontal, somatosensory, motor and parietal thalamo-cortical tracts. Our findings suggest that there is 26 sub-cortical plasticity in the deaf brain, and that white matter alterations can be found throughout the deaf 27 brain, rather than being restricted to, or focussed in the auditory cortex. 28

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

### 34 Introduction

There is evidence of a number of different plastic processes in the 35 deaf brain, which occur in response to, and to compensate for the atyp-36 ical sensory environment. These include crossmodal (Fine et al., 2005; 37 Finney et al., 2001; MacSweeney et al., 2004; Nishimura et al., 1999; 38 39 Petitto et al., 2000), and intermodal plasticity (Bottari et al., 2011; 40 Buckley et al., 2010; Codina et al., 2011), in addition to the dystrophic changes which occur in the auditory cortex (Emmorey et al., 2003; Li 41 et al., 2012). The thalamus is an important structure for regulating 42both the flow of information into the cortex and between cortical 43 44areas. Whether this structure is altered in congenitally deaf humans has not yet been investigated. 45

Crossmodal plasticity is evident in the congenitally deaf brain. 46 47 Activation in the secondary auditory cortices has been robustly demonstrated in fMRI studies in response to a wide range of visual stimuli, 48 including sign language (MacSweeney et al., 2002; Petitto et al., 2000), 4950biological motion (MacSweeney et al., 2004), as well as more simple visual stimuli such as dot motion (Finney et al., 2001). Controversy 5152remains as to whether there is visual colonisation of Heschl's gyrus, the typical site of primary auditory cortex. In deaf people, activation in 5354 response to visual stimuli has been reported in studies using spatial

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* c.rebeccalyness@gmail.com (C. Rebecca Lyness). normalisation procedures (Finney et al., 2001), and in studies which 55 do not contrast visual stimuli to a resting baseline (Karns et al., 2012, 56 Scott et al., 2014). However, Cardin (2013) did not find activation in a Q2 Q3 cytoarchitectonically based definition of primary auditory cortex when 58 visual stimuli were contrasted to a resting baseline in deaf participants. 59

Somatosensory processing has been shown to be enhanced (Levanen 60 and Hamdorf, 2001), and reorganised into auditory cortex in deaf people 61 (Auer et al., 2007; Karns et al., 2012; Levanen et al., 1998). The use of 62 spatial normalisation to a common template for MRI data (Auer et al., 63 2007), and MEG data (Levanen et al., 1998) preclude confident anatom- 64 ical localisation of this activation to primary auditory cortex. However, 65 when anatomical definitions of the regions are used, there is strong ev- 66 idence of somatosensory takeover of primary auditory cortex (Karns 67 et al., 2012). Findings from the animal literature concur with this also 68 (Allman et al., 2009; Meredith et al., 2012). Single unit recordings from 69 the auditory cortex of early deafened ferrets (oto-toxic lesions) have 70 demonstrated somatosensory afferents in auditory cortex (Meredith 71 and Allman, 2012). Tracer injections to the auditory core of these deaf-72 ened animals revealed the same auditory thalamo-cortical projection 73 sources as the hearing ferrets, which the authors interpreted as indicat-74 ing that rather than new or unmasked latent projections, reorganisation 75 occurred at the level of the brainstem (Meredith and Allman, 2012). 76

In addition, there is evidence of intermodal plasticity in deafness. 77 Deafness enhances detection of both static and motion targets in the 78 visual periphery (Loke and Song, 1991; Neville and Lawson, 1987b). 79

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.077 1053-8119/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

2

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

### C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

This behavioural advantage is thought to facilitate the orienting to tar-80 81 gets in the absence of sound (Merabet and Pascual-Leone, 2010). These changes have been linked to increases in the area of neural rim 82 83 within the optic nerve head, and thicker retinal nerve fibre layer in temporal (peripheral) retina (Codina et al., 2011), and in primary visual cor-84 tex (Lyness et al., 2013). Differences in visual event-related potentials 04 (ERPs) have also been observed in early visual cortex in deaf groups, 86 87 which in turn were correlated with improved performance in a visual 88 target detection task (Bottari et al., 2011).

89 That the function of a brain region is tightly coupled with its extrinsic 90 anatomical connections is a widely held assumption in neuroscience. It follows that the inputs to a region affect what information is available to 91a region, and where the outputs of a region terminate determines the 9293 influence that a region will have. Empirical tests of this hypothesis have supported this assumption (Passingham et al., 2002; Saygin 94 et al., 2011), and indeed, anatomical connectivity data can be used to 95 define functionally distinct regions (Behrens et al., 2003, 2006; 96 97 Johansen-Berg et al., 2004; Rushworth et al., 2006). Thus we argue that functional imaging studies concerning plasticity as a result of deaf-98 ness should be considered in the context of changes to anatomical con-99 nectivity patterns. This complimentary approach may elucidate why 100 certain patterns of reorganisation are seen in one brain region or modal-101 102 ity, but not others.

Plastic change in the deaf brain may occur via a number of different 103 mechanisms, none of which are mutually exclusive, and are likely have 104 a different impact depending on the brain region (Bavelier and Neville, 1052002). For example, visual activation in secondary auditory cortices may 106 107occur through synaptic reweighting of these regions, which typically act as a site for audiovisual integration (Calvert et al., 2000; Lee and 108 Noppeney, 2011; McGettigan et al., 2012). Alternatively, the 'brainstem 109theory of crossmodal reorganisation' proposes that neither new nor 110 111 latent projections are responsible for reorganisation, but instead, so-112matosensory inputs are able to takeover dormant auditory inputs found in the typically developing auditory brainstem at several nodes 113 (Meredith and Allman, 2012). Subcortical connectivity changes have 114 been suggested to contribute to crossmodal reorganisation as a result 115of congenital deafness, however, research into this possibility has as 116 yet been limited to animal studies (Proksch and Bavelier, 2002). 117

Here, we investigate how congenital deafness affects the thalamus, 118 and thalamo-cortical projections. The thalamus has a critical role in reg-119 ulating the flow of information into the cortex, as a substantial amount 120 121 of information coming into the cortex does so through the thalamus (Sherman, 2007). In addition, and perhaps more importantly, the thala-122123 mus mediates cortico-thalamo-cortical connections, which make it 124 ideally positioned functionally and anatomically to modulate a variety of different cognitive functions, which include emotion, motivation 125126and multimodal perception (Jones, 2009; Sherman, 2007). Based on the overlapping nature of projections from different sensory modalities, 127the thalamus has additionally been suggested as a site of multimodal in-128terplay (Cappe et al., 2009a,b). This has led to recent interest in the func-129tional consequences of thalamic stroke (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 1301312006), and the role of the thalamus in neurodevelopmental disorders 132such as autism spectrum disorder (Nair et al., 2013). Therefore, it is possible that looking at changes to the anatomy of the thalamus and 133thalamo-cortical tracts may illuminate the functional consequences of 134auditory deprivation. 135

Diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) is cur-136 rently the only method for characterising neural tissue microstructure 137 and reconstructing white matter tracts in vivo. Magnetic field gradients 138 are used to sensitise the MRI signal acquisition to the displacement of 139water molecules due to Brownian motion. The application of diffusion 140 gradients along multiple geometric directions allows the estimation of 141 directional molecule displacement in the tissue sampled (Johansen-142 Berg and Rushworth, 2009). These data can be summarised by a diffu-143 sion tensor model, which describes the magnitude of the three principal 144 145 axes of molecule displacement at each voxel sampled. Diffusion of water molecules is hindered by tissue properties, and in the case of white mat-146ter these include (but are not specific to) axonal ordering, axonal densi-147ty and the degree of myelination (Johansen-Berg and Behrens, 2006).148These underlying tissue properties can be approximated by using149tensor-derived microstructural metrics. These include fractional anisot-150ropy (degree to which the first eigenvector dominates the second two),151mean diffusivity (overall water diffusion in the specific voxel), and radi-152al diffusivity (diffusion perpendicular to the principal eigenvector of the153diffusion tensor).154

