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Petersen MC, Shulman GI. Mechanisms of Insulin Action and Insulin Resistance. Physiol Rev 98: 2133–2223, 2018. Published August 1, 2018; doi:10.1152/physrev.00063.2017.—The 1921 discovery of insulin was a Big Bang from which a vast and expanding universe of research into insulin action and resistance has issued. In the intervening century, some discoveries have matured, coalescing into solid and fertile ground for clinical application; others remain incompletely investigated and scientifically controversial. Here, we attempt to synthesize this work to guide further mechanistic investigation and to inform the development of novel therapies for type 2 diabetes (T2D). The rational development of such therapies necessitates detailed knowledge of one of the key pathophysiological processes involved in T2D: insulin resistance. Understanding insulin resistance, in turn, requires knowledge of normal insulin action. In this review, both the physiology of insulin action and the pathophysiology of insulin resistance are described, focusing on three key insulin target tissues: skeletal muscle, liver, and white adipose tissue. We aim to develop an integrated physiological perspective, placing the intricate signaling effectors that carry out the cell-autonomous response to insulin in the context of the tissue-specific functions that generate the coordinated organismal response. First, in section II, the effectors and effects of direct, cell-autonomous insulin action in muscle, liver, and white adipose tissue are reviewed, beginning at the insulin receptor and working downstream. Section III considers the critical and underappreciated role of tissue crosstalk in whole body insulin action, especially the essential interaction between adipose lipolysis and hepatic gluconeogenesis. The pathophysiology of insulin resistance is then described in section IV. Special attention is given to which signaling pathways and functions become insulin resistant in the setting of chronic overnutrition, and an alternative explanation for the phenomenon of “selective hepatic insulin resistance” is presented. Sections V, VI, and VII critically examine the evidence for and against several putative mediators of insulin resistance. Section V reviews work linking the bioactive lipids diacylglycerol, ceramide, and acylcarnitine to insulin resistance; section VI considers the impact of nutrient stresses in the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria on insulin resistance; and section VII discusses non-cell autonomous factors proposed to induce insulin resistance, including inflammatory mediators, branched-chain amino acids, adipokines, and hepatokines. Finally, in section VIII, we propose an integrated model of insulin resistance that links these mediators to final common pathways of metabolite-driven gluconeogenesis and ectopic lipid accumulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) is one of the defining medical challenges of the 21st century (960). Overconsumption of relatively inexpensive, calorically dense, inadequately satiating, highly palatable food in industrialized nations has led to unprecedented increases in obesity. In the United States, the combined prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes is over 50% (538). Although only a subset of obese people develops T2D, obesity is a major risk factor for T2D, and rates of T2D prevalence have paralleled those of obesity (381). The fasting hyperglycemia that defines T2D is largely secondary to inadequate action of the major glucose-lowering hormone: insulin. Understanding the mechanisms of insulin action is therefore essential for the continued development of effective therapeutic strategies to combat T2D.

Insulin is an endocrine peptide hormone that binds plasma membrane-bound receptors in target cells to orchestrate an integrated anabolic response to nutrient availability. In all
animals, insulin or insulin-like peptides (ILPs) have been identified (120). In invertebrates, ILPs provide mitogenic signaling input, but their effects on metabolic processes and fuel selection are less significant (917). Leveraging gene duplication events through evolutionary time, mammals developed specialized functions for the related peptide hormones insulin, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 and IGF-2 (120). IGF-1 and IGF-2 promote cell growth and differentiation in mammals; in contrast, insulin primarily controls metabolic fluxes (204). However, the blurriness of these functional distinctions is highlighted by the high homology between the insulin and IGF-1 receptors, which form hybrid heterodimers in many cell types and share many downstream effectors (41, 770). The overlap in signaling functions between insulin and IGF-1 likely also contributes to the well-established relationship between hyperinsulinemia and several cancers (631). In this review, we focus on physiological effects of mammalian insulin binding to the insulin receptor and molecular mechanisms by which insulin’s effects are attenuated in the insulin-resistant state that heralds and accompanies T2D.

Although many somatic cell types express insulin receptors, the role of insulin in glucose homeostasis is typified by insulin’s direct effects on skeletal muscle, liver, and white adipocytes. These tissues perform distinct roles in metabolic homeostasis, necessitating tissue-specific insulin signal transduction pathways. For example, in skeletal muscle, insulin promotes glucose utilization and storage by increasing glucose transport and net glycogen synthesis. In liver, insulin activates glycogen synthesis, increases lipogenic gene expression, and decreases gluconeogenic gene expression. In white adipocyte tissue (WAT), insulin suppresses lipolysis and increases glucose transport and lipogenesis. Despite these diverse effects, the proximal components involved in insulin signal transduction are remarkably similar in all insulin-responsive cells. The diversity of physiological insulin responses in different cell types largely owes to distinct distal effectors. The cell-autonomous effects of insulin in skeletal muscle, liver, and WAT, with an emphasis on signal transduction events linked to physiological regulation of metabolic fluxes, will be explored in section II.

In addition to these direct effects, insulin also exerts important indirect effects on target tissues. Because of the integrated, context-specific nature of these indirect effects, they are difficult to model in cultured cells and are consequently less well understood than direct, cell-autonomous effects of insulin. An example of indirect insulin action is the effect of insulin suppression of WAT lipolysis to decrease hepatic acetyl-CoA content, in turn allosterically decreasing pyruvate carboxylase activity. This mechanism, together with suppression of glycerol turnover, enables insulin suppression of WAT lipolysis to suppress hepatic gluconeogenesis (684, 903). Insulin suppression of glucagon secretion through paracrine signaling in the pancreatic islet and insulin action in the central nervous system (CNS) represent other important pathways of indirect insulin action. These physiological processes will be examined in section III.

When higher circulating insulin levels are necessary to achieve the integrated glucose-lowering response described above, a subject is considered insulin resistant. A variety of clinical entities—prediabetes, lipodystrophy (642), polycystic ovarian syndrome (202), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (520)—are accompanied by increased fasting plasma insulin concentrations. This increased work load for the endocrine pancreas, and consequent β-cell decompensation, is a major mechanism for the development of overt T2D (380, 389, 750). However, the importance of insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of T2D is highlighted by prospective human studies that have revealed insulin resistance as the best predictor of future T2D diagnosis (481, 884). Because insulin action serves different functions in different cell types, insulin resistance has diverse functional ramifications in the various insulin target tissues. The cellular and molecular physiology of insulin resistance will be explored in section IV, with special attention to specific molecular sites of blockade, contributions of indirect insulin action, and the proposed entity of pathway-selective hepatic insulin resistance, wherein some signaling pathways downstream of the insulin receptor appear to retain insulin responsiveness while others manifest insulin resistance (99, 921).

Having described the phenomenon of insulin resistance in section IV, we proceed to examine its mechanistic basis. Mechanisms of insulin resistance are most helpfully categorized using the molecular mediators, pathways, and networks involved. The remainder of this review examines the experimental support for several proposed mechanisms of cellular insulin resistance using this paradigm.

Several lipid moieties, including diacylglycerol (DAG), ceramides, and acylcarnitines, have been implicated in the pathogenesis of liver and skeletal muscle insulin resistance (127, 561, 724). The mechanistic pathways elucidated, with varying levels of experimental support, largely run parallel to one another such that the involvement of one mediator does not preclude the involvement of another. The putative mediators, pathways, and networks involved in lipid-induced liver and muscle insulin resistance are discussed in section V.

A substantial literature describes cellular mechanisms for insulin resistance that are thought to be independent of lipotoxicity. These include endoplasmic reticulum stress and the unfolded protein response (481), reactive oxygen intermediates acting in various subcellular compartments (37), and substrate competition between glucose and fatty acids (397, 677). Section VI examines the experimental evidence for involvement of each of these pathways in typical
obesity-associated insulin resistance, with consideration of their role in an integrated physiological framework.

Finally, increasing recognition of the integrated nature of metabolic physiology has sparked investigation of mechanisms of insulin resistance that involve crosstalk between insulin-responsive tissues. Inflammatory signaling has emerged as a key paracrine/endocrine driver of insulin resistance; for example, activated adipose tissue macrophages have been strongly linked to metabolic dysfunction (331, 446, 594). The mechanisms by which inflammation promotes insulin resistance are under intense investigation. Additionally, the last two decades have yielded the identification of dozens of endogenous circulating bioactive peptide hormones with putative effects on insulin sensitivity and have also revealed that circulating branched-chain amino acids may be a predictive biomarker of insulin resistance (577). Rather than providing a catalog entry for each of these circulating factors, section VII focuses on those with established mechanistic links to cellular mechanisms of insulin action and resistance: retinol binding protein-4 (RBP4), adiponectin, fetuin-A, and fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21).

