### **International Efforts to Liberalize FDI**

- "Problem" policies:
  - local content requirements
  - export performance requirements
  - foreign exchange restrictions (restrictions on profit and capital repatriation)
  - technology transfer
  - employment requirements
  - equity restrictions (foreigners allowed to own only so much--49%).
  - absence of dispute settlement mechanism like WTO's

#### From the "Code of Conduct" to the MAI

- <u>Code of Conduct</u>: Since 1970s, rich countries (OECD) operated under a code grounded in <u>principal of national treatment</u>
- <u>Uruguay Rd and TRIMs</u>: 1994, national treatment norm extended to include poor nations. However, limited progress in many areas.
- <u>Multilateral Agreement in Investment (MAI)</u>
  - Between 1995-99, rich countries try (but fail) to develop a comprehensive agreement on FDI

# **Origins of the MAI**

- Institutional Considerations: MAI a standalone agreement (outside of WTO) among subset of nations (OECD)
- Institutional Advantages
  - Small group setting (similar, like-minded countries)
  - Amenable to major liberalization (no poor countries to mollify).
- Institutional Disadvantages
  - Limited possibility of reciprocity (trading of concessions).

# Why did the MAI Fail?

- 1. Cross-national differences in goals.
  - Helm's Burton
  - "cultural" investments
  - \* Few possibilities for concession trading (absence of reciprocity).
- 2. Opposition labor/environmental groups
  - Strong anti-FDI opposition from labor/enviro
  - Weak pro-FDI lobbying from Business
- \*\*\* (1) is an international argument while (2)
  is domestic-societal\*\*\*

### **Evaluating the claims of Labor Groups**

- Does outward FDI "export jobs"?
  - Answer: On net, no, but some jobs are lost (low skilled sector) while others are created (in high skilled sector).
- Does outward FDI lower wages at home?
  - Answer: on net, no, but some wages rise and some fall (Stolper-Samuelson works here too).
- Does outward FDI reduce worker bargaining power?
  - Answer: Probably. Firms can threatened to move abroad is labor unions don't relent.