Tractography with DW-MRI involves reconstructing continuous 155 long range trajectories from voxel-wise estimates of the fibre orienta- 156 tion (Jones et al., 2013). From a seed region, streamlines can be traced 157 in a probabilistic iterative fashion to determine the most likely path of 158 the white matter tract of interest (Behrens et al., 2003). Tractography 159 can be used to determine whether tracts exist between regions, and 160 also to compare tracts in terms of their microstructural properties 161 between groups (Johansen-Berg and Rushworth, 2009). Additionally, 162 connectivity based segmentations of anatomical structures can be com- 163 pleted, in which structures are segmented on the basis of the highest 164 probability of connection with different anatomical targets (Behrens 165 et al., 2003). Behrens et al., first demonstrated this by generating a con- 166 nectivity based segmentation of the thalamus, which closely resembled 167 those derived from both animal anatomical tract tracing studies (Jones, 168 1985), and histological analyses (Morel et al., 1997). 169

DW-MRI data only detects the axis of diffusion (Johansen-Berg and 170 Rushworth, 2009), and so we cannot differentiate between anatomical 171 connections carrying information from the thalamus to its cortical targets (thalamo-cortical feedforward connections) from those carrying 173 information from cortical targets to the thalamus (cortico-thalamic 174 feedback connections). For simplicity, and to indicate that we have 175 traced from thalamus to cortex, throughout this paper we refer to 176 these tracts as thalamo-cortical connections with the understanding 177 that they are likely to incorporate both feedforward and feedback 178 connections. 179

To investigate the possible influence of congenital deafness on the 180 anatomy of the thalamus, we first parcellated the thalamus based on 181 connectivity profiles with its primary cortical targets. We contrasted 182 the scalar microstructural measures of fractional anisotropy (FA), 183 mean diffusivity (MD), and radial diffusivity (RD) in each parcellation 184 between deaf and hearing groups. Second, to investigate the possibility 185 of altered thalamo-cortical connectivity in congenital deafness, we reconstructed the tracts between the thalamus and its primary cortical 187 targets, extracted microstructural measures from each of these tracts, 188 and then contrasted these between deaf and hearing groups. 189



**Fig. 1.** Cortical target masks are demonstrated in a representative participant. The cortex has been divided into frontal (dark blue), motor (light blue), somatosensory (green), parietal (purple), temporal (orange) and occipital (yellow) regions.

# <u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

### t1.1 Table 1

 ${\rm t1.2}$   $\,$   $\,$  Freesurfer labels from the Destrieux atlas which were merged from each hemisphere in

Cortical target	Labels	
Occipital	<ul> <li>*h.S_oc_middle_and_Lunatus</li> <li>*h.G_and_S_occipital_inf</li> <li>*h.G_occipital_middle</li> <li>*h.G_occipital_sup</li> <li>*h.h.G_oc-temp_lat-fusifor</li> <li>*h.Pole_occipital</li> <li>*h.G_cuneus</li> <li>*h.G_oc-temp_med-Lingual</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>*h.S_calcarine</li> <li>*h.S_collat_transv_post</li> <li>*h.S_oc_middle_and_Lunatus</li> <li>*h.S_oc_sup_and_transversal</li> <li>*h.S_occipital_ant</li> <li>*h.S_oc-temp_lat</li> <li>*h.S_oc-temp_med_and_Linguation</li> </ul>
Parietal	<ul> <li>*h.S_subparietal</li> <li>*h.G_parietal_sup</li> <li>*h.G_pariet_inf-Supramar</li> <li>*h.G_precuneus</li> <li>*h.S_parieto_occipital</li> <li>*h.G_pariet_inf-Angular</li> <li>*h.S_intrapariet_and_P_trans</li> </ul>	
Temporal	<ul> <li>*h.G_temp_sup-G_I_transv</li> <li>*h.G_temp_sup-Lateral</li> <li>*h.G_temp_sup-Plan_polar</li> <li>*h.G_temp_sup-Plan_tempo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>*h.S_temporal_sup</li> <li>*h.S_temporal_transverse</li> <li>*h.Pole_temporal</li> <li>*h.S_interm_prim-Jensen</li> </ul>
Frontal	<ul> <li>*h.G_temporal_inf</li> <li>*h.G_temporal_middle</li> <li>*h.S_temporal_inf</li> <li>*h.S_collat_transv_ant</li> <li>*h.G_front_inf-Opercular</li> <li>*h.G_front_inf-Orbital</li> <li>*h.G_front_inf-Triangul</li> <li>*h.G_front_middle</li> <li>*h.G_and_S_frontomargin</li> <li>*h.G_rectus</li> <li>*h.S_front_inf</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>*h.Lat_Fis-post</li> <li>*h.S_orbital-H_Shaped</li> <li>*h.Lat_Fis-ant-Horizont</li> <li>*h.Lat_Fis-ant-Vertical</li> <li>*h.S_front_middle</li> <li>*h.G_front_sup</li> <li>*h.S_suborbital</li> <li>*h.S_front_sup</li> </ul>
Motor	<ul> <li>*h.S_orbital_lateral</li> <li>*h.S_orbital_med-olfact</li> <li>*h.G_precentral</li> <li>*h.S_precentral-inf-part</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>*h,G_and_S_subcentral</li> </ul>
Somatosensory	<ul> <li>*h.S_precentral-sup-part</li> <li>*h.S_central</li> <li>*h.S_postcentral</li> <li>*h.G_postcentral</li> <li>*h.G_postcentral</li> </ul>	

#### 190 Method

### 191 Participants

Thirty right-handed participants were scanned. Fifteen were con-192genitally deaf and 15 were hearing. The participants were either severe-193 ly or profoundly deaf in both ears. The participants were screened to 194195ensure that they had no previous neurological or psychiatric history, current health problems, and were not taking psychoactive medication. 196 One male deaf participant was excluded due to excessive motion arte-197facts, and a further deaf and a hearing male were excluded due to 198poor image quality. One hearing female participant was found to have 199

an arteriovenous malformation, and was excluded from further analy- 200 sis. This left 13 hearing (10 female) and 13 deaf (7 female) participants. 201 For the 13 deaf participants, 5 were deaf through maternal rubella, 3 202 reported genetics as their cause of deafness, and 5 had an unknown 203 cause of deafness. As vascular lesions causing intellectual disability can 204 also occur as a result of maternal rubella, all images were screened by 205 one of the authors who is an experienced neuroanatomist (MIS). No 206 other neuroanatomical anomalies were detected. Furthermore, all deaf 207 participants were either in skilled employment or higher education at 208 the time of testing. The groups (following exclusion) did not differ in 209 terms of age (t(24) = -0.11, p = 0.921, hearing mean 38.7(sd = 8.1), 210 deaf mean 39.08 (sd = 11.08)). 211

Here, we study deaf people who did not learn British Sign Language 212 (BSL) until 10 years of age, as previous studies of the neural bases of vi- 213 sual motion processing have reported an interaction between the influ- 214 ence of deafness and native acquisition of sign language (Bavelier et al., 215 2001; Neville and Lawson, 1987a). All deaf participants were born to 216 hearing parents. To control for the effect of having learnt a visual man- 217 ual language, we recruited hearing participants who had also learnt BSL 218 after the age of 10. The deaf group was younger than the hearing group 219 when they began to learn (t(24) = 3.263, p = 0.003, hearing mean 25.6 220 (sd = 7.63), deaf mean 17.29 (sd = 4.68)). Many of the hearing group 221 used BSL in a professional context as interpreters, teachers of the 222 deaf or researchers in the field. With regard to language use before 223 exposure to BSL, of the 13 deaf participants, 11 reported that they 224 could fluently converse with hearing people in everyday situations 225 through the use of lip-reading. This suggests that for these deaf par- 226 ticipants, spoken English was used as a robust and secure first 227 language. The remaining 2 reported that they were unable to make 228 use of speechreading in everyday situations, which indicates that 229 they may have insecure first language development. We additionally 230 completed the analyses excluding these participants, in order to test 231 whether they were driving any observed effects. None of the partic- 232 ipants were educated in BSL. Eleven deaf participants reported that 233 they were educated via spoken language only, whereas 2 reported 234 that their school made use of sign supported English (using manual 235 signs to support spoken English). 236

The study was approved by UCL Ethics Committee and the partici-237 pants provided informed consent. 238

### Imaging protocol

Data acquisition was carried out at the Birkbeck UCL Centre for 240 Neuroimaging using a 1.5T Siemens Avanto MRI scanner (Erlangen, 241 Germany). Diffusion weighted images were acquired by using a diffu- 242 sion weighted EPI sequence (TR = 7500 ms TE = 104 ms) with a 32 243 channel head coil. Whole brain volumes were acquired with 46 contig- 244 uous axial slices. Voxel size was 2.3 mm<sup>3</sup>. Diffusion-sensitizing encoding 245 gradients were applied in 64 directions (b = 1000s/mm<sup>2</sup>) and 1 vol- 246 ume was acquired without diffusion weighting (b = 0 s/mm<sup>2</sup>). 247



Fig. 2. The connectivity based thalamic parcellation is demonstrated in; a) axial, b) coronal and c) sagittal views. The thalamus has been divided into frontal (dark blue), motor (light blue), somatosensory (green), parietal (purple), temporal (orange) and occipital (yellow) regions.

