# arousing decisions

## GUCCI GUILTY

Sex sells... ordoes it?





# Old Spice body spray = power If you wear it you will become powerful



#### The Economist

#### The first sexual revolution

# Pleasure principles

How morality became personal in 18th-century England

Feb 11th 2012



There was a revolution regarding sexual mores during the mid-18th century in England and much of Europe.

#### Do pretty women inspire men to discount the future?

#### Margo Wilson<sup>\*</sup> and Martin Daly

Department of Psychology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada \* Author for correspondence (wilson@mcmaster.ca).

Recd 22.10.03; Accptd 29.10.03; Published online 12.12.03

Organisms 'discount the future' when they value imminent goods over future goods. Optimal discounting varies: selection should favour allocations of effort that effectively discount the future relatively steeply in response to cues promising relatively good returns on present efforts. However, research on human discounting has hitherto focused on stable individual differences rather than situational effects.

In two experiments, discounting was assessed on the basis of choices between a smaller sum of money tomorrow and a larger sum at a later date, both before and after subjects rated the 'appeal' of 12 photographs. In experiment 1, men and women saw either attractive or unattractive opposite-sex faces; in experiment 2, participants saw more or less appealing cars. As predicted, discounting increased significantly in men who viewed attractive women, but not in men who viewed unattractive women or women who viewed men; viewing cars produced a different pattern of results.

**Keywords:** future discounting; sex differences; sexual selection; attractiveness





Magazine Covers - for MEN interesting sexy women male star ٧S these covers maggine covers maggine out perform



#### And the implication is...



#### (a) Measuring individual discount parameters

In a modification of the method of Kirby & Marakovich (1996), successive screens provided participants with choices between two monetary options: a specified sum 'tomorrow' (range over the 18 choices of \$15 to \$35) or a larger sum (range of \$50 to \$75) after a specified delay (range of 7 to 236 days).

Indifference between a smaller, earlier reward (tomorrow) and a larger, later reward (future) indicates the following hyperbolic discount parameter k (Kirby & Santiesteban 2003):

 $k = (future\$ - tomorrow\$)/((delay(in days) \times tomorrow\$) - (future\$)).$ 

LOMOTYOW:

future: value imminent 7 future

DISCOUNT THE FUTURE

Wilson and Daly (2003)









Two experiments

rate & rank

Wilson and Daly (2003)

Cars





Wilson and Daly (2003)

#### The Methods used in Behavioral Economics





#### **Standard Economic Theory:**

Assumes that people are rational, act based on full information and have stable preferences while always maximizing utility.

# Fully aware of all the options they have

People are **rational**: will *maximize utility* 

Always and consistently: rank their options according to their **preferences** 

Always choose the option they like best

Homo Economicus

#### Arousal and Economic Decision Making

Salar Jahedi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Cary Deck<sup>2,3,4</sup>, and Dan Ariely<sup>5</sup>

Previous experiments have found that subjecting participants to cognitive load leads to poorer decision making, consistent with dual-system models of behavior. Rather than taxing the cognitive system, this paper reports the results of an experiment that takes a complementary approach: arousing the emotional system. The results indicate that exposure to arousing visual stimuli as compared to neutral images has a negligible impact on performance in arithmetic tasks, impatience, risk taking in the domain of losses, and snack choice although we find that arousal modestly increases risk-taking in the gains domain and increases susceptibility to anchoring effects. We find the effect of arousal on decision making to be smaller and less consistent then the effect of increased cognitive load for the same tasks.



#### DANIEL

Prode topo

#### KAHNEMAN

WINNER OF THE NOBEL PRIZE IN ECONOMICS

READ BY PATRICK EGAN . AN UNABRIDGED PRODUCTION



# Q: Does activating one of the dual systems cause a shift M behavior?



# *"automatic nonconscious processes pervade all aspects of mental and social life"*

John Bargh, Yale University

Sergio Della Sala (2007) "Tall Tales about the Mind and Brain: Separating Fact from Fiction" Oxford University Press

Two -track mind:

#### Thinking Fast



#### Thinking Slow



## Thinking Fast

-fast -automatic -effortless -implicit -emotional

INTUITION ,

### , Thinking Slow

-slow -deliberate -sequential -rational -effortful -explicit

116





#### Continuously scans the environment



#### Fast, but error prone



#### THINKING FAST - IMPLICIT

# tow robust & rehably Q. Can the emotional system shift decisions?



Lizard Brain	Mammal Brain	Human Brain
Brain stem & cerebelum	Limbic System	Neocortex
Fight or flight	Emotions, memories, habits	Language, abstract thought, imagination, consciousness
Autopilot	Decisions	Reasons, rationalizes



#### The Triune Brain in Evolution, Paul MacLean 1960



# Q. DORS emotional taxing change decision-maling behavior in a similar manner as does taxing cognitive loads?



https://www.racked.com/video/2017/12/30/16767628/hormones-shopping-choices-impact