# The effects of prosody on pitch and voice quality of White Hmong tones

## Christina Esposito<sup>1</sup> and Marc Garellek<sup>2</sup> | <sup>1</sup>Department of Linguistics, Macalester, <sup>2</sup>Department of Linguistics, UC San Diego

<sup>1</sup>esposito@macalester.edu, <sup>2</sup>mgarellek@ucsd.edu

## Introduction

#### White Hmong contrasts seven lexical tones:

Description of citation tones (Ratliff 1992, Esposito 2012)	Example
High or high-rising (55, 45)	[pɔ ]] <i>pob</i> 'ball'
High-falling modal (52)	[pɔ \] <i>poj</i> 'female'
High-falling breathy (42,52)	[pຼຼ ∖] <i>pog</i> 'grandmother'
Mid (33)	[pɔ +] <i>po</i> 'spleen'
Mid-rising (24)	[pɔ 4] pov 'to throw
Low (22)	[pɔ -]] pos 'thorn'
Low-falling creaky (21)	[pɔ J] <i>pom</i> 'to see

Listeners rely on breathy voice to distinguish between the two high-falling but ignore creaky voice when distinguishing between the two low tones (Garellek et al. 2013).

**Breathy voice is thus contrastive, whereas creaky voice** is not – though it is robustly attested in previous work on production of citation tones.

Why the disparate functions of breathy vs. creaky voice? Could they be due to variation by phrasing?

**Descriptive goal:** how to characterize White Hmong tones in more conversational speech styles?

## Methods

**Speakers and Speech Material** 

- Five literate White Hmong speakers: 2 M, 3 F.
- Speakers read three White Hmong folktales.
- All ditones attested in stories.
- **Recordings took place in Twin Cities.**

### Annotations

- Vowels were segmented & annotated for Utterance position (initial, medial, or final).
- Utterance-medial words that were fluent but lengthened were coded as being phrase-final.
- Words adjacent to disfluencies were excluded.

### Analysis

- Vowels analyzed with VoiceSauce (Shue et al. 2011).
- F0, H1\*-H2\*, Cepstral peak prominence

## F0 by tones and position



Mid-level and low-level tones don't differ U-initially; midlevel tone is lowered.

High-falling modal tone is higher-pitched than highfalling breathy tone U-medially.

Falling tones have lower targets U-finally than in other positions.

Low-rising tone is always low-rising (12), regardless of position.

There is little evidence for tone-independent intonational targets in U-initial or U-final positions, at least for declarative sentences attested in these stories.

Revised	tonal	description

<b>Previous description</b> (Ratliff 1992, Esposito 2012)	Propo revis
High or high-rising (55, 45)	Only high-
High-falling modal (52)	^54~54
High-falling breathy (42,52)	54~
Mid (33)	33 ( <b>22 U-i</b>
Mid-rising (24)	Low-ris
Low (22)	22
Low-falling creaky (21)	21

Ratliff, M. (1992). Meaningful Tone: A Study of Tonal Morphology in Compounds, Form Classes and Expressive Phrases in White Hmong, Southeast Asia (Northern Illinois University, Center for Southeast Asian Studies, DeKalb, IL), pp. 1–279. // Esposito, C. M., (2012). An acoustic and electroglottographic study of White Hmong phonation, JPhon 40, 466–476. // Garellek, M., Keating, P., Esposito, C. M., & Kreiman, J. (2013). Voice quality and tone identification in White Hmong. JASA 133, 1078–1089. // Shue, Y.-L., Keating, P. A., Vicenik, C., and Yu, K. (2011). VoiceSauce: A program for voice analysis, ICPhS 17, 1846–1849. // Simpson, A. (2012). The first and second harmonics should not be used to measure breathiness in male and female voices. JPhon, 40, 477–490. // Garellek, M., & White, J. (2015). Phonetics of Tongan stress. JIPA, 45, 13–34.

## Acoustical Society of America Spring Meeting 2018

## Voice quality by tones and position

55 b

52 j

·24 v

33 x 22 s

52<u>.</u> g 21\_ m

### osed sion

-rising 45

54~53

53

initially)

**sing** 12





Distinctions between breathy vs. modal, modal vs.

creaky tones are robust across phrasal positions, especially in terms of noise (as measured by CPP).

## General discussion

Analysis of f0 patterns in different phrasal and tonal contexts shows:

- Little evidence for boundary tones.
- Some mismatches between previous descriptions of citation tones and their f0 patterns in story reading.
- Robust voice quality differences in terms of **noise** could be due to the fact that other measures (e.g. H1\*-H2\*/spectral tilt) vary more by f0, vowel quality and nasalization (Simpson 2012, Garellek & White 2015).

## References