In offering this review, we hope that our comprehensive treatment of both insulin action and inaction presents a unified framework for understanding the physiology of this critically important signaling axis in health and disease and that it provides context for future discoveries that will facilitate the prevention and treatment of T2D. We attempt to develop such a unified summary in section VIII.

II. DIRECT INSULIN ACTION

A. Proximal Insulin Signaling: The Insulin Receptor and Its Direct Substrates

Insulin exerts all of its known physiological effects by binding to the insulin receptor (INSR) on the plasma membrane of target cells (297). INSR is a heterotetrameric receptor tyrosine kinase formed from two extracellular α subunits, which bind insulin, and two membrane-spanning β subunits, each of which contains a tyrosine kinase domain (343). There are two INSR isoforms, A and B, but the B isoform is much more specific for insulin; is the primary isoform expressed in differentiated liver, muscle, and WAT; and is thus thought to mediate most metabolic effects of insulin (44). The A isoform, differentiated by the splicing out of exon 11, is expressed highly in fetal development, when its high affinity for IGF-2 is particularly useful (44).

INSR has two insulin binding sites but exhibits negative cooperativity, meaning that insulin binding at one site decreases insulin binding affinity in the other site (186). Thus available evidence indicates that at physiological concentrations, one insulin molecule binds and activates one INSR (186, 343). The induced conformational change in the β subunit relieves cis-autoinhibition in the kinase activation loop and permits trans-auto phosphorylation of the activation loop tyrosines Tyr^{1162}, Tyr^{1158}, and Tyr^{1163}, in that order (344, 889). The β subunit, thus activated by trans-phosphorylation, undergoes further tyrosine phosphorylation on residues including Tyr^{972} in the juxtamembrane region; these additional events are important for recruitment of INSR substrates (941). Signaling events downstream of INSR activation can be grossly functionally divided into mitogenic and metabolic signals. The mitogenic signals primarily involve activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway common to many receptor tyrosine kinases; this signaling axis has been reviewed extensively (41, 400, 535, 616). The insulin concentrations necessary to stimulate metabolic responses are lower than those needed for mitogenic responses; this relationship is reversed for the IGF-1 receptor (41). This review focuses on the INSR-activated pathways that regulate metabolism.

In all cell types, activated INSR initiates downstream metabolic signaling by first recruiting phosphotyrosine-binding scaffold proteins, which in turn activate downstream effectors (FIGURE 1) (826). This is in contrast to many other receptor tyrosine kinases, which phosphorylate cytoplasmic substrates directly. The recruitment of diverse phosphotyrosine-binding proteins to INSR permits early ramification of insulin signaling to activate multiple functional modules. INSR can engage several phosphotyrosine-binding proteins. SHC interacts through its phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domain with INSR pTyr^{972} (343). SH2B1, SH2B2/APS, GRB10, and GRB14 interact through their Src homology 2 (SH2) domains with the activated, trans-phosphorylated INSR activation loop (190, 343). These substrates can serve critical regulatory functions (190, 343). For example, GRB10 phosphorylation and stabilization by mTORC1, which is itself activated by insulin signaling, provides feedback inhibition of INSR activity (339). Other INSR substrates, such as GRB2 and SHC, are involved in the mitogenic arm of insulin signaling (41), while SH2B2/APS helps to initiate the metabolic insulin response, at least in some cell types (471). Attenuation of this proximal phosphotyrosine-based insulin signaling is carried out in part by receptor internalization and dephosphorylation. One key regulator of INSR internalization is CEACAM1, which is itself an INSR substrate (568, 660). INSR dephosphorylation is performed by protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPases), especially PTP1B. However, this attenuation likely occurs with a time delay, after INSR internalization (808). Immediately after activation, INSR inhibits PTP1B activity by activating NAD(P)H oxidase 4 (NOX4). NOX4-derived H₂O₂ in turn inhibits PTP1B activity, providing feedback amplification in the early phase of insulin signaling (515, 921).
Although the aforementioned INSR substrates play important and incompletely understood roles, the best-described class of INSR scaffolds is the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) family. Although there are six IRS isoforms (IRS1–6), IRS1 and IRS2 are thought to mediate most of the metabolic effects of INSR activation; either muscle-specific or liver-specific deletion of both \( I_{rs}1 \) and \( I_{rs}2 \) in mice phenocopies \( I_{nsr} \) deletion in those tissues (83, 196, 498). IRS proteins have NH\(_2\)-terminal pleckstrin homology (PH) and PTB domains that target them to activated INSR, and their long COOH-terminal tails are replete with tyrosine and serine/threonine phosphorylation sites (900). After binding of the IRS PTB domain to INSR pTyr\(_{972}\), INSR phosphorylates multiple IRS tyrosine residues, which in turn recruit downstream signaling effectors to propagate and amplify the insulin response (343). The many (>70) COOH-terminal serine/threonine phosphorylation sites of IRS proteins affect IRS activity and protein stability, allowing them to mediate feedback inhibition of insulin signaling, most prominently by S6 kinase (S6K) (169, 554, 899). As we will consider in later sections, IRS phosphorylation is also a major mechanism by which several stimuli are thought to cause insulin resistance.

Tyrosine-phosphorylated IRS proteins then recruit phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) heterodimers containing a regulatory \( \gamma \)-subunit and a catalytic \( \delta \)-subunit. Specifically, tyrosine-phosphorylated IRS YXXM motifs recruit the SH2 domain of the PI3K regulatory subunit (826). The five distinct PI3K regulatory subunit isoforms are en-
coded by three genes (Pik3r1, Pik3r2, and Pik3r3); there are three PI3K catalytic subunit isoforms encoded by three genes (Pik3ca, Pik3cb, Pik3cd). Not surprisingly, the combinations of PI3K heterodimer composition have complicated investigations of isoform-specific functions. However, the critical importance of PI3K activity in insulin action is well-established: pharmacological PI3K inhibition abolishes insulin stimulation of glucose transport and DNA synthesis, and various PI3K subunit knockout models generally support the classification of PI3K as an essential node in insulin signaling (88, 128, 150, 240, 790, 826). PI3K catalyzes the production of phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3) from phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2). The reverse reaction is catalyzed by phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN), and PTEN activity is inhibited by insulin through incompletely understood mechanisms that may involve PTEN interaction with the PIP3-Rac exchanger 2 (P-REX2) (319). This coordinated activation of PI3K and inhibition of PTEN enables net accumulation of PIP3 to propagate and amplify insulin signaling. PIP3 then recruits proteins with PH domains to the plasma membrane, helping to colocalize downstream signaling effectors. Two such effectors are the phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) and AKT. After binding to PIP3, AKT is activated by phosphorylation in its activation loop (Thr308 in AKT1) by PDK1 (16, 800), and in its hydrophobic motif (Ser473 in AKT1) by mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 2 (mTORC2) (729). Importantly, although AKT Ser473 phosphorylation is perhaps the most commonly used readout of cellular insulin action, the precise signaling cascade linking INSR activation to AKT Ser473 phosphorylation is unknown. mTORC2 phosphorylation of AKT Ser473 is partially IRS-independent; insulin still stimulates AKT Ser473 phosphorylation in mice lacking both IRS1 and IRS2, although to a lesser extent than normal (195). Activated AKT phosphorylates many downstream substrates in diverse functional pathways, making it a key node in the ramification of insulin signaling. The importance of AKT for normal insulin action is highlighted by the identification of a partial loss-of-function mutation in AKT2 in ~1% of the Finnish population that impairs insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscle and adipose tissue and increases endogenous glucose production (454). PI3K-AKT signaling is potentiated by INS R-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation of the guanine exchange factor GIV/Girdin, providing feedforward amplification to proximal insulin action (500, 514).

These proximal insulin signaling events—insulin receptor activation and recruitment/phosphorylation of signaling proteins, most prominently IRS, PI3K, and AKT isoforms—are largely conserved in insulin target tissues and initiate the insulin response at the plasma membrane. We now consider key insulin-responsive cell types individually to better describe how specific downstream effectors produce tissue-specific physiological responses.

