# The Cooperative Primate



#### Cogs 184 \* Modeling Cognitive Evolution

## **Evolving Motivation**

- Some cognitive developments in hominids concerned <u>motivation/affect</u>
  - vs. Tool use, reasoning, symbols, etc.

 These changes effect types of behavior seen
 AND types of reasoning possible



 In particular, humans show far greater <u>tendency to cooperate</u> than any other primate

## **Cooperative Breeding**



## Alloparenting

by kin or non-kin





## **Cooperative Foraging**



Forage in groups

Share resources with all



#### Nonhuman Primates Forage Independently

#### Even in a group, collect and process food INDIVIDUALLY







Altho infants learn from Mom

## **<u>RARE</u>** – Collaborative hunting in some chimpanzees



## Cooperative Hunting in Hominids



Becomes obligatory in hominids





Working together, the group is more effective than the individual

### Gathering



**Gathering** Find, Procure, Prepare, Share

Experimentation, Tradition required.

Although note – other animals show medicinal shifts in diet when sick.

## **Base Camps**

- First evidence in *Homo erectus,* with control of fire
- Established as place where collected resources are **SHARED**



## **Food Sharing**



## All humans share food





## NHPs rarely share food



NHPs tolerate scrounging, & moms may give to infants, but young never give...

## Giving – Only Humans!

- From ~ 7 months, humans give to others
  - Especially food, but also other objects



# **Humans Help**



## Hominids Help



"Old Man of Chapelle aux Saints" Buried Neanderthal deformed from birth, lived to old age. Apparently supported by his community



Human infants will pick up objects another appeared to drop "accidently", but not if dropped "deliberately"





Tomasello et al, 2006



#### It feels good to help

Proximate mechanism (giving help is reinforcing) suggests evolutionary payoffs



Humans empathize with, and aid, not only other humans (even if <u>unrelated</u>)...

Why do we help strangers?

- Hominids mostly interact w/kin, cohorts,
- So pays off often enough to be basic MO?







See discussion of evolutionary advantages of self-deception! (Von Hippel & Trivers, 2011)

Why do we help strangers?



<u>See self</u> as predominantly good, increases likelihood you will do good



## **Reputation**

Why do we help strangers?



If help, even without reciprocation, <u>seen by others</u> as a good choice to invest in as a potential collaborator

We find Helpers attractive



## **Reputation**

Eventually, with the emergence of language, can use speech (gossip, truth/lies) to make/break another's reputation...



...or (via boasting, confessing) impact your own

Why do we help strangers?



But reputation-building alone seems insufficient to account for human inclination to help...



#### It *feels* good to help !



Proximate mechanism of helping >> positive reinforcement, suggests helping may have OVERALL tended to pay off...

• Can also recognize, use <u>helping by others to **third parties**</u> to guide own behavior



• e.g. 6 mo olds shown "friendly/unfriendly" shapes help or hinder

a circle to roll to top of hill

Then show preference to interact with <u>helping</u> shape (Kuhlmeier et al 2003; Hamlin et al 2007)



# • Plus, <u>help to LEARN</u> = **TEACHING**

- Intervene in other's process for their benefit
- Much more on this to come!



- Humans track fairness
  - Although may then promote or exploit it!



 Even some NHPs show some evidence of assuring they get "my fair share"

 Cebus monkeys trained to give rock (from in cage) to human for cucumber



Give rock



Get cucumber





Other watches, awaits own turn

• Each monkey learns same task, and watches other



Give rock







Previous watches, awaits own turn

Fairness: Brosnan (2006) on Cebus

 Then <u>one</u> monkey receives (more desirable) *grape* as other watches



# Give rock

### Get grape!





Other watches, awaits own turn

Fairness: Brosnan on Cebus

 <u>Watching</u> monkey will now REFUSE to work any longer for (unfair!) cucumber



Both Cebus monkeys, & the apes (Chimps) tested showed such a sensitivity to fairness...

- <u>Unlike NHPs</u>, humans also exercise
  third party evaluation/enforcement
- i.e. <u>Police</u> others' behavior
  - Develop elaborate <u>cultural conventions</u> (laws, sanctions) to regulate





• Caching corvids also harass 3rd parties for stealing from others

## **ETHICS:** Fairness



## Note how often depends on "<u>Intention</u>"

One reason we need to get a grip on this slippery topic!



## **Reciprocal Altruism**



## Between <u>unrelated</u> individuals



Track debt, pay back in variable currency, etc

## **Reciprocal Altruism**



## Only stable if can detect & punish "cheaters"





- Cards have letter on one side, number on the other
- <u>RULE</u>: If Vowel on one side, then must be Odd on other
- Which card(s) are <u>necessary</u> to turn over to confirm?
- Subjects find this difficult, do not always pick correct card(s)!
### Wason Selection Task





- But, if provide context of obligation (social contract), easier!
- i.e. You work for the postal Service, make sure mailers comply with rules
  - <u>RULE</u>: If letter Sealed, then must have Stamp

Same "logic" involved as above, but much *Easier!* 

Check for Cheaters!