Please cite this article as: Rebecca Lyness, C., et al., Microstructural differences in the thalamus and thalamic radiations in the congenitally deaf, NeuroImage (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroImage.2014.05.077

239

4

Table 2

#### t2.1 t2.2

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

### C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) and p values are displayed for the correlation of microstructural measurements from parcellations in either hemisphere.

t2.3		Frontal		Motor		Somatosei	nsory	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital	
t2.4		R <sup>2</sup>	р	R <sup>2</sup>	р								
t2.5	FA	0.4814	0.0128	0.1744	0.3942	0.3615	0.0696	0.1866	0.3614	0.1737	0.369	0.3187	0.1125
t2.6	MD	0.8714	< <b>0.001</b>	0.8829	< <b>0.001</b>	0.9004	< <b>0.001</b>	0.4067	0.0392	0.8589	< <b>0.001</b>	0.5307	0.1125
t2.7	RD	0.8775	< <b>0.001</b>	0.8636	<0.001	0.8369	<0.001	0.4073	< <b>0.039</b>	0.8526	<0.001	0.5101	0.0078

Two diffusion weighted scans were acquired from the participants in all instances, apart from one female hearing participant who had her second scan aborted due to reporting shoulder pain.

An MPRAGE structural sequence with voxel size of 1 mm<sup>3</sup>, flip angle of 7°, T1 = 1000 ms, TR = 8.4 ms, TE = 3.57 ms and BW = 190 Hz/pix was acquired, also by using the 32 channel head coil.

# 254 Image analysis

Cortical reconstruction was completed by using FreeSurfer 5.0.0 255 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). Comprehensive details of these 256procedures are provided in previous publications (Dale et al., 1999; 05 06 Q8 Q7 Fischl, 1999; Fischl and Dale, 2000; Fischl et al., 2001; Fischl et al., 2002; Fischl et al., 2004; Han et al., 2006; Jovicich et al., 2006; 09 011 Segonne et al., 2004). Briefly, brightness and contrast normalisation is **O12 O10** performed on the images, and then all non-brain tissues are removed 013259 Q14 with a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure (Segonne 261et al., 2004). Images then undergo Talairach transformation, subcortical white matter and deep grey matter structures are segmented (Fischl 015 et al., 2004), the grey white matter boundary is tessellated, topology 263017 016 automatically corrected (Fischl et al., 2001; Segonne et al., 2007), and surface deformation is performed by using intensity gradients to opti-265mally place the grey/white and grey/CSF borders where the greatest 266change in intensity signifies transition to the other tissue class (Dale 018 et al., 1999). 268

# 269 DW-MRI pre-processing

Table 3

+3.1

All processing and analysis of DW-MRI data were completed in FSL 2702715.0 (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/). Eddy current and movement correction were completed with the FMRIB Diffusion Toolbox (FDT). 272Following this, the two DW-MRI scans taken of each participant were 273averaged by taking the arithmetic mean of each voxel across scans. 274 275Each individual's structural T1 image was registered with their diffusion data using the FMRIB Linear Image Registration Tool (FLIRT). DTIFIT was 276then used to fit a diffusion tensor model and generate FA, MD and RD 277maps, and the BEDPOSTX toolbox was used subsequent to this to fit a 278ball-and-stick model to the data. The complexity of underlying tissue 279structure can be estimated, and this information incorporated in a 280 281 Bayesian manner into a crossing fibre model to account for situations in which two fibre bundles cross within a voxel (Behrens et al., 2007). 282 This algorithm runs Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling to build up 283distributions of diffusion parameters at each voxel, enabling the model-284285ling of crossing fibres within a voxel, and the number of crossing fibres present in each voxel (Behrens et al., 2007). 286

# Regions of interest

The FreeSurfer cortical and subcortical segmentation was used to 288 generate regions of interest (ROI). Specifically, the thalamus label 289 generated in either hemisphere was used for the seed mask. A total of 290 6 target masks were used, which included occipital, temporal, parietal 291 and frontal lobes, in addition to somatosensory cortex in the post central 292 gyrus, analogous to cortical targets for thalamic parcellation in Behrens 293 et al. (2003). Labels generated from the FreeSurfer cortical reconstruc- 294 tions were merged to form these regions, as demonstrated in Fig. 1. Spe 295 cific labels from the Destrieux atlas in FreeSurfer in each parcellation 296 are detailed in Table 1. These masks were additionally registered to 297 the diffusion data using FLIRT, and subsequently binarised in order to 298 carry out the tractography procedures. 299

### Connectivity based segmentation of thalamus

The probtrackx software in FDT was used to generate probabilistic 301 tracts from the seed ROI (thalamus) to the cortical target masks 302 (occipital/parietal/temporal/motor zone/somatosensory/frontal). 303 For every seed and target pair, 5000 streamlines were initiated, and 304 a curvature threshold of 0.2 was set in order to prevent the genera-305 tion of anatomically unlikely tracts. Step size was set to 0.5 mm, 306 and the number of steps to 2000. To reduce the complexity (and 307 resulting ambiguity) of the tractography, and as the thalamus is pre-308 dominantly unlikerally organised, only ipsilateral thalamo-cortical 309 connections were considered. An exclusion mask along the midline 310 of the contralateral hemisphere was generated to prevent the cross-311 ing of tracts into this region. 312

Following this, segmentation was performed with a 'winner takes all' 313 approach, whereby each voxel in the thalamus is classified based upon 314 the cortical target with which it has the highest probability of being connected to. The parcellations generated from this were thresholded so 316 that all tracts which did not have at least 3000 of the 5000 streamlines 317 (60%) reaching the target where discarded, in order to remove all connections with a low associated probability. The resulting images were then used as ROIs to extract FA, MD and RD values. 320

# Thalamo-cortical tracts

In addition to the thalamic parcellations, we examined tracts be- 322 tween the thalamus and individual cortical targets to determine wheth- 323 er changes in the thalamic parcellations were additionally associated 324 with changes in the tracts. Grey matter is more isotropic than white 325 matter, and as such, the signal to noise ratio is lower, making diffusion 326 indices in regions such as the thalamus relatively insensitive in 327

t3.2	Microstructural measurements for	or each thalamic parcellation	. T statistics and p values (with a FD	PR correction applied, $\alpha$	= 0.05) are provided, the deg	gree of freedom is 50 in all insta	ances.
+2.2	Frontal	Motor	Somatosensory	Temporal	Parietal	Occipital	

t3.3		Frontal	Frontal		Motor		Somatosensory			Parietal		Occipital	
t3.4		t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	р	р	t	р
t3.5	FA	1.4432	0.3791	-1.7911	0.2380	-1.8654	0.2380	-1.3974	0.3791	-0.8806	0.4985	0.1803	0.8577
t3.6	MD	-7.8439	< <b>0.001</b>	0.6783	0.5647	0.8713	0.4985	-0.5734	0.6024	-0.9473	0.4985	-3.5274	0.0055
t3.7	RD	-8.1209	<0.001	1.0848	0.4985	1.1505	0.4985	-0.6764	0.5647	-1.0010	0.4985	-3.4298	0.0055