B. Skeletal Muscle Insulin Signaling: Effectors and Effects

Skeletal muscle is an energy-consuming tissue; any energy the myocyte stores is mostly for its own later use with the exception of 3-carbon units (lactate, alanine) generated by glycolysis that are released by skeletal muscle and mostly cycled to the liver. Insulin signals to skeletal muscle that glucose is abundant; accordingly, the myocyte insulin signaling cascade is specialized to promote glucose uptake and net glycogen synthesis. The absolute requirement of the myocellular insulin receptor for these processes was demonstrated by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies of muscle-specific INSR knockout (MIRKO) mice, which displayed impairments in insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake and muscle glycogen synthesis (407). Muscle-specific knockout of Grb10 in mice, which results in loss of its feedback inhibition on INSR as discussed previously, enhances myocellular insulin sensitivity and increases muscle size (329). Although both IRS1 and IRS2 are expressed in skeletal muscle, the primary INSR substrate in muscle appears to be IRS1. IRS1 knockout, but not IRS2 knockout, causes defective insulin-stimulated glucose transport in L6 rat myotubes and human primary myotubes (87, 340, 837). Additionally, isolated soleus muscles from Irs2−/− mice have normal dose-dependent insulin stimulation of glucose uptake (316). Irs2 may be important for insulin control of lipid metabolism in the myocyte (87). Both of the major isoforms of the PI3K catalytic subunit, p110α and p110β, are expressed in skeletal muscle. Of the five PI3K regulatory subunit splice isoforms, p55γ, p85β, and p55α are thought to be most relevant in skeletal muscle (826), as mice with muscle-specific deletion of these isoforms have impaired (although not abolished) insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis (505). Increases in membrane PIP3 content cause the membrane recruitment of the PH domain-containing kinases PDK1 and AKT (471). Both AKT1 and AKT2 are present in skeletal muscle, but AKT2 appears to be more important for insulin-stimulated glucose metabolism. RNA interference of Akt2 in primary human myotubes abrogated insulin stimulation of glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis, while Akt1 knockout had no effect on these parameters (87). In support of this paradigm, Akt2−/− mice are severely glucose intolerant (141), while Akt1−/− mice display normal glucose tolerance, although a severe growth defect complicates metabolic phenotyping in Akt1−/− mice (142).

Perhaps the best studied functional effect of the myocellular insulin signaling cascade is increased glucose transport activity. This is accomplished through highly coordinated translocation and fusion of the glucose transporter GLUT4, packaged in GLUT4 storage vesicles (GSVs), to the plasma membrane (471). Current understanding of this process in muscle stands somewhat in contrast to that in adipocytes, for which PI3K-dependent and PI3K-independent pathways have been described. Future research may identify
essential PI3K-independent mechanisms for insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake, but current evidence primarily implicates PI3K-dependent control (505, 818). Unexpectedly, Pik3r1−/− mice displayed paradoxical increases in insulin-stimulated glucose transport, but this effect likely owed to compensation from other PI3K regulatory subunits (833). Mice lacking both Pik3r1 and Pik3r2 in skeletal muscle (Pik3r1 mKO Pik3r2−/− mice) exhibited impaired insulin-stimulated glucose transport (505). The magnitude of this impairment in Pik3r1 mKO Pik3r2−/− mice was smaller than what would be expected if insulin-stimulated glucose transport was entirely PI3K-dependent, and PI3K activation per se may not be sufficient to cause GLUT4 translocation in L6 myotubes, suggesting that PI3K-independent mechanisms may be operative (352, 471, 505). However, the (incompletely specific) PI3K inhibitor wortmannin can completely abolish insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake (274, 818). PI3K control of GLUT4 translocation is mediated through parallel signaling through AKT and the Rho GTPase RAC1 and involves the coordinated action of many proteins involved in GSV trafficking and fusion (140, 471, 818).

AKT phosphorylates several proteins involved in myocellular glucose uptake. The best characterized of these AKT substrates are the GTPase-activating protein (GAP) AKT substrate of 160 kDa (AS160), also known as TBC1D4, and the related GAP TBC1D1 (384, 727, 831). Phosphorylation by AKT blocks TBC1D4/TBC1D1 inactivation of small Rab GTPase protein switches that control vesicle trafficking; the net effect is to promote GSV translocation (413). RAB8, RAB10, and RAB14 have variously been implicated as targets of TBC1D4/TBC1D1 (471). TBC1D4 Thr649 is a physiologically important AKT substrate; mice homozygous for a Thr649Ala knock-in mutation have impaired insulin-stimulated myocellular GLUT4 translocation and are glucose intolerant (131). The physiological relevance of AS160 was further confirmed by the identification of a family carrying a truncating mutation in TBC1D4 that resulted in profound insulin resistance (178). Although TBC1D1 is better characterized as an AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) target than as an AKT target (831), mice with muscle-specific TBC1D1 deletion also have impaired insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake (194). The relative physiological importance of TBC1D4 versus TBC1D1 for insulin-stimulated GSV translocation in human muscle remains unclear and may vary by muscle fiber type (118, 540). Germline deletion of both Tbc1d1 and Tbc1d4 in mice totally abrogates insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake, resulting in glucose intolerance more severe than in either Tbc1d1−/− or Tbc1d4−/− single knockout mice (118). AKT also phosphorylates target proteins involved in GSV membrane targeting and fusion, but these processes are better understood in adipocytes than myocytes and thus will be discussed later. In general, AKT phosphorylation of TBC1D1/TBC1D4 can be thought of as insulin “releasing the brakes” on GLUT4 translocation (413).

The Rho GTPase RAC1 coordinates a second PI3K-dependent signaling mechanism for insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle. RAC1 signaling promotes GLUT4 translocation by inducing cortical actin reorganization (47, 140, 818). Direct RAC1 targets include the p21-associated kinase (PAK); insulin promotes the GTP-bound form of RAC1, which stimulates PAK phosphorylation by relieving PAK autoinhibition (140, 818). Muscle-specific knockout of RAC1 severely impairs insulin-stimulated glucose uptake despite preserved AKT activation (817), and forced overexpression of constitutively active RAC1 in muscle causes GLUT4 translocation even in the absence of insulin stimulation (858). The specific mechanisms by which RAC1-mediated cortical actin reorganization promotes GLUT4 translocation are an area of continued investigation but may involve tethering of GSVs beneath the plasma membrane and changes in membrane tension (413).

The glucose that enters the myocyte upon insulin stimulation has two major possible fates: glycolysis or glycogen synthesis. The principal pathway of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal in both healthy and type 2 diabetic human muscle is glycogen synthesis (~75%), consistent with the general teleological role of insulin as an energy storage hormone (182, 768). However, glucose oxidation also increases as increased substrate availability drives glycolytic flux; in fasting rat soleus muscle, insulin per se increases relative glucose oxidation (V_{PDE}/V_{TCA}) from ~5 to ~60%, the remainder reflecting fatty acid oxidation (D. Song, T. Alves, R. Perry, and G. Shulman, unpublished data). Although acute insulin-stimulated increases in skeletal muscle glycolytic flux and glycogen synthesis are primarily a consequence of increased glucose transport activity and subsequent allosteric regulation by glucose metabolites, insulin independently regulates both glycolysis and glycogen synthesis (152, 689, 769). Insulin positively regulates the transcription of hexokinase II, the primary skeletal muscle isoform of the first glycolytic enzyme, thus providing relatively slow, coarse control of glycolytic capacity (589).

In contrast, glycogen synthesis is subject to acute regulation by insulin of both anabolic [glycogen synthase (GS)] and catabolic [glycogen phosphorylase (GP)] fluxes (158). This acute regulation occurs through both covalent modification (insulin promotes the dephosphorylation of both GS and GP) and allosteric (by glucose-6-phosphate). We first consider glycogen synthase, in 1960 the first enzyme shown to be regulated, GSK3 kinase activity toward GS is diminished; de-
phosphorylated GS is in turn more active. Simultaneously, insulin activation of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) promotes dephosphorylation of GS (578, 613). Because cells harness the phosphatase activity of PP1 for many targets in diverse pathways, specificity for GS is conferred by four glycogen-targeting regulatory subunits of PP1 (578). These regulatory subunits contain binding domains for PP1, GS, and glycogen and thus serve as metabolic scaffolds (374). In skeletal muscle, \( G_M \) is the most highly expressed regulatory subunit; mice lacking \( G_M \) display decreased muscle glycogen stores (90, 185, 815). Insulin promotes PP1 targeting to glycogen particles and increases PP1 activity towards GS, but the specific molecular mechanisms responsible for this activity are incompletely understood (374). Canonically, the combination of inactive GSK3 and active PP1 promotes the formation of active, dephosphorylated muscle GS, thus facilitating glycogen synthesis (159). However, studies of knock-in mice with GSK3\( ^{(α/β)Ser^{21}/Ser^{9}} \) mutated to alanine, thus rendering GSK3 insensitive to insulin, have cast serious doubts on the importance of GSK3 in glycogen synthesis (84, 85). These mice have normal insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis and normal muscle glycogen content (84). Interestingly, mice with muscle glycogen synthase engineered to be insensitive to allosteric activation by glucose-6-phosphate displayed severely impaired insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis and lower muscle glycogen content (85). These data suggest that the acute regulation of GS by insulin occurs primarily through allosteric glucose-6-phosphate control, thus functionally coupling insulin-stimulated glucose uptake to insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis. The phosphorylation status of GS, then, serves to modulate the enzyme’s affinity for glucose-6-phosphate. Dephosphorylated GS is more sensitive to glucose-6-phosphate allosteroy, facilitating activation of insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis (85, 769).