### ETHICS

#### Cultural norms of proper behavior

Learn by observation, imitation & reinforcement, AND via sanctioning by others and internalized dis/approval



"Good"/"Bad" varies with culture, but typical examples relate to above...



Do your share. Do no harm. Serve the common good.

- But, Cooperation also often entails Competition
- As a result, can lead to In Group / Out Group Distinctions



• i.e. Humans much more likely to help members of In Group

Cultural norms often involve <u>marking</u>
In-Group-Out Group distinctions



• Cultural norms often involve <u>marking</u> In-Group-Out Group distinctions



#### Language, especially, supports these distinctions





Did Homo sapiens eliminate Homo neanderthalensis due to such distinctions???



Let us consider other aspects of Behavior & Cognition that are associated with being Cooperative...

### Social Complexity

Social domain is probably the most cognitively demanding...



### Social Complexity



In a "simple" hierarchical society, C only needs to track its own <u>DYADIC</u> relationships.





<u>Power not = Rank</u>

(de Waal, 1986)

#### **COALITIONS**

between lower ranking individuals can out-compete higher ranking individuals

So, C must track not just its own dyadic relations, but also the <u>relations between others</u>.

Much more cognitively demanding!

Social Complexity demands Cognitive Complexity

 Thus, in above examples, when see "NEW" behaviors like policing, or recognizing 3<sup>rd</sup> party help/hinder . . .





- May NOT be that we evolved the ability to do these behaviors *per se*
- Instead, arise when add new "<u>Helping Matters</u>" to a system already atuned to <u>Third Party Relations</u>

### Hunter/Gatherer Society

# MEAT

- Gradually increasing in hominid diet
- w/Assorted other gathered foods, helped to <u>fueled brain bloom</u>
- Even in *Homo habillis*, (most likely a scavenger) stone tools used to access marrow & meat, without "power-jaw"





### Hunter/Gatherer Society

### **FIRE for COOKING**

"Predigest" meat, plant foods, using controlled fire More nutritionally accessible, less time chewing, digesting



COOKING >> Larger brain, shorter gut, change in teeth





### Collaboration

Accomplish together what cannot (as easily or at all) be accomplished alone



Do your part, coordinated with others in space & time

# Roles

- Above depends on a <u>Division of Labor</u>
- Both within & between Hunters and Gatherers



- e.g. *H. erectus* especially may have run-down prey
  - A very different contribution from gathering!
- e.g. Hunting Flankers, Drivers & Catchers;
- e.g. Tool maker, Thrower, Processors of carcass, etc.
- e.g. Gathering Young collect obvious nuts, older extract roots, more discriminant collect herbs
- Note many tools probably for gathering;
  - May have been made, used mainly by females(?)
  - Note in chimpanzees, females are primary tool users

#### **Traditional Roles**

Possibly per age, gender, status, etc.



Cultural conventions, as well as talent, play a role in assigning roles!

### Roles

### Plus, <u>Status</u> may accrue to various roles





### Roles

 May also help select for the <u>abstract categorization of behavior</u>, since "role" can be filled by various individuals



Who will play . . . Role X

Role Y



# **Self Control**

### Hunting

Suppress noise to stalk, Postpone action until others in place, etc.





# Self Control

Carry

When objects are valuable (require time to make, are necessary to forage) then keeping/transporting them becomes an issue

 Requires we <u>suppress</u> use of object-in-hand



Delay gratification (see D

(see Discussion of "time-travel").

### Many cooperative situations require Self Control



### Self Control



We are not the only species that can exercise self control, but we do it more & better than most.

### Self Control: Promote Group Harmony



Resist selfish impulses, Do not exploit partners even if profitable

### Self Control: Living in Close Quarters

Suppress tempers – need to get along, esp in tight quarters



Respect the privacy of others

# Self Control

### "Motherese"



Adopt emotional state you want to promote in others





#### See upcoming reading Falk 2004

# Self Control





# **Deception**

Conceal emotion, control gaze direction, postpone activity until hidden, etc.



MORE TO COME!

# Planning

- Much of above behavior may be base on <u>long-term plans</u>
  - "Action in advance of need"
  - (Consider Suddendorf & Corballis reading)
- Could be argued for all tool-making and hunting/gathering that serves beyond *personal* requirements







# Planning





#### We are the $\underline{CACHING}$ primate

When did we start caching food?





Consider primate-atypical <u>memory requirements</u> involved for what, where, how much stored

Etc?

(See upcoming Developmental lecture)

# Most human practices require teaching







### Even when taught, refined skill requires <u>Practice</u>

<u>Motivation</u> to practice from cultural expectations



• Requires **provisioning** by others,

if you are to survive while <u>investing time</u> in making, training tools for the good of self & others





Theory of Mind required for teaching?

Expert takes into account what Novice knows / does not know



MORE TO COME!