

# What kind of Intensional Logic do we really want/need?

## Toward a Modal Metaphysics

**Dana S. Scott**

University Professor Emeritus

***Carnegie Mellon University***

Visiting Scholar

***University of California, Berkeley***

**Logic Seminar:**

**Formal Semantics of Natural Language**

Stanford, Winter Quarter 2015

Wednesday, February 25th

# Some Definitions

**metaphysics** (noun — functioning as sing)

1. the branch of philosophy that deals with first principles, esp of being and knowing
2. the philosophical study of the nature of reality, concerned with such questions as the existence of God, the external world, etc
3. See: descriptive metaphysics
4. (popularly) abstract or subtle discussion or reasoning

**descriptive metaphysics** (noun — functioning as sing)

1. the philosophical study of the structure of how we think about the world

**ontology** (noun)

1. (philosophy) the branch of metaphysics that deals with the nature of being
2. (logic) the set of entities presupposed by a theory

**Source:** Collins English Dictionary - Complete & Unabridged - 2012

**Meta-ontology** concerns itself with the nature and methodology of ontology, with the interpretation and significance of ontological questions. The problem of **ontological commitment** is a problem in meta-ontology rather than ontology proper.

The **metaontologist** asks (among other things): *What entities or kinds of entity exist according to a given theory or discourse, and thus are among its ontological commitments?*

**Source:** Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Phillip Bricker - 2014

# Zermelo–Fraenkel Set Theory

**Wikipedia:** *Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory* with the axiom of choice, named after mathematicians Ernst Zermelo and Abraham Fraenkel and commonly abbreviated **ZFC**, is one of several axiomatic systems that were proposed in the early twentieth century to formulate a theory of sets free of paradoxes such as Russell's paradox. Today **ZFC** is the standard form of axiomatic set theory and as such is the most common foundation of mathematics.

**ZFC provides the Ontology for Mathematics.**

**The idea for having set theory as foundations is a Metaphysics for Mathematics.**

**If set theory is to be the ultimate framework, one is still left with the conceptual mystery of why all mathematical objects *should* be sets. Michael Hallett - 1986**

# Intensional Logic

**Wikipedia:** *Intensional logic* is an approach to predicate logic that extends first-order logic, which has quantifiers that range over the individuals of a universe (*extensions*), by additional quantifiers that range over terms that may have such individuals as their value (*intensions*). The distinction between extensional and intensional entities is parallel to the distinction between *sense* and *reference*.

*Modal logic* is historically the earliest area in the study of intensional logic, originally motivated by formalizing "*necessity*" and "*possibility*" (recently, this original motivation belongs to alethic logic, just one of the many branches of modal logic).

**Suggestion:** As the two main **Wikipedia** articles are written by one Imre Ruzsa, members of this seminar may wish to edit or suggest expansions of the entries.

# A Modal Set Theory?

Taking *Modal Logic* seriously, let's focus on on the very popular Lewis System S4 as giving us a clean theory of

***metaphysical necessity***

for the sake of experimentation. We expand the use of this logic from propositional — to predicate logic — to higher-order logic.

Keeping in mind the differences between  
***extensional*** and ***intensional***

predicates, we base the understanding of **MZF** on the plan of *iterating* the intensional powerset into the transfinite.

What we need to show, then, is whether **MZF** can provide a rich enough ontology for *Intensional Logic*.

**This was the set theory put forward in Scott's 2009/10 lectures at Stanford, Berkeley, Oxford, and Edinburgh.**

# Avoiding Possible Worlds

Possible-worlds semantics works well for *modal propositional logic*.

For *predicate logic*, however, there are many hard-to-answer questions:

- What are individuals?
- How does identity between worlds behave?
- What is the relation between individuals and concepts?
- How best to pass to higher-order logic?

## The Project Proposal

Investigate how *other* Boolean Algebras, other than the powerset algebras,  $\mathcal{P}(W)$ , can be used to model modalities and abstract entities.

# Some Books Consulted

- Thomason, R. (ed.), **1974**. *Formal Philosophy: Selected Papers of Richard Montague*, Yale University Press.
- Gallin, Daniel, **1975**. *Intensional and higher-order modal logic: with applications to Montague semantics*, North-Holland.
- Keenan, E.L., **1984**. *Boolean Semantics for Natural Language*, Synthese Library.
- Shapiro, Stewart, ed., **1985**. *Intensional Mathematics*. North-Holland.
- Forbes, Graeme, **1986**. *The Metaphysics of Modality*, OUP.
- Partee, Barbara H., et al., **1987**. *Mathematical Methods in Linguistics*, Kluwer.
- Zalta, Edward N., **1988**. *Intensional Logic and the Metaphysics of Intentionality*. MIT Press.
- Dowty, David R., et al., **1989**. *Introduction to Montague Semantics*, Synthese Library.
- Muskens, Reinhard, **1995**. *Meaning and Partiality*, CSLI.
- van Benthem, Johan, **1997**. *Handbook of Logic and Language*, MIT Press.
- Chihara, C.S., **2001**. *The Worlds of Possibility: Modal Realism and the Semantics of Modal Logic*, OUP.
- Winter, Yoad, **2001**. *Flexibility Principles in Boolean Semantics: The Interpretation of Coordination, Plurality, and Scope in Natural Language*, MIT Press.
- van Benthem, Johan, **2002**. *A Manual of Intensional Logic: 2nd Edition*, CSLI.
- Stalnaker, R., **2012**. *Mere Possibilities: Metaphysical Foundations of Modal Semantics*, Princeton University Press.
- Berto, Francesco, **2012**. *Existence as a Real Property: The Ontology of Meinongianism*, Synthese Library.
- Williamson, Timothy, **2013**. *Modal Logic as Metaphysics*. OUP.