However, increasing GS activity alone is insufficient for insulin to promote net glycogenesis. Glycogen phosphorylase activity must simultaneously be reduced to prevent glycogen cycling (640). On the catabolic side of glycogen metabolism, glycogen phosphorylase activity is regulated by insulin by largely similar mechanisms as GS: phosphorylation and allosteroy (97). In a classic mechanism, active phosphorylase kinase activates glycogen phosphorylase through phosphorylation of Ser\( ^{145} \); insulin promotes the dephosphorylation and inactivation of phosphorylase kinase, and consequently the dephosphorylation and inactivation of glycogen phosphorylase (433, 949). In addition, insulin targeting of PP1 to the glycogen particle increases its activity towards glycogen phosphorylase, dephosphorylating Ser\( ^{15} \) and thereby decreasing phosphorylase activity (949, 951). Both mechanisms thus enable insulin to decrease glycogenolysis and promote net glycogen synthesis. And just as with glycogen synthase, allosteric control of phosphorylase through inhibition by glucose-6-phosphate is a critical mechanism for insulin control of glycogenolysis (97). Further studies perturbing insulin regulation of glycogen phosphorylase, analogous to those that have been performed for glycogen synthase, are needed to provide a fuller understanding of muscle glycogen metabolism in vivo.

Muscle insulin action is thus a tightly coordinated relay that serves to promote glucose utilization and storage (FIGURE 2). While these physiological outcomes—glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis—have long been appreciated, their molecular basis is still being elucidated. A bewildering array of protein mediators have been implicated in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in particular, and so only a primer is offered above. The study of muscle glycogen metabolism has a storied history reaching back to the origins of biochemistry; those investigators using modern tools to yield new and surprising insights about its regulation are indeed standing on the shoulders of giants.

### C. Hepatic Insulin Signaling: Effectors and Effects

Insulin from the endocrine pancreas is secreted into the portal vein, so the liver is exposed to insulin concentrations two- to threefold higher than those in the general circulation (136). Portal venous insulin measurements, especially in rodents, are difficult and infrequently performed, but investigators studying hepatic insulin action by infusing insulin peripherally must keep in mind that the increment in plasma insulin concentration measured from a peripheral site is not equal to the increment in portal vein insulin concentration “seen” by the liver.

The diverse anabolic ramifications of insulin action are exemplified by the hepatic insulin signaling cascade. Insulin promotes the synthesis of all major classes of metabolic macromolecules: glycogen, lipids, and proteins. Additionally, insulin rapidly and potently reduces hepatic glucose production (HGP) (136). Because increased fasting HGP and insensitivity of this parameter to insulin are hallmarks of T2D, measurement of insulin suppression of HGP is a commonly reported physiological readout of hepatic insulin sensitivity. However, insulin’s immediate suppression of HGP has both direct and indirect components, as we will explore here and in section III (105, 136, 504, 661, 704). Because extrahepatic control of HGP is quantitatively significant, the purest experimental readouts of direct hepatocellular insulin action are insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis, insulin-regulated transcripts, and phosphorylation events within the insulin signaling cascade. It is with this latter aspect of hepatic insulin action that we begin our discussion, for it is these phosphorylation events that enable insulin regulation of physiological processes such as gene transcription and glycogen metabolism.

Hepatic insulin signaling begins, as in all cell types, with INSR \( trans \)-autophosphorylation, activation, and recruit-
ment of scaffold signaling proteins. The major IRS isoforms expressed in hepatocytes are IRS1 and IRS2 (196). Various genetic perturbations of hepatic \textit{Irs1} and \textit{Irs2} expression have not clearly defined distinct roles for either isoform; rather, available evidence suggests that \textit{Irs1} and \textit{Irs2} serve functionally similar roles in liver (195, 196, 662, 827, 907). \textit{Irs1} may play a larger role than \textit{Irs2} in normal glucose homeostasis: liver-specific \textit{Irs1}−/− mice have more pronounced glucose intolerance than liver-specific \textit{Irs2}−/− mice (195). The mildly defective insulin signaling of \textit{Irs1}−/− mice was worsened considerably by concomitant liver-specific \textit{Irs2} deletion, and liver-specific \textit{Irs2} deletion alone produced only mild glucose intolerance with preserved hepatocellular insulin signaling; absence of both isoforms was necessary to produce a severe metabolic phenotype with blunted insulin stimulation of PI3K and AKT activity and marked fasting hyperglycemia (196). Similarly, acute short hairpin RNA-mediated 70–80% knockdown of either \textit{Irs1} or \textit{Irs2} in mouse liver produced mild phenotypes, with no impairment in downstream PI3K or AKT activity (827). Only after co-deletion of \textit{Irs1} and \textit{Irs2} did mice manifest impaired glucose tolerance and blunted insulin stimulation.

\textbf{FIGURE 2.} The insulin signaling cascade in skeletal muscle. Insulin receptor (INSR) activation has two major metabolic functions in the skeletal myocyte: glucose uptake and glycogen storage. Insulin stimulation of glucose uptake occurs through translocation of GLUT4-containing storage vesicles (GSVs) to the plasma membrane. The resultant increase in intracellular glucose-6-phosphate production, together with a coordinated dephosphorylation of glycogen metabolic proteins, enables net glycogen synthesis. Green circles and arrows represent activating events; red circles and arrows represent inhibitory events. GSK3, glycogen synthase kinase 3; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3-kinase; PP1, protein phosphatase 1.
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of PI3K and AKT activity (827). Attempts to assign preferential pathway control to hepatic IRS1 or IRS2 have yielded inconsistent results (195, 827). However, it remains quite possible that IRS1 and IRS2 perform at least partially distinct functions in hepatic insulin signaling; this hypothesis is supported by 1) potent insulin regulation of Insr, but not Insr1, transcription in liver; and 2) the unique KRLB motif of IRS2, which binds to the INSR tyrosine kinase domain and may limit its activity (915, 950). The major PI3K catalytic subunit in hepatocellular insulin signaling is p110α; liver-specific deletion of this isoform severely impairs insulin-stimulated PIP3 generation, AKT activation, and suppression of glucose production in liver (790).

The pathway diversification of hepatic insulin signaling appears to occur largely distal to AKT activation. AKT substrates include GSK3 (regulating glycogen synthesis), the transcription factor forkhead box O1 (FOXO1, regulating gluconeogenic gene transcription), and multiple regulators of mTORC1 activity, which in turn control a large anabolic program upregulating lipogenic gene expression and protein synthesis (141, 504, 604). Although direct hepatocellular insulin signaling for metabolic control may not be entirely AKT-dependent, alternative pathways are yet to be described (504). The considerable functional redundancy between insulin signaling and nutrient sensing pathways, especially mTOR signaling, has challenged attempts to prove the existence of alternative insulin signaling pathways in hepatocytes (339, 504). With this in mind, we now consider the aforementioned physiological branches of hepatocellular insulin signaling in turn.

The stimulation of net glycogen synthesis is a major, direct physiological function of postprandial insulin on the hepatocyte. In humans, half-maximal stimulation of net hepatic glycogen synthetic rate under hyperglycemic, hypogluca-gonemic conditions occurs at portal vein insulin concentrations of 20–25 μU/ml (697). As in skeletal muscle, liver glycogen synthesis is regulated through both phosphorylation and allosteroy, with allosteroy of critical importance (702). Glucose transport in the hepatocyte is not insulin-regulated, and therefore, insulin exerts less complete control over glycogen synthetic rates than in skeletal muscle (440, 640). For example, hyperglycemia is sufficient to inactivate liver glycogen phosphorylase by glucose allosteroy and thereby promote net hepatic glycogen synthesis (109, 440, 640). Hyperglycemia also causes the translocation of glucokinase from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, enabling glucose-G6P flux (359). However, hepatic insulin signaling through the INSR is required for normal glycogen synthesis; rats with acute antisense oligonucleotide knockdown of hepatic Insr have markedly decreased hepatic glycogen synthesis under hyperglycemic conditions (R. J. Perry and G. I. Shulman, unpublished observations). Insulin stimulation of net hepatic glycogen synthesis may occur through several mechanisms. Although glucose transport is not under insu-

lin control in liver, insulin still regulates glycogen synthase (GYS2) allosteroy by G6P. GYS2 Arg582 is necessary for its allosteroyic activation by G6P, and mice heterozygous for a GYS2 R582A mutation displayed reduced hepatic glycogen deposition in fasting-refeeding experiments (872a). Glucokinase translocation is facilitated by insulin, and the Gck gene is also under rapid and potent positive transcriptional control by insulin (9, 295, 359, 360, 451, 504). Increased glucokinase expression is critical for hepatic insulin action not only because it increases G6P allosteroy at GYS2, but because it controls hepatic glucose utilization and storage. Metabolic control analysis has demonstrated that glucokinase expression is a major site of rate control for glycogen synthetic flux (9), and glucokinase activity also drives de novo lipogenesis through substrate push (295). Interestingly, humans with T2D have been reported to display decreased glucokinase expression; the extent of this transcriptional repression was correlated with fasting glycemia (294). AKT phosphorylation and inactivation of GSK3, which favors dephosphorylation of GYS2, may also contribute to insulin stimulation of GYS2 activity. However, in mice lacking Akt1 and Akt2 in liver (AKT DLKO mice), fasting-refeeding failed to stimulate net glycogen synthesis despite paradoxically preserved stimulation of GSK3 phosphorylation, indicating that AKT is necessary and GSK3 phosphorylation is insufficient to drive net hepatic glycogen synthesis (504). Interestingly, glucokinase expression was minimal in AKT DLKO mice and unresponsive to insulin; AKT DLKO mice also displayed decreased glucose-G6P cycling (504). Insulin activation of GYS2 also involves activation of PP1 activity; the critical regulatory phosphorylation site on GYS2 is Ser7 (90, 702). Mice overexpressing GYS2 with S7A and S644A mutations had increased liver glycogen in both fed and fasted conditions (703). Taken together, these data point to the primacy of allosteric and substrate control of net hepatic glycogen synthesis by glucose metabolites, through both insulin-dependent and insu-

lin-independent mechanisms.

Insulin control of hepatic glycogen metabolism also involves suppression of glycogenolytic flux. The mechanisms involved are similar to those described above for muscle. Liver phosphorylase is 79% sequence identical to muscle phosphorylase in humans, and some modes of its regulation are therefore similar. For example, phosphorylation of the NH2-terminal Ser15 strongly activates phosphorylase activity, and insulin inhibition of phosphorylase kinase and activation of protein phosphatase-1 are therefore key mechanisms for insulin suppression of glycogenolysis (579, 682). Insulin inactivation of phosphorylase can be mimicked by expression of constitutively active AKT, indicating that canonical insulin signaling does contribute to suppression of glycogenolysis (13). Control of glycogenolysis is also tightly linked to control of glycogen synthesis: the liver-type glycogen targeting subunit of PP1 (Gt) is bound and inhibited by active phosphorylase, a safeguard against simultaneous ac-
tivation of phosphorylase and GYS2 (9, 15). However, phosphorylase is also under potent allosteric control and, as discussed above, glucose allosterity is sufficient to inhibit glycogenolysis. Liver phosphorylase, unlike the muscle isoform, is relatively insensitive to alloster by AMP or glucose-6-phosphate (579). Rather, allosteric inhibition by glucose itself is of particular regulatory importance for liver phosphorylase (579, 682). Given the rapid, non-insulin-regulated equilibration of glucose across the hepatocellular plasma membrane, and the role of hepatic glycogenolysis in maintaining euglycemia, glucose makes excellent teleological sense as the main allosteric controller of liver phosphorylase activity.

By these mechanisms and most likely by others that remain to be elucidated, insulin and glucose work in concert to regulate liver glycogen metabolism. Although physiological data support a model in which hyperinsulinemia is necessary and sufficient to increase liver glycogen synthetic flux, hyperglycemia is necessary and sufficient to suppress liver glycogenolysis, and both hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia are necessary to promote net liver glycogen synthesis (640), mechanistic investigations have revealed roles for insulin and glucose in both glycogenolysis and glycogen synthesis (78). In addition to the permissive role of insulin in glycogen synthesis, mediated by phosphorylation-based changes in enzyme activity, insulin also controls GS alloster and substrate availability through transcriptional regulation of glucokinase. Because alloster and substrate availability are central to the regulation of hepatic glycogen metabolism (641), the ability of insulin to modulate glycogen metabolic flux through protein phosphorylation, alloster, and substrate availability renders it a powerful regulator of net glycogen synthesis and thus of hepatic glucose production.

Another key mechanism by which insulin responds to the fed state is the transcriptional repression of gluconeogenic genes, mediated most prominently by FOXO transcription factors. FOXO1 is a particularly well-characterized AKT target with important physiological functions in the hepatocyte (103, 195, 484, 720, 857). AKT phosphorylates three residues on FOXO1: Thr24, Ser256, and Ser319, although other kinases can also target these sites (103, 857). Phosphorylated FOXO1 is excluded from the nucleus, disabling its transcription factor activity (103). Active, nuclear FOXO1 binds the transcriptional coactivator peroxisome proliferative activated receptor-γ coactivator 1-α (PGC1α) to coordinate a gluconeogenic transcriptional program involving increased expression of glucose-6-phosphatase (G6pc) and cytosolic phospho-enolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pck1) (569, 664). Active FOXO1 also binds the co-repressor SIN3A to decrease expression of glucokinase, further favoring glucose export (451). The potency of the FOXO1 gluconeogenic transcriptional program has been highlighted by studies of mice with genetic defects in hepatic FOXO1 regulation. Mice lacking hepatic FOXO1 display fasting hypoglycemia and decreased HGP (526). Even a 40% reduction in hepatic Foxo1 mRNA expression in high-fat-fed mice was sufficient to decrease basal HGP (720). Triple knockout of Foxo1, Foxo3, and Foxo4 causes particularly severe fasting hypoglycemia (295, 296). Interestingly, in several models of impaired proximal insulin signaling with increased basal HGP and impaired insulin suppression of HGP, including liver-specific Irs1−/− Irs2−/− mice, liver-specific Insr−− mice, and liver-specific Akt1−− Akt2−− mice, ablation of Foxo1 was sufficient to normalize fasting HGP and resensitize HGP to insulin (195, 504, 603, 840). This remarkable phenotypic rescue likely reflects the disastrous gluconeogenic consequences of unrestrained FOXO1 activity in these models of total hepatic insulin resistance, and more importantly points to the dispensability of direct hepatic insulin action for insulin’s acute suppression of gluconeogenesis in fasted rodents subjected to hyperinsulinemia-euglycemia.

In addition to the FOXO transcription factors described above, a transcriptional complex including the CAMP response element binding protein (CREB), CREB binding protein (CBP), and CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 2 (CRTC2) controls gluconeogenic gene expression in an insulin-dependent manner (421). The CREB/CRTC2 and FOXO1/PGC1α modules appear to be nonredundant and differentially regulated: the CREB/CRTC2 module has been shown to be critical for gluconeogenic gene expression in the first several hours of fasting, while the FOXO1/PGC1α module is more critical during longer fasts (493). Upregulation of PGC1α by CREB/CRTC2 may contribute to this fascinating phenomenon (421). Just as FOXO1 is regulated by phosphorylation-induced nuclear exclusion, CRTC2 is phosphorylated at Ser172 by salt-inducible kinase 2 (SIK2) in response to insulin (188). CRTC2 phosphorylation promotes its export from the nucleus, leading to polyubiquitination and degradation and thus disabling the CRTC2 gluconeogenic program (188). Mice with severe disruptions in this axis display altered glucose homeostasis: CRTC2 knockout mice are hypoglycemic during fasting, and mice overexpressing a constitutively active CRTC2 mutant are hyperglycemic (321, 882).

The FOXO1/PGC1α and CREB/CRTC2 transcriptional modules are well described and elegant mechanisms. Pharmacological PGC1α inhibition has even been shown to reduce gluconeogenic gene expression, fasting glycemia, and hepatic insulin sensitivity in obese high-fat-fed mice (755). But the effect of these transcriptional modules on hepatic gluconeogenesis in modern human daily life, where fasting rarely exceeds 16 h in duration, has been proposed to be relatively minor (419). For example, even 2 h of insulin stimulation is insufficient to cause detectable decreases in G6pc protein levels (620). Rather, computational models indicate that nontranscriptional mechanisms exert high...
control over glucose metabolic fluxes (419). These include changes in substrate availability, allosteric, redox state, and posttranslational modifications. As discussed above, insulin control of hepatic glycogen metabolism by such mechanisms is well described. Nontranscriptional insulin regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis also occurs but has received less attention in recent years. As will be described later, indirect control of hepatic gluconeogenesis through white adipocyte lipolysis is critical to insulin’s acute suppression of gluconeogenesis. However, insulin can also directly regulate hepatic gluconeogenesis by counteracting cAMP-induced phosphorylation of phosphofructokinase-2/fructose-2,6-bisphatase-2 (PFK-2/FBPase-2) Ser36; this dephosphorylation promotes FBPase-2 activity, decreasing fructose-2,6-bisphosphate levels and thereby disinhibiting the gluconeogenic enzyme FBPase-1 (691, 914). Interestingly, PFK-2/FBPase-2 dephosphorylation may also inhibit glucokinase by promoting its nuclear translocation (173). This mechanism is likely most operative in states of high glucagon/catecholamine tone, and its role in normal postprandial suppression of gluconeogenesis requires further study.