Please cite this article as: Rebecca Lyness, C., et al., Microstructural differences in the thalamus and thalamic radiations in the congenitally deaf, NeuroImage (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroImage.2014.05.077

287

300

321

C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

### t4.1 Table 4

t4.2 Mean (standard deviation) for hearing and deaf groups in microstructural measurements in thalamic parcellations.

t4.3		Frontal		Motor zone		Somatosens	ory	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital	
t4.4		Hearing	Deaf	Н	D								
t4.5	FA	0.3458 (0.0202)	0.3371 (0.0252)	0.3954 (0.0666)	0.4251 (0.0521)	0.4135 (0.0479)	0.4338 (0.0278)	0.2966 (0.0249)	0.3093 (0.0393)	0.3468 (0.0283)	0.3556 (0.0420)	0.2767 (0.0501)	0.2744 (0.0379)
t4.6	MD	0.0009 (0.0001)	0.0011 (0.0001)	0.0008 (0.0002)	0.0008 (0.0001)	0.0008 (0.0001)	0.0007 (0.000)	0.0012 (0.0002)	0.0012 (0.0002)	0.0008 (0.0001)	0.0009 (0.0002)	0.0011 (0.0002)	0.0013 (0.0002)
t4.7	RD	0.0007 (0.0001)	0.0009 (0.0001)	0.0006 (0.0002)	0.0006 (0.0001)	0.0006 (0.0001)	0.0006 (0.000)	0.0010 (0.0002)	0.0010 (0.0002)	0.0007 (0.0001)	0.0007 (0.0002)	0.0010 (0.0002)	0.0012 (0.0002)

comparison to those measured in white matter. In order to keep 328 329 the analysis of tracts independent from the analysis of the thalamic parcellations, we used the entire thalamus as the seed region (as op-330 posed to the parcellation derived from the connectivity based segmen-331 tation). The same cortical target masks were used as before. Again, 5000 332 streamlines were initiated, a curvature threshold was set to 0.2, step size 333 was constrained to 0.5 mm and number of steps to 2000. To ensure 334 anatomical specificity of the tracts, we completed a 'winner takes all' 335 segmentation of cortical white matter voxels, in which when a voxel 336 appeared in more than one thalamo-cortical tract, it was removed 337 338 from all thalamo-cortical tracts, apart from the tract with the greatest 339 probability of connection (highest number of streamlines). The output of the tractography was thresholded at 60% in order to reduce the con-340tribution to the microstructural analysis of voxels with low connection 341 probability. 342

### 343 Results

### 344 Connectivity based segmentation of thalamus

We first completed a connectivity based segmentation of the thalamus, using 6 cortical targets including occipital, parietal, temporal and frontal cortex, the motor zone and primary somatosensory area. An example of the thalamic parcellation is provided in Fig. 2. The thalamic parcellations generated here are comparable to those generated by other researchers using this method (Behrens et al., 2003).

To determine whether microstructural measures recorded from the 351same thalamic parcellation in either hemisphere were independent, 352and so should be treated as such in statistical analyses, we first correlat-353 354 ed microstructural measurements from each parcellation measured in the right and left hemisphere. Table 2 shows the results of this analysis, 355 which demonstrates that MD and RD measures are highly correlated. FA 356 measures are correlated in the frontal parcellation, and there was also 357 a trend towards correlation in the somatosensory tract. As such, 358359we accounted for the non-independence of the hemispheres in the 360 analyses

For FA, MD and RD data, we used a repeated measures ANOVA with 361 a between-subject factor of group (deaf/hearing), 6 within-subject 362 factors of thalamic parcellation (occipital/temporal/parietal/motor 363 364 zone/somatosensory/frontal), and modelled participants as random ef-365 fects in order to account for correlated random errors between the hemispheres for each participant. For FA, there were main effects of 366 group (F(1,300) = 4.71, p = 0.031), parcellation (F(5,300) = 105.65, 367 p < 0.001), but no interaction between group and parcellation 368

(F(5,300) = 1.59, p = 0.162). For MD, there were main effects of 369 group (F(1,300) = 13.61, p < 0.001), parcellation (F(5,300) = 81.68, 370 p < 0.001), and an interaction between group and parcellation 371 (F(5,300) = 5.41, p < 0.001). Analysis of the RD measurements 372 revealed that there were main effects of group (F(1,300) = 12.05, 373 p = 0.001), parcellation (F(5,300) = 92.08, p < 0.001), and an inter-374 action between group and parcellation (F(5,300) = 5.95, p < 0.001). 375 Thus microstructural measurements in thalamic parcellations dif-376 fered between groups.

We further investigated these findings with post-hoc t-tests, the results of which are displayed in Table 3. The p values presented have had a false discovery rate correction (FDR) applied to control for multiple comparisons. This demonstrates that results were driven by the deaf group having increased MD and RD in both frontal and occipital thalamic parcellations. Table 4 shows mean values and standard deviations for microstructural measures for the groups in each thalamic parcellation. 384

To discern whether results were influenced by two of the deaf 385 participants potentially having insecure first language development, 386 we repeated the analyses excluding these two participants. For FA, 387 there were main effects of group (F(1,276) = 5.99, p = 0.015), 388 parcellation (F(5,276) = 101.05, p < 0.001), and a trend towards a signif- 389 icant interaction between group and parcellation (F(5,276) = 2.07, p = 3900.069). For MD, there were main effects of group (F(1,276) = 11.8, p = 3910.001), parcellation (F(5,276) = 76.81, p < 0.001), and an interaction be- 392 tween group and parcellation (F(5,276) = 5.98, p < 0.001). For RD, there 393 were main effects of group (F(1,276) = 10.76, p = 0.001), parcellation 394 (F(5,276) = 87.02, p < 0.001), and an interaction between group and 395 parcellation (F(5,276) = 6.64, p < 0.001). Again, we followed up these 396 results with post-hoc t-tests (Table 5), which revealed elevated MD 397 and RD values in the deaf group in both frontal and occipital thalamic 398 parcellations. This replicates the group results when these participants 399 were included. 400

#### Thalamo-cortical tracts

401

As a second analysis, we calculated microstructural measures in the 402 tracts between the thalamus and each of the cortical targets. Fig. 3 dem-403 onstrates these reconstructed tracts in a representative participant. 404 Table 6 demonstrates that in the majority of tracts, diffusion measures 405 for either hemisphere were highly correlated, and as such, we used a 406 repeated measures ANOVA with between-subject effects of group 407 (deaf/hearing) and within-subject thalamo-cortical tract (occipital/ 408 temporal/parietal/motor zone/somatosensory/frontal), and to account 409

t5.1 Table 5

t5.2 Microstructural measurements for each thalamic parcellation when participants from the deaf group with insecure first language acquisition are excluded. T statistics and p values (with a t5.3 FDR correction applied,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) are provided, the degree of freedom is 46 in all instances.

t5.4	Frontal		Motor zone		Somatosense	огу	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital		
t5.5		t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р
t5.6 t5.7 t5.8	FA MD RD	1.1016 	0.3827 < <b>0.001</b> < <b>0.001</b>	-2.2856 1.329 1.7213	0.0970 0.3827 0.2364	-1.8629 0.9257 1.1487	0.2066 0.4546 0.3827	-1.2477 -0.5932 -0.7257	0.3827 0.5887 0.5307	-0.8886 -1.1617 -1.232	0.4546 0.3827 0.3827	0.2255 3.3680 3.3283	0.8226 <b>0.0078</b> <b>0.0078</b>

5

# C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. Each of the thalamo-cortical tracts is demonstrated in axial, coronal and sagittal slices; a) frontal, b) motor, c) somatosensory, d) temporal, e) parietal and f) occipital. Colour schemes are as in Figs. 1 and 2.

#### C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

#### t6.1 Table 6

t6.2 Correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) and p values for the correlation between microstructural measurements in left and right hemisphere in all cortico-thalamic tracts.

t6.3		Frontal		Motor		Somatose	nsory	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital	
t6.4		R <sup>2</sup>	р										
t6.5	FA	0.824	<0.001	0.933	<0.001	0.891	<0.001	0.655	<0.001	0.818	<0.001	0.867	<0.001
t6.6	MD	0.751	<0.001	0.776	<0.001	0.675	<0.001	0.623	<0.001	0.695	<0.001	0.397	<0.001
t6.7	RD	0.752	<0.001	0.826	<0.001	0.749	<0.001	0.644	<0.001	0.673	<0.001	0.394	0.046

410 for correlated random errors between each participants' hemispheres,411 modelled participants as random effects.

For FA, there were main effects of group (F(1, 300) = 61.19, p < 0.001), 412tract (F(5, 300) = 22.53, p < 0.001), and an interaction between group 413414 and tract (F(5,300) = 3.68, p = 0.003). Analysis of the MD data revealed no main effect of group (F(1,300) = 1.24, p = 0.297), but a main effect 415 of tract (F(5,300) = 61.338), and no interaction between tract and 416 group (F(5,300) = 2.16, p = 0.059). Finally, for the RD measures 417 there were main effects of group (F(1,300) = 7.77, p = 0.006), tract 418 (F(5, 300) = 54.72, p < 0.001) and an interaction between group and 419 tract (F(5,300) = 2.35, p = 0.041). 420

Following this, we performed post-hoc t-tests to determine the source 421 of the differences between groups; these results are presented in Table 7, 422 423 and the mean and standard deviation of these tracts for each of the 494 groups are presented in Table 8. Again, the p values presented have had a false discovery rate correction (FDR) applied to control for multiple 425comparisons. FA is reduced in the frontal thalamo-cortical tract in the 426 deaf group. The motor thalamo-cortical tract is profoundly affected by 427428 deafness, with the deaf group demonstrating lower FA, increased MD and increased RD in this tract. The somatosensory thalamo-cortical tract 429is similarly affected, with decreased FA and increased RD in the deaf 430group. In both the parietal and occipital thalamo-cortical tracts, FA is 431 432reduced in the deaf group. These results are summarised in Fig. 4.

433 Again, we completed the analysis excluding the two subjects with 434 insecure first language acquisition, and found for the FA value main effects of group (F(1,276) = 53.07, p < 0.001), tract (F(5,276) = 20.71, 435p < 0.001), and an interaction between tract and group (F(5,276) =436 2.52, p = 0.03). For the MD values, there was no main effect of group 437438 (F(1,276) = 2.6, p = 0.108), but a main effect of tract (F(5,276) = 0.108)55.5, p < 0.001). There was no interaction between group and tract 439(F(5,276) = 1.53, p = 0.18). For the RD values, there were main effects 440 of group (F(1,276) = 9.39, p = 0.002), tract (F(5,276) = 49.99, p < 0.001), 441 but no interaction between group and tract (F(5,276) = 1.55, p = 0.175). 442 Post-hoc t-tests which are presented in Table 9 demonstrate that 443

the frontal thalamo-cortical tract has decreased FA, and increased MD and RD in the deaf group. The motor thalamo-cortical tract has reduced FA, and increased MD and RD in the deaf group. FA is also decreased in the deaf group in the somatosensory, parietal and occipital thalamo-cortical tracts. The findings were comparable to when the entire group was analysed.

### 450 Discussion

From previous studies there is evidence of plasticity throughout the deaf brain. This includes crossmodal plasticity, in which visual and somatosensory stimuli come to be processed in auditory cortex (Auer et al., 2007; Fine et al., 2005; Finney et al., 2001; Karns et al., 2012; Levanen et al., 1998; MacSweeney et al., 2004; Nishimura et al., 1999), and intermodal plasticity (Bottari et al., 2011; Buckley et al., 2010; 456 Codina et al., 2011), whereby the visual system is altered to compensate 457 for hearing loss. In addition to this, there are dystrophic changes in au- 458 ditory cortex (Kim et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012). In this study, we show 459 that following connectivity based segmentation of the thalamus, the mi- 460 crostructural measurements of mean diffusivity (MD), and radial diffu- 461 sivity (RD), were increased in the deaf group in the frontal and occipital 462 thalamic parcellations. The thalamus supports many functions, includ- 463 ing relaying information to the cortex, modulating the communication 464 between different cortical areas through its extensive two-way connec- 465 tions with cortical regions, and is suggested to be a site of multimodal 466 interplay. Thus our findings of differences in diffusion measurements 467 between deaf and hearing participants in thalamic parcellations suggest 468 that congenital deafness affects communication throughout the brain. 469 Microstructural measurements were affected in the thalamo-cortical 470 tracts to frontal, somatosensory, motor, parietal and occipital cortical 471 targets. Changes to the microstructural measurements in the recon- 472 structed tracts between the thalamus and its cortical targets additional- 473 ly suggest differences in the flow of information throughout the cortex. 474

The mapping between DW-MRI diffusion tensor data and brain microstructure is a complex non-linear problem, which requires certain 476 assumptions and provides no unique solution (Jones et al., 2013). 477 Voxel-wise diffusion measures generated during the course of fitting 478 the tensor model do not correspond directly to the anatomical features 479 of potential interest, such as membrane integrity, axon diameter, axon 480 count, myelin thickness and packing density of cells (Johanssen Berg **Q19** et al., 2009). Therefore the biological significance of these metrics can 482 be unclear. Nevertheless, we can interpret differences between groups 483 in these microstructural measurements in light of findings from both 484 the anatomical literature in animals and functional imaging studies 485 with deaf participants. This enables us to draw tentative inferences 486 about what underlying differences in grey and white matter tissue 487 may be responsible for the differences in diffusion that we have found. 488

Recently, the increased ability of deaf people to be able to detect mo-489 tion and static targets in the visual periphery has been linked to visual 490 plasticity. Increased neuroretinal rim area (which is thought to be 491 linked to increased retinal ganglion cell number) has been demonstrat-492 ed in deaf participants, as well as thicker retinal nerve fibre layer in 493 peripapillary regions which correspond to temporal retina (Codina 494 et al., 2011). These changes are linked to changes in visual field size as measured by Goldmann Perimetry (Codina et al., 2011). The optic 496 nerve projects to the lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus, which 497 projects to visual cortex. Previous studies have shown alterations in 498 FA in the forceps major and splenium of the corpus calloseum at the 499 site of inter-hemispheric connections between visual cortices (Kim 500 et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012), suggesting that deafness affects connectivity 501 in the visual system. Here, in the occipital thalamic parcellation, both 502 MD and RD were increased in the deaf group. An increase in MD 503

t7.1 **Table 7** t7.2 T statistics and p values

t7.2 T	statistics and p values are shown for post ho	c t tests on thalamo-cortical tracts.	A FDR correction has been	applied ( $\alpha = 0.05$	), and the degree of freed	om is 50 in all instances
--------	---	---------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

t7.3		Frontal		Motor Zone		Somatosenso	ory	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital	
t7.4		t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р
t7.5	FA	3.3446	0.0071	3.4278	0.0071	4.4131	0.0010	0.1368	0.8918	3.1912	0.0088	4.1722	0.0011
t7.6	MD	-1.5819	0.2073	-2.4871	0.0418	-1.5533	0.2073	1.0803	0.4278	0.8570	0.5086	0.3689	0.7558
t7.7	RD	-2.2424	0.0588	-2.6846	0.0295	-2.3787	0.0478	0.9410	0.4863	-0.443	0.7420	-0.5225	0.7244

C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

corresponds to an increase overall in the amount of diffusion which 504 occurs in each voxel, and the concomitant increase in RD indicates 505 that this is a result of increased diffusion in the axis parallel to the principal direction of diffusion. The optic thalamo-cortical tract additionally 507 exhibited reduced FA. These changes may suggest increased tissue complexity in these regions. It is possible that these unexpected changes are linked to the enhanced peripheral acuity and visual field size reported in deaf people. 511

The fronto-parietal attention network is implicated in the top down 512 modulatory signals to both the thalamus and early sensory areas 513 (Gilbert and Sigman, 2007). Information in each of these regions then 514 competes for representation in working memory in pre-frontal cortex 515 (Knudsen, 2004), which in turn is implicated in attentional selection sig-516 nals (Buschman and Miller, 2007). A role for the lateral intraparietal area 517 in generating a spatial priority map through behavioural prioritising of 518 stimuli in a modality independent manner has also been posited 519 (Bisley and Goldberg, 2010). Thus the increased MD and RD in the fron-520 tal thalamic parcellation and decreased FA in the frontal and parietal 521 thalamo-cortical tracts in the deaf group may reflect the instantiation 522 of altered attentional control and multimodal perception in the deaf 523 brain. 524

The 'brainstem theory of crossmodal reorganisation' posits that in 525 deafness, somatosensory afferents commandeer inert auditory afferents 526 in auditory brainstem (Meredith and Allman, 2012). This results in 527 crossmodal reorganisation, without the generation of new projections. 528 We find no evidence of changes to somatosensory or auditory thalamus, 529 which is consistent with this idea. Whilst it is problematic to interpret 530 a null result, findings of significant alterations to frontal and occipital 531 thalamus indicate that the methods can be sensitive to microstructural 532 differences in the populations studied. The somatosensory thalamo-533 cortical tract has decreased FA and increased RD in the deaf group. 534 These findings may be the anatomical correlate of there being an en-535 hanced and more spatially distributed somatosensory representation 536 in the deaf brain. 537

Somewhat counter-intuitively, we do not find differences between 538 the deaf and hearing groups in the temporal thalamic parcellation, or 539 thalamo-cortical tract. Decreased FA has been reported in deaf people 540 in superior temporal regions, as well as white matter volume reductions 541 in superior temporal gyrus, and temporal sub-gyral areas (Kim et al., 542 2009). Li et al. (2011) followed up by contrasting congenitally deaf par- 020 ticipants and acquired deaf participants to hearing controls. In auditory 544 cortex, they report reduced FA values bilaterally in superior temporal 545 cortex (Li et al., 2012). These findings are correlated with the age of 546 onset of deafness, as opposed to the duration of deafness, which the au- 547 thors interpret as being indicative of an early sensitive period for typical 548 development of auditory cortex (Li et al., 2012). There are reasons why 549 our findings might diverge. First, the regions of interest between these 550 studies are different, and so the results are not directly comparable: it 551 remains a possibility that were we to study these regions of interest in 552 auditory cortex there would be differences between the groups. On Q21 the other hand, in both these studies, deafness and language differences 554 between the groups are conflated. No information is provided on lan- 555 guage background by Kim et al. (2009), whereas in Li et al. (2012), all 556 deaf participants used a sign language as their primary language whilst 557 none of the hearing control participants had any knowledge of sign lan- 558 guage. Bilingualism and language deprivation have both been shown to 559 affect neuroanatomy (Mechelli et al., 2004; Penicaud et al., 2012). With- 560 out further knowledge about the participants it is possible that these 561 factors may have caused previous studies to overestimate the impact 562 of deafness on the auditory cortex. 563

Finally, there is evidence that the FA is decreased, and MD and RD are 564 increased in the deaf group in the motor thalamo-cortical tract. It is not 565 clear why this would be the case, as the effects of congenital deafness on 566 motor skills have not yet been investigated. Whilst all participants 567 learnt sign language after the age of 10, the deaf group began to learn 568 significantly earlier than the hearing. It is also possible that the groups 569

Occipital Parietal Temporal Wean and standard deviations are presented for each of the microstructural measurements in each tract for hearing and deaf groups. Somatosensory Motor zone Frontal

0.3408 (0.0199) 0.0010 (0.00009) 0.0008 (0.00009)

Deaf

Hearing

Deaf

Hearing

Deaf

Hearing

Deaf

Hearing

Deaf

Hearing

Deaf

Hearing

**Table 8** 

0.4014 (0.0672) 0.0008 (0.0001) 0.0006 (0.0001)

> 0.0009 (0.00004) 0.0007 (0.00004)

0.3237 (0.0200)

0.3747 (0.0731)

0.0008 (0.0001) 0.0007 (0.0001)

0.3345 (0.0193) 0.0009 (0.00004) 0.0007 (0.00004)

0.3593 (0.0326) 0.0008 (0.00004) 0.0007 (0.00004)

FA MD

ß

0.3820 (0.0462) 0.0010 (0.0001) 0.0008 (0.0001)

0.3554 (0.0211) 0.0008 (0.00003) 0.0007 (0.00003)

0.3890 (0.0495) 0.0008 (0.00006) 0.0007 (0.00007)

0.2996 (0.0286) 0.0010 (0.00009) 0.0008 (0.00009)

> 0.0010 (0.00008) 0.0008 (0.00008)

0.3390 (0.0262) 0.0009 (0.00005) 0.0007 (0.00005)

0.3007 (0.0294)

C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 4.** For microstructural measures in each of the thalamo-cortical tracts, the difference of the deaf group to the hearing group is displayed. Error bars denote confidence interval of the *t*-test statistic. Colour scheme is the same as Figs. 1–3.

differ in the extent of their usage, both of which may affect the motor 570thalamo-cortical tract. Allen et al. (2013) contrasted cortical volume in 571motor cortex in deaf signers, hearing signers and hearing control partic-572ipants. They reported a trend towards leftward volume asymmetries in 573574the deaf group, whereas in the hearing non-signing group the pattern was towards a rightward volume asymmetry in motor cortex, and in 575the hearing signing group a symmetrical pattern (Allen et al., 2013). 576 They attribute this to activity dependent changes as a result of greater 577 578 reliance on sign language in the deaf group (Allen et al., 2013). Finally, the motor thalamo-cortical tract includes contributions from axons in-579volved in sensorimotor control of the mouth, which are necessary for 580speech production. Differences may exist between the deaf and hearing 581groups in speech usage. Additionally, the deaf group do not integrate 582583auditory feedback when they perceive speech. These reasons may contribute to the alterations observed in the motor thalamo-cortical tract. 584

There are several important caveats to bear in mind when 585interpreting DW-MRI data. First, strong anatomical connections be-586tween regions do not necessarily correspond to equally important func-587588 tional connections between regions (Johansen-Berg and Rushworth, 5892009). We have endeavoured to link our results to findings from the behavioural and neuroimaging literature on deaf participants. There 590591are many factors which can affect tractography results, including data quality, the distance between connected anatomical centres, as well as 592

the complexity and geometry of the underlying fibres (Behrens et al., 593 2003, 2007; Johansen-Berg and Rushworth, 2009; Jones et al., 2013). 594 We addressed the issue of poor data quality through visual inspection 595 of the data, which resulted in excluding three participants from further 596 analysis. Poor quality data will tend to result in failure of paths to reach 597 their cortical targets, rather than introducing any systematic error 598 (Behrens et al., 2003). We thresholded data (60% of streamlines in 599 each tract had to reach their cortical target) to try to reduce the impact 600 of false positive connections between the seed region and cortical tar- 601 gets. Furthermore, the 'winner takes all' segmentation of cortical voxels 602 into the cortico-thalamic tracts means that the contribution of voxels 603 surrounding the thalamic area to microstructural measures is reduced. 604 The limits of DW-MRI resolution mean that voxels in this region may 605 contain genuine white matter connections to more than one cortical 606 target, but the less strongly connected tracts are ignored for the pur- 607 poses of extracting microstructural values. Whilst this may be consid- 608 ered a bias in data selection towards the more peripheral parts of the 609 thalamo-cortical tracts, it ensures the independent sampling of tracts, 610 necessary for investigating tract-specific group differences. Additional- 611 ly, the physical proximity of the cortical target to the seed region will 612 affect the ease with which a track is traced; tracts with a closer cortical 613 target will necessarily have a greater probability associated with them. 614 However, as we were contrasting tracts and thalamic parcellations de- 615 rived from these between groups (rather than different tracts within 616 the same brain), differences in tract connection probability related to 617 cortical target proximity are unlikely to have systematically distorted 618 results. 619

There are also caveats to be considered regarding the participants 620 tested in the current study. Although animal models can be used to ex- 621 amine the influence of auditory deprivation, when considering humans, 622 there is no perfect group contrast that allows the influence of auditory 623 deprivation to be isolated from language experience. Previously, the 624 majority of research into the effect of congenital deafness on brain anat- 625 omy or function in humans has contrasted deaf native signers with 626 hearing native signers. This approach has the benefit of restricting 627 aetiology of deafness to genetic causes and controlling for native expo- 628 sure to a signed language. However, language experience inevitably dif- 629 fers between these groups as hearing native signers are more balanced 630 sign/speech bilinguals than their deaf siblings. Furthermore, there is 631 some evidence that hearing status interacts with native acquisition of 632 sign language to influence the neural bases of visual motion processing 633 (Bavelier et al., 2001; Neville and Lawson, 1987a). Sign language is a 634 complex, dynamic visual stimulus, and it is possible that this form of 635 'visual environmental enrichment' will have a differential impact on 636 deaf and hearing brains during early development. 637

We argue that a worthwhile contribution to this field is to contrast 638 deaf and hearing individuals who have learnt a signed language later 639 in life. However, this approach is also not without its drawbacks. Two 640 of our deaf participants indicated they could not converse fluently 641 with hearing people through speechreading alone. However, our find- 642 ings were unchanged following analyses excluding these participants, 643 demonstrating that our results were not due to insecure first language 644 acquisition in the deaf group. Another drawback in research with indi- 645 viduals who are born deaf to hearing parents is the difficulty in control- 646 ling for aetiology of deafness, which is often unknown. A common cause 647 of deafness in those with hearing parents is maternal rubella (Morzaria 648

t9.1 Table 9

t9.2 T statistics and p values for microstructural measurements in each of the thalamo-cortical tracts, once the 2 participants who may not have secured first language development have been t9.3 excluded. A FDR correction has been applied ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), and degree of freedom is 46 in all instances.

t9.4		Frontal		Motor		Somatosens	ory	Temporal		Parietal		Occipital	
t9.5		t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р	t	р
t9.6	FA	3.4282	0.0077	2.9832	0.0155	3.8106	0.0037	0.4246	0.7219	3.1046	0.0147	3.9812	0.0037
t9.7	MD	-2.3777	0.0484	-2.3306	0.0484	-1.4557	0.2492	0.5413	0.7219	0.4909	0.7219	0.2065	0.8373
t9.8	RD	-2.9366	0.0155	-2.4683	0.0446	-2.1122	0.0722	0.4127	0.7219	-0.6403	0.7219	-0.6138	0.7219

10

C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

et al., 2004): five of the thirteen participants in the current study report 649 650 this as the aetiology of their deafness. Intellectual disability caused by white matter lesions can also be a consequence of maternal rubella 651 652 (Lane et al., 1996; Sugita et al., 1991). To reduce the chances of neurological problems or intellectual disability confounding our results, we 653 sought deaf participants who were broadly matched in terms of educa-654tion and occupational success to the hearing participants. In addition, all 655 images were thoroughly screened for abnormalities. Whilst it is impos-656 657 sible to entirely rule out the possibility of undiagnosed neurological 658 problems in this group, these steps minimize the risk that our group dif-659 ferences were driven by changes specific to those deaf through rubella. Concordance between results from studies which contrast deaf and 660 hearing individuals with a range of different language backgrounds 661 662 and different aetiologies will, in time, provide greater clarity regarding the true influence of auditory deprivation on brain anatomy and 663 function 664

Our findings demonstrate that congenital deafness causes plasticity 665 in subcortical structures and thalamo-cortical projections, which ulti-666 mately have an effect on the control of information flow into and 667 throughout the cortex. Microstructural measurements in the visual 668 and frontal thalamic parcellations are altered in deafness, possibly sug-669 gesting more complex tissue in these regions, which may correspond to 670 671 how visual information and visual attention is deployed differently by deaf people. Thalamo-cortical tracts to each cortical target, excluding 672 temporal cortex, were altered. Differences in motor thalomo-cortical 673 tracts may be linked to differences in speech, speech usage, age of sign 674 language acquisition or sign language usage between the groups. Al-675 676 tered diffusivity of the somatosensory and occipital thalamo-cortical somatosensory tract may be the result of the enhanced somatosensory 677 representation, and visual peripheral representation in deaf partici-678 pants. Finally, changes to frontal and parietal connections may be the 679 680 anatomical correlate of altered multi-modal perception and attentional control in the absence of sound. Thus the neural sequelae of congenital 681 auditory deprivation can be observed throughout the brain and are not 682 restricted to auditory cortex. 683

#### Acknowledgments 684

This research was supported by Wellcome Trust Fellowships awarded 685 to MMacS (WT075214 and WT100229), a Medical Research Council 686 Studentship awarded to CRL, a UCL Grand Challenges Studentship to IA, 687 688 and by NIH R01 MH 081990 and the Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award awarded to MIS. We also thank Parob Coast for her help 689 with testing deaf participants. 690

#### 691 References

- Allen, J.S., Emmorey, K., Bruss, J., Damasio, H., 2013. Neuroanatomical differences in visual, 692 693 motor, and language cortices between congenitally deaf signers, hearing signers, and 694 hearing non-signers. Front. Neuroanat. 7, 00026.
- 695 Allman, B.L., Keniston, L.P., Meredith, M.A., 2009. Adult deafness induces somatosensory 696 conversion of ferret auditory cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 5925-5930.
- 697 Auer, E.T., Bernstein, L.E., Sungkarat, W., Singh, M., 2007. Vibrotactile activation of the auditory cortices in deaf versus hearing adults. Neuroreport 18, 645-648. 698 699 Bavelier, D., Neville, H.I., 2002. Cross-modal plasticity: where and how? Nat. Rev.
- 700Neurosci. 3, 443-452. Bavelier, D., Brozinsky, C., Tomann, A., Mitchell, T., Neville, H., Liu, G., 2001. Impact of early
- 701 702 deafness and early exposure to sign language on the cerebral organization for motion 703 processing, J. Neurosci, 21, 8931-8942
- Behrens, T.E., Johansen-Berg, H., Woolrich, M.W., Smith, S.M., Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A., 704 705 Boulby, P.A., Barker, G.I., Sillery, E.L., Sheehan, K., Ciccarelli, O., Thompson, A.J., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2003. Non-invasive mapping of connections between 706 707 human thalamus and cortex using diffusion imaging. Nat. Neurosci. 6, 750-757.
- 708 Behrens, T.F., Jenkinson, M., Robson, M.D., Smith, S.M., Johansen-Berg, H., 2006, A consistent 709 relationship between local white matter architecture and functional specialisation in 710 medial frontal cortex. Neuroimage 30, 220-227.
- Behrens, T.E., Berg, H.I., Ibabdi, S., Rushworth, M.F., Woolrich, M.W., 2007, Probabilistic dif-711 712 fusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations; what can we gain? Neuroimage 71334.144-155.
  - Bisley, J.W., Goldberg, M.E., 2010. Attention, intention, and priority in the parietal lobe.
- 714 715 Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 33, 1-21.

Bottari, D., Caclin, A., Giard, MH., Pavani, F., 2011. Changes in early cortical visual	716
processing predict enhanced reactivity in deaf individuals. PLoS One 6, e25607. Buckley, D. Codina, C. Bhardwai, P. Pascalis, O. 2010. Action video game players and deaf	717
observers have larger Goldmann visual fields. Vision Res. 50, 548–556.	719
Buschman, T.J., Miller, E.K., 2007. Top-down versus bottom-up control of attention in the	720
Calvert, G.A., Campbell, R., Brammer, M.J., 2000. Evidence from functional magnetic reso-	722
nance imaging of crossmodal binding in the human heteromodal cortex. Curr. Biol.	723
Cappe, C., Morel, A., Barone, P., Rouiller, E.M., 2009a. The thalamocortical projection	724
systems in primate: an anatomical support for multisensory and sensorimotor interplay.	720
Cereb. Cortex 19, 2025–2037. Cappe C. Rouiller F.M. Barone, P. 2009b. Multisensory anatomical nathways. Hear, Res.	727
258, 28–36.	729
Carrera, E., Bogousslavsky, J., 2006. The thalamus and behavior: effects of anatomically dictingt strokes. Neurology 66, 1817, 1822	730
Codina, C., Pascalis, O., Mody, C., Toomey, P., Rose, J., Gummer, L., Buckley, D., 2011. Visual	732
advantage in deaf adults linked to retinal changes. PLoS One 6, e20417.	733
emmorey, K., Allen, J.S., Bruss, J., Schenker, N., Damaslo, H., 2003. A morphometric analysis of auditory brain regions in congenitally deaf adults. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100,	734
10049–10054.	736
Fine, I., Finney, E.M., Boynton, G.M., Dobkins, K.R., 2005. Comparing the effects of auditory deprivation and sign language within the auditory and visual cortex. I Cogn	737
Neurosci. 17, 1621–1637.	739
Finney, E.M., Fine, I., Dobkins, K.R., 2001. Visual stimuli activate auditory cortex in the	740
Gilbert, C.D., Sigman, M., 2007. Brain states: top-down influences in sensory processing.	742
Neuron 54, 677–696.	743
can add in clinical neuroscience. Curr. Opin. Neurol. 19, 379–385.	74
Johansen-Berg, H., Rushworth, M.F.S., 2009. Using diffusion imaging to study human	746
Palo Alto, pp. 75–94.	74 748
Johansen-Berg, H., Behrens, T.E., Robson, M.D., Drobnjak, I., Rushworth, M.F., Brady, J.M.,	749
Smith, S.M., Higham, D.J., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Changes in connectivity profiles define functionally distinct regions in human medial frontal cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad.	750 751
Sci. U. S. A. 101, 13335–13340.	752
Jones, E.G., 1985. The Thalamus. Plenum Press, New York.	753
cortex. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.	Q2
Jones, D.K., Knosche, T.R., Turner, R., 2013. White matter integrity, fiber count, and other	750
Karns, C.M., Dow, M.H., Neville, H.J., 2012. Altered cross-modal processing in the primary	758
auditory cortex of congenitally deaf adults: a visual-somatosensory fMRI study with a	759
double-flash illusion. J. Neurosci. 32, 9626–9638. Kim DI. Park SY. Kim J. Lee D.H. Park HI. 2009 Alterations of white matter diffusion.	760 761
anisotropy in early deafness. Neuroreport 20, 1032–1036.	762
Knudsen, E.I., 2004. Sensitive periods in the development of the brain and behavior. J. Com Neurosci 16 1412–1425	763 764
Lane, B., Sullivan, E.V., Lim, K.O., Beal, D.M., Harvey Jr., R.L., Meyers, T., Faustman, W.O.,	765
Pfefferbaum, A., 1996. White matter MR hyperintensities in adult patients with	766
Lee, H., Noppeney, U., 2011. Physical and perceptual factors shape the neural mechanisms	768
that integrate audiovisual signals in speech comprehension. J. Neurosci. 31,	769
Levanen, S., Hamdorf, D., 2001. Feeling vibrations: enhanced tactile sensitivity in congenitally	771
deaf humans. Neurosci. Lett. 301, 75–77.	772
Levanen, S., Jousmaki, V., Hari, R., 1998. Vibration-induced auditory-cortex activation in a congenitally deaf adult. Curr. Biol. 8. 869–872.	774
Li, Y., Ding, G., Booth, J.R., Huang, R., Lv, Y., Zang, Y., He, Y., Peng, D., 2012. Sensitive period	77
for white matter connectivity of superior temporal cortex in deaf people. Hum. Brain Mapp 33 349–359	776
Loke, W.H., Song, S., 1991. Central and peripheral visual processing in hearing and	778
nonhearing individuals. Bull. Psychon. Soc. 29, 437–440.	779
tural architecture of primary visual cortex in congenitally deaf humans. Society for	781
Neuroscience San Diego.	782
I, Calvert, G.A., Brammer, M.J., 2002. Neural systems underlying British Sign Language	784
and audio-visual English processing in native users. Brain 125, 1583–1593.	785
MacSweeney, M., Campbell, K., Woll, B., Giampietro, V., David, A.S., McGuire, P.K., Calvert, G.A. Brammer, M.L. 2004. Dissociating linguistic and nonlinguistic gestural commu-	780
nication in the brain. Neuroimage 22, 1605–1618.	788
McGettigan, C., Faulkner, A., Altarelli, I., Obleser, J., Baverstock, H., Scott, S.K., 2012. Speech	789
Neuropsychologia 50, 762–776.	791
Mechelli, A., Crinion, J.T., Noppeney, U., O'Doherty, J., Ashburner, J., Frackowiak, R.S., Price, C.L. 2004. Neurolinguistics: structural plasticity in the bilingual brain. Nature 421	792
757.	794
Merabet, L.B., Pascual-Leone, A., 2010. Neural reorganization following sensory loss: the	795
Meredith, M.A., Allman, B.L., 2012. Early hearing-impairment results in crossmodal reor-	790 791
ganization of ferret core auditory cortex, Neural Plast, 601591, 19.	798

Meredith, M.A., Keniston, L.P., Allman, B.L., 2012. Multisensory dysfunction accompanies 799 crossmodal plasticity following adult hearing impairment. Neuroscience 214, 800 136 - 148801

C. Rebecca Lyness et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

- Morel, A., Magnin, M., Jeanmonod, D., 1997. Multiarchitectonic and stereotactic atlas of the human thalamus. J. Comp. Neurol. 387, 588–630.
- Morzaria, S., Westerberg, B.D., Kozak, F.K., 2004. Systematic review of the etiology of bilateral sensorineural hearing loss in children. Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol. 68, 1193–1198.
- Nair, A., Treiber, J.M., Shukla, D.K., Shih, P., Muller, R.A., 2013. Impaired thalamocortical connectivity in autism spectrum disorder: a study of functional and anatomical connectivity. Brain 136, 1942–1955.
- Neville, H.J., Lawson, D., 1987a. Attention to central and peripheral visual space in a movement detection task. III. Separate effects of auditory deprivation and acquisition of a visual language. Brain Res. 405, 284–294.
- Neville, H.J., Lawson, D., 1987b. Attention to central and peripheral visual space in a
   movement detection task: an event-related potential and behavioural study II.
   Congenitally deaf adults. Brain Res. 405, 268–283.
- Nishimura, H., Hashikawa, K., Doi, K., Iwaki, T., Watanabe, Y., Kusuoka, H., Nishimura, T.,
   Kubo, T., 1999. Sign language 'heard' in the auditory cortex. Nature 397, 116.
- Passingham, R.E., Stephan, K.E., Kotter, R., 2002. The anatomical basis of functional localization in the cortex. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3, 606–616.

Penicaud, S., Klein, D., Zatorre, R.J., Chen, J.K., Witcher, P., Hyde, K., Mayberry, R.I., 2012. 820 Structural brain changes linked to delayed first language acquisition in congenitally 821 deaf individuals. Neuroimage 11, 42–49. 822

Petitto, L.A., Zatorre, R.J., Gauna, K., Nikelski, E.J., Dostie, D., Evans, A.C., 2000. Speech-like 823 cerebral activity in profoundly deaf people processing signed languages: implications 824 for the neural basis of human language. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 13961–13966. 825

Proksch, J., Bavelier, D., 2002. Changes in the spatial distribution of visual attention after 826 early deafness. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 14, 687–701. 827

Rushworth, M.F., Behrens, T.E., Johansen-Berg, H., 2006. Connection patterns distinguish 3 828 regions of human parietal cortex. Cereb. Cortex 16, 1418–1430. 829

Saygin, Z.M., Osher, D.E., Koldewyn, K., Reynolds, G., Gabrieli, J.D., Saxe, R.R., 2011. 830 Anatomical connectivity patterns predict face selectivity in the fusiform gyrus. Nat. 831 Neurosci. 15, 321–327. 832

- Sherman, S.M., 2007. The thalamus is more than just a relay. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 17, 833 417-422. 834
- Sugita, K., Ando, M., Makino, M., Takanashi, J., Fujimoto, N., Niimi, H., 1991. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in congenital rubella virus and cytomegalovirus infections.
   836 Neuroradiology 33, 239–242.
   837

838

11