As mentioned above, the acute suppression of HGP is one of the most commonly employed physiological readouts of hepatic insulin action (33, 54, 105, 112, 115, 484, 504, 722). The question of whether this effect is direct (i.e., hepatocyte-autonomous) or indirect has attracted considerable attention (135, 136, 208, 472, 504, 620). A key methodological consideration in this regard is the relative contributions of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis to HGP. Hepatic glycogen content decays exponentially with fasting duration (implying that its derivative, the rate of net hepatic glycogenolysis, also decays exponentially with fasting), with near-total depletion after 12 h in rats and 48 h in humans (628, 704). In contrast, absolute rates of hepatic gluconeogenesis remain relatively constant during the first 48 h of the fast, until substrate (i.e., lactate, alanine) limitation results in decreased gluconeogenic flux (FIGURE 3) (628, 643, 704). Since plasma glucose concentrations in a fasting subject reflect HGP, an interesting implication of these observations is that the plasma glucose concentration (and, by extension, the plasma insulin concentration) during a fast is a key systemic signal reflecting hepatic glycogen content (i.e., available carbohydrate reserves available for the CNS and other obligate glucose-utilizing tissues). Because, as this and subsequent sections explore, direct and indirect hepatic insulin action have different effects on net hepatic glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis, understanding the relative contributions of these fluxes is critical to the design and interpretation of experiments on this subject.

With this in mind, direct insulin suppression of net hepatic glycogenolysis is certainly a physiologically important mediator of insulin suppression of HGP (208). Indeed, during the first 22 h of a fast in humans, hepatic glycogenolysis contributes an estimated ~40% of HGP (704). But in the fasted, glycogen-depleted rodents often used in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp experiments, the primary source of HGP is hepatic gluconeogenesis (620). Because insulin suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis within minutes, long before any changes in gluconeogenic protein levels occur, the transcriptional mechanisms described above cannot account for the acute suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis by insulin (218, 484, 620). Additionally, because multiple genetic models of total hepatic insulin resistance suppress HGP normally in response to insulin, the possible existence of an alternative AKT-independent direct hepatocellular insulin signaling pathway involved in acute gluconeogenic suppression would be an insufficient physiological explanation (105, 195, 504). Instead, insulin’s acute inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenic flux appears to be a largely indirect effect, mediated primarily through insulin suppression of WAT lipolysis (54, 136, 620, 684). This mechanism will be discussed in detail in section III; here, we merely wish to emphasize the inadequacy of measurements of insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production to specifically assess direct hepatic insulin action in the fasted state.

Insulin also has direct hepatocellular effects on lipid metabolism. Most prominent among these effects is transcriptional upregulation of several genes of de novo lipogenesis (DNL), though increased triglyceride-rich lipoprotein clear-
DNL, like gluconeogenesis, is under slow but potent transcriptional control by a PI3K/AKT-dependent mechanism. However, unlike gluconeogenesis, DNL is also acutely regulated by insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of lipogenic enzymes. Although DNL flux has been estimated to only account for ~25% of hepatic lipogenic flux (compared with ~60% from esterification of circulating fatty acids and 15% from dietary lipids), insulin stimulation of DNL is consistent with its overall anabolic effect (197).

The master transcriptional regulator of hepatic DNL is sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c), which promotes DNL by enhancing the transcription of several lipogenic enzymes, notably acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (Acaca), fatty acid synthase (Fasn), and glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 1 (Gpam) (215, 442). Liver-specific overexpression of SREBP-1c is sufficient to cause hepatic steatosis (368). Insulin acts on SREBP-1c primarily by upregulating its transcription, but insulin also promotes SREBP-1c cleavage and nuclear translocation: the canonical mechanisms of SREBP activation (207, 330). These effects can be blocked by PI3K, AKT, or mTORC1 inhibition, suggesting that those kinases lie upstream of SREBP-1c (487). In particular, the observation that liver-specific Akt2−/− mice do not develop hepatic steatosis even on a leptin-deficient ob/ob background suggests that insulin regulation of lipid metabolism largely occurs downstream of AKT (458). The mTORC1 substrate S6K is required for SREBP-1c processing but not its transcriptional upregulation (487, 604). It is important to note, however, that transcriptional activation of the DNL program by insulin is particularly slow: in one study of primary rat hepatocytes, SREBP-1 was not detectable in nuclear extracts until 8 h after insulin treatment (283). A faster transcriptional mechanism by which insulin increases DNL flux is induction of glucokinase (163, 295), which increases lipogenic substrate availability.

In addition to transcriptional upregulation of DNL, insulin also acutely activates DNL flux by regulating the phosphorylation of lipogenic enzymes, although the specific signal transduction pathways involved are incompletely understood. For example, ACC is rapidly activated in response to insulin (909), likely through both dephosphorylation and phosphorylation events (100). Insulin promotes the dephosphorylation of Ser79 (on ACC1) and Ser212 (on ACC2), perhaps through inhibition of AMPK which normally phosphorylates these sites (845, 908). Knock-in mice with both ACC1 Ser79 and ACC2 Ser212 mutated to alanine have constitutively active hepatic ACC and consequent increased hepatic lipogenesis, demonstrating the physiological importance of these sites (250). Insulin may also increase the phosphorylation of other ACC residues, but it has not been shown that these modifications alter ACC activity (305). Insulin also regulates the phosphorylation of ATP citrate lyase (ACLY). ACLY converts the tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediate citrate to the lipogenic precursor acetyl CoA, thereby linking glucose metabolism to DNL. Three ACLY phosphorylation sites are insulin-responsive; Ser455 is an AKT substrate, while Thr446 and Ser450 are GSK3 substrates (57, 345). ACLY phosphorylation activates the enzyme by preventing its allosteric inhibition by citrate (658). However, it is not clear that insulin serves to increase ACLY activity (189). For example, ACLY Ser455 is also phosphorylated by protein kinase A (PKA); PKA activity opposes insulin action in most instances (658). Additionally, GSK3 activity is inhibited by insulin action, which is inconsistent with a model in which insulin promotes ACLY phosphorylation to increase its activity. Thus the physiological role of insulin-stimulated ACLY phosphorylation is uncertain. Large phosphoproteomic data sets may reveal other insulin-regulated phosphorylation events within the lipogenic pathway; the challenge will be to determine which of these are capable of altering lipogenic flux.

Thus far, we have considered how insulin regulates hepatocellular synthesis of two major classes of biological macromolecules: glycogen and lipids. We end our discussion with a third macromolecule: proteins. Insulin regulation of protein synthesis is largely mediated by signaling into the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) network. mTOR is a large protein kinase which depending on its binding partners can form two mutually exclusive functional complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2 (752). Both mTORC1 and mTORC2 interact with the insulin signaling cascade, but mTORC1 effects are better studied. Insulin-stimulated protein synthesis is mediated through mTOR in many insulin-responsive cell types, including hepatocytes, adipocytes, and myocytes, but we include it in our discussion of hepatic insulin signaling because of the hepatocyte’s particularly high rates of protein synthesis and because of mTORC1’s role in modulating insulin-stimulated de novo lipogenesis (discussed above).

Insulin activation of mTOR is highly integrated with the PI3K-AKT pathway in a bidirectional manner. AKT activation of mTORC1 is incompletely understood but may involve AKT phosphorylation and inactivation of tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) and/or proline-rich AKT substrate of 40 kDa (PRAS40), inhibitors of mTORC1 activation (349, 866b). Activated mTORC1 phosphorylates components of the translational machinery, including S6K and the eukaryotic translation initiation factor binding proteins.
1 and 2 (4EBP1/2); the overall effect is to induce a broad translational program characterized by transcripts with 5’ terminal oligopyrimidine (TOP) motifs (508a, 752, 839). In addition to these downstream effects, mTORC1 signaling also exerts negative feedback on proximal insulin signaling by promoting S6K phosphorylation and destabilization of IRS1 as well as phosphorylation and stabilization of the adapter protein GRB10, which in turn binds and inhibits INSR (339, 944). mTORC1 also regulates the synthesis of non-protein macromolecules, including the phosphatidylinositol-choline needed for VLDL-triglyceride secretion (665). Finally, mTOR signaling positively regulates AKT. mTORC2 phosphorylation of AKT Ser473 is probably the most commonly employed readout of cellular insulin signaling, although it remains unclear precisely how mTORC2 is activated by insulin (453, 729). Ser473 phosphorylation is an activating event that increases AKT kinase activity and may alter its substrate specificity (16, 288, 362). Through these well-described mechanisms and likely through as-yet-unidentified ones, mTOR signaling affects all functional branches of insulin signaling either through direct signal propagation (as for protein synthesis) or indirect tuning (as for its feedback on INSR, IRS1, and AKT). Importantly, mTOR permits integration of other anabolic signals (e.g., amino acid availability) with insulin signaling (453).

As the above discussion demonstrates, hepatocellular insulin signaling is a richly ramified cascade with links to all branches of macronutrient anabolism (FIGURE 4). The principal direct actions of insulin on liver are to stimulate glycogen synthesis and to transcriptionally regulate gluconeogenesis, de novo lipogenesis, and protein anabolism. It is unfortunate, then, that the most common readouts used to experimentally interrogate insulin action in the liver are 1) a partially indirect action of insulin on the hepatocyte (suppression of hepatic glucose production) or 2) a phosphorylation event with multiple physiological inputs and indirect insulin control but for which excellent commercial antibodies are available (AKT Ser473). In section IV, we attempt to synthesize what is known about hepatic insulin resistance and what is known about hepatic insulin action to suggest physiologically meaningful experimental strategies.

D. White Adipocyte Insulin Signaling: Effectors and Effects

The white adipocyte is exquisitely sensitive to insulin in vivo. The potency of insulin to control plasma nonesterified fatty acid (NEFA) levels is critical to the maintenance of euglycemia; suppression of lipolysis is an important physiological function of insulin in WAT (620, 684). Suppression of WAT lipolysis shows a steep dependence on plasma insulin levels; the ED50 in humans is ~20 μU/mL (684). Because plasma insulin levels in healthy nondiabetic humans range only from ~5 to 60 μU/mL (655, 684), physiological insulin regulation of WAT lipolysis is able to access a much larger portion of its dynamic range compared with insulin regulation of whole-body glucose uptake, which has an ED50 of ~60 μU/mL and only reaches maximal levels at supraphysiological insulin concentrations of >200 μU/mL (694). Stimulation of glucose transport is another main function of insulin in the adipocyte, although WAT only accounts for a small fraction of whole-body glucose disposal (430). We now consider the effectors involved in insulin regulation of lipolysis and glucose uptake in white adipocytes (FIGURE 5).

Insulin suppression of plasma NEFA levels occurs through rapid inhibition of triglyceride lipolysis in adipocytes. Insulin is the most potent antilipolytic hormone and acts rapidly; rat plasma NEFA levels are suppressed by ~90% within 5 min of raising insulin to postprandial levels (285, 477). This rapid action is facilitated by the short half-life of plasma NEFA: 2–4 min (206). The best understood mechanisms for insulin suppression of lipolysis involve the attenuation or reversal of adrenergic signaling through cAMP and protein kinase A (PKA) (203, 367). To understand insulin regulation of WAT lipolysis, we therefore begin by summarizing these cAMP/PKA-dependent mechanisms. PKA phosphorylates two key proteins involved in WAT lipolysis: hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) and perilipin (PLIN) (367, 869). HSL is phosphorylated on three COOH-terminal serine residues (Ser563, Ser659, Ser660), causing its translocation from the cytosol to the lipid droplet surface (328, 820). The importance of HSL in the hormonal control of WAT lipolysis was highlighted by the identification of a human HSL frameshift mutation (14). Patients homozygous for the mutation expressed no HSL and had severely impaired control of lipolysis; both isoproterenol stimulation and insulin suppression of lipolysis were markedly defective (14, 947). Similarly, Hsl deletion in mice results in severely impaired adrenergic stimulation of lipolysis (293, 537, 602). However, HSL serves primarily as a DAG lipase, with adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) catalyzing the initial TAG hydrolysis (210, 946, 959). Complete hormonal control of lipolytic rate also requires the lipid droplet-coating protein perilipin. Perilipins are abundant, and five PLIN isoforms perform tissue-specific functions (93). PLIN1 is highly expressed in white adipocytes and is phosphorylated by PKA at several serine residues (93, 531, 946). The precise functions of PLIN phosphorylation in lipolytic control are not fully understood, but are thought to involve at least three major mechanisms. First, PLIN phosphorylation decreases its affinity for the ATGL cofactor CGI-58, enabling CGI-58 to bind ATGL and increase ATGL activity ~20-fold (279, 946). Second, PLIN phosphorylation is important for the full activation of HSL at the lipid droplet surface (546, 820). Third, PLIN phosphorylation has been shown to increase the lipid droplet surface area-to-volume ratio by stimulating budding of lipid microvesicles; this may increase lipase access to substrate but requires prolonged exposure to adrenergic stimulation and thus is likely not in-
Involvement in the acute lipolytic response (521). **Plin1**−/− mice have elevated basal lipolysis that is unresponsive to adrenergic stimulation, highlighting that PLIN is not merely a passive barrier to lipase access but rather an active controller of stimulated lipolysis (522, 713, 828). Further work is needed to fully understand the mechanisms by which PLIN orchestrates lipolysis; it is possible that PLIN scaffolds a large interactome of lipases and cofactors to coordinate and amplify the lipolytic response to adrenergic stimulation.

Insulin acts largely through phosphodiesterase 3B (PDE3B) to suppress lipolysis. PDE3B degrades cAMP to attenuate pro-lipolytic PKA signaling toward HSL and PLIN (147, 367, 670, 781). Stimulated lipolysis in adipocytes lacking **Pde3b** is not suppressed by insulin, and **Pde3b**−/− mice have impaired suppression of plasma NEFA levels during glucose tolerance tests (147). Interestingly, the mechanisms for PDE3B activation by insulin are incompletely defined. PDE3B Ser273 is activated through phosphorylation by AKT in a 14-3-3 protein-dependent manner after insulin stimulation (411, 483, 598, 701). However, AKT does not seem to be necessary for insulin suppression of lipolysis; **Akt2**−/− mice suppress lipolysis normally in response to feeding and near-normally during insulin tolerance tests.

**FIGURE 4.** Hepatic insulin signaling. AKT signaling is central to hepatocellular insulin action. Fast effects include activation of the glycogen and protein synthetic machinery. Slower transcriptionally mediated effects include upregulation of glucokinase, diminution of gluconeogenic capacity, and stimulation of de novo lipogenic capacity. Green circles and arrows represent activating events; red circles and arrows represent inhibitory events. IRS, insulin receptor substrate; GSK3, glycogen synthase kinase 3; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3-kinase; PP1, protein phosphatase 1; GPAT, glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase; G6PC, glucose-6-phosphatase; PCK1, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; SREBP1c, sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c; FAS, fatty acid synthase.
and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps (423), and pharmacological AKT inhibitors do not abolish insulin suppression of lipolysis in cultured adipocytes (192). Additionally, the AKT phosphorylation site on PDE3B, Ser<sup>273</sup>, is dispensable for insulin suppression of lipolysis in cultured adipocytes (192). Several other PDE3B serine residues, including Ser<sup>296</sup>, are also phosphorylated; the functional importance of these events is uncertain though Ser<sup>296</sup>, a PKA substrate, has also been shown to be unnecessary for insulin suppression of lipolysis in vitro (192, 483, 671). Rather than regulating PDE3B activity by modulating its phosphorylation, an emerging paradigm posits that insulin primarily activates PDE3B by promoting the formation of signaling complexes or “signalosomes” (11, 192).

Despite strong evidence that insulin activation of PDE3B mediates the attenuation of cAMP/PKA-mediated lipolysis (743), it is not clear whether this mechanism—extinguishing adrenergic input—is necessary and sufficient to explain insulin suppression of WAT lipolysis under all physiological conditions.
conditions. In particular, this mechanism may be less important in situations of low adrenergic tone. For example, insulin causes dephosphorylation of HSL even in the absence of detectable PKA activity, implying that insulin stimulates HSL phosphatase activity (804). Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) appears to be the chief mediator of this effect, although other phosphatases also act on HSL; the full mechanism remains obscure (911). Insulin also appears to have PI3K-dependent but AKT-independent effects, such as the dephosphorylation of perilipin (146, 209). In contrast to HSL, protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) has been identified as the main perilipin phosphatase in adipocytes; PP1 regulatory subunit phosphorylation and activity both increase in response to insulin (43, 151).

In summary, although the mechanisms by which insulin suppresses lipolysis are not understood in full detail, a functional model in which insulin both attenuates adrenergic kinase activity through PDE3B activation and actively dephosphorylates lipolytic regulatory proteins through protein phosphatase activation may be sufficient to account for experimental observations. A picture of the cellular physiology of WAT lipolysis is emerging in which hormone-stimulated assembly of lipolytic or antilipolytic complexes at the lipid droplet defines the net direction of lipolysis (11, 192). Ongoing investigation will undoubtedly define the relevant mediators and interactions in fuller detail (54, 620, 684).

Just as net hepatic glycogen storage depends on the balance between glycogenolysis and glycogen synthesis, net adipose lipolysis is the sum of fluxes from lipolysis and re-esterification of liberated fatty acids (474). Re-esterification can act on fatty acids originating from within the adipocyte or from the circulation (447). During fasting, almost no adipocyte re-esterification occurs, but glucose infusion induces substantial re-esterification (165). Additionally, under-replacement of insulin in type 1 diabetes impairs the postprandial storage of dietary fatty acids; these findings suggest a role for insulin in promoting adipose fatty acid esterification (475). Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake provides a source of glycerol-3-phosphate to which fatty acids can be esterified, and insulin activates lipoprotein lipase activity in adipose tissue endothelium (225, 267). Furthermore, insulin promotes the translocation of fatty acid transport proteins FATP1 and FATP4 in 3T3-L1 adipocytes (794). However, in healthy adults, insulin did not stimulate systemically derived fatty acid esterification to a greater extent than could be achieved by niacin suppression of lipolysis (17). Paired with the observation that rates of re-esterification of fatty acids liberated within the adipocyte are not dependent on insulin (110), a reasonable interpretation is that rates of adipocyte fatty acid esterification are more dependent on substrate availability and concentration gradients than on acute insulin stimulation of a pro-esterification enzymatic activity. Insulin has other pro-lipogenic functions in the adipocyte; it activates SREBP-1c and its lipogenic transcriptional program just as it does in hepatocytes (398). However, de novo lipogenesis accounts for a very small fraction of adipocyte lipogenesis; esterification of preformed fatty acids is the predominant lipogenic pathway (474). Insulin also stimulates adipogenesis through the transcription factor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) (693).

Insulin regulation of cellular glucose uptake has been well studied in cultured 3T3-L1 adipocytes. While these cells derive from a fibroblast line and may not fully recapitulate all features of bona fide white adipocytes, their ease in manipulation has revealed much about the molecular mediators of insulin signaling (73, 282). Additionally, the study of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake has been facilitated by the large and productive scientific community investigating vesicle trafficking. The seed planted by the 1980 discovery that insulin stimulates the translocation of a glucose transporting activity to the plasma membrane has blossomed into a fruitful tree with branches sprouting to describe each step of the process, from GSV budding to transport to tethering to docking to fusion, in molecular detail (73, 174, 814). In adipocytes, GLUT4 translocation involves many but not all of the same effectors discussed above for myocellular glucose uptake. We now briefly consider the molecular mediators of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the adipocyte, though interested readers are referred to several excellent reviews for more detail on this subject (47, 73, 276, 365, 413, 471).

Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the adipocyte, as in muscle, is critically dependent on the IRS1-PI3K-AKT axis. Antisense knockdown of IRS1 severely impairs insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in primary rat adipocytes (666). IRS2 also participates in adipocyte insulin signaling; IRS2 Ser308 phosphorylation by insulin-activated cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) may be a positive feedback mechanism maintaining adipocyte insulin signaling (448). The importance of PI3K activation in adipocyte insulin action is highlighted by studies of mice with inducible deletion of the PIP3 phosphatase PTEN in mature adipocytes; these mice have profoundly enhanced insulin sensitivity even on a regular chow diet (555). Synthetic or optogenetic activation of PI3K or AKT2 is sufficient to increase glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes, and loss of Tbc1d4 (AS160) in mice completely abrogates insulin-stimulated adipocyte glucose uptake (118, 581, 930). The AS160-related Rab GAP TBC1D1, although important in skeletal muscle, is expressed at low levels in adipose tissue, and Tbc1d1−/− mice have normal insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (118). The major AS160 substrate in the adipocyte (i.e., the Rab GAP-ase that AS160 maintains in the inactive GDP-bound state) is thought to be RAB10, which regulates GSV exocytosis (94, 726). The related TBC1D13-RAB35 pair also supports GLUT4 trafficking to the plasma membrane, as may several...
other Rabs (179, 365). Another GAP-GTPase axis involving the GAP complex RGC1/2 and the GTPase RaLA also participates in insulin-stimulated targeting of GSVs to the adipocyte plasma membrane; RGC2 is an AKT substrate (132, 133).

Beyond regulating vesicle trafficking through GAP-Rab interactions, AKT also promotes GLUT4 translocation in the adipocyte by phosphorylating targets involved in vesicle tethering, docking, and fusion. One such substrate is SYNIP, which when phosphorylated dissociates from the t-SNARE syntaxin-4 to enable GSV docking and fusion at the plasma membrane (544, 931). CDP138 is another AKT substrate involved in GSV fusion, although its precise function remains incompletely defined (926). Finally, the motor protein myosin 5A is an AKT substrate that aids in GLUT4 navigation of the cortical actin network to reach the plasma membrane (939). The continued identification of novel AKT substrates involved in GSV trafficking suggests that current understanding of PI3K-dependent GLUT4 translocation is incomplete but solidifies the role of AKT as its master controller, with input to many effectors in all phases of the process.

Although the Rho-family GTPase RAC1 does not appear as critical for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 trafficking in the adipocyte as it is in the skeletal myocyte, another Rho GTPase, TC10α, has been identified as an AKT-independent mediator in the adipocyte (732). TC10α is activated upon insulin stimulation, and its knockdown by siRNA results in impaired GLUT4 translocation and decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (119). By binding EXO70 in the exocyst complex, TC10 promotes tethering of GSVs at the plasma membrane (350). Additionally, TC10 acts through the protein PIST to promote the proteolytic cleavage of TUG, a protein tether that sequesters GSVs intracellularly at the Golgi network (47, 73–75). TUG cleavage thus enables the mobilization of GSVs to the plasma membrane upon insulin stimulation (943). An additional AKT-independent pathway for insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in adipocytes involves direct INSR tyrosine phosphorylation of MUNC18C, which modulates GSV fusion at the plasma membrane through interactions with SNAREs (369).

The pathways described in this section controlling adipocyte glucose uptake and the pathways described above for muscle glucose uptake overlap to a significant extent. Mechanisms with strong evidence in 3T3-L1 adipocytes but weaker evidence in myocytes have been the focus of this section. It is important to note that a large subset of the aforementioned pathways (e.g., AKT/TBC1D4 signaling, TUG cleavage) is known to be operative in both adipocytes and myocytes. Furthermore, other tissues which exhibit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, such as cardiac muscle and brown adipose tissue, likely employ a subset of these molecular mechanisms (342, 776, 777).

And as we will examine next, adipocyte insulin action has ramifications beyond fat, potently controlling hepatic gluconeogenesis.

III. INDIRECT INSULIN ACTION

A. Physiological Relevance of Indirect Insulin Action

Insulin action evolved not in an isolated, homogeneous cellular population but rather in the context of complex multicellular organisms with specialized cell types. It is not surprising, then, that several critical elements of whole-body insulin action are not cell-autonomous: they require tissue crosstalk. Because these phenomena are difficult to model in cultured cells and by definition cannot be modeled in a homogeneous, isolated cell population, progress in understanding their molecular basis has lagged behind progress in the study of direct insulin action. However, the indirect effects of insulin make quantitatively significant contributions to overall tissue insulin action; in some cases, indirect effects predominate over direct effects (6, 620, 686). Indirect insulin action thus cannot be ignored in studies of intact organisms (841). A particularly well-studied example involves insulin’s effect to suppress hepatic gluconeogenesis by inhibiting lipolysis in the adipose tissue (6, 54, 89, 135, 136, 620, 684, 686, 774). Several other indirect actions of insulin have been demonstrated. For example, insulin action in pancreatic α-cells strengthens the integrated insulin response by suppressing glucagon secretion, and a sizable literature has emerged implicating CNS insulin action in peripheral metabolic control. Because the scope of this review encompasses primarily the peripheral insulin-responsive tissues rather than the endocrine pancreas or the CNS, and because excellent recent reviews on these latter topics are available (2, 201, 307, 392, 409, 444, 459, 652, 749, 863), we focus this section on the insulin-adipocyte-hepatocyte axis and its control of hepatic glucose production and only briefly review these other important indirect actions of insulin.

B. The Adipocyte-Hepatocyte Axis: Lipolytic Control of Hepatic Gluconeogenesis

It has long been appreciated that fatty acid substrate availability can regulate hepatic glucose production. Using perfused, glycogen-depleted rat liver, several groups showed in the 1960s that oleic acid oxidation increased gluconeogenesis from alanine or lactate (315, 835, 903, 905, 906), although this was controversial (217). Because of the requirement for gluconeogenic substrate for fatty acid activation of gluconeogenesis, and because increases in mitochondrial acetyl CoA content were observed, these workers proposed that allosteric activation of pyruvate carboxylase (PC) by acetyl CoA mediated the stimulatory effect of fatty acid...
oxidation on hepatic gluconeogenesis (7, 434, 864, 902, 903). However, methodological limitations stemming from acetyl-CoA’s low hepatocellular concentrations and rapid degradation ex vivo thwarted accurate measurement in liver samples. Furthermore, studies in isolated hepatocytes were unable to demonstrate any direct effect of insulin on PC activity (138). But in vitro liver preparations do not enable studies of the effect of insulin to control fatty acid availability through adipose tissue lipolysis. In vivo evidence for an indirect effect of insulin on HGP can be traced to the 1966 report that in pancreactomized dogs, inhibiting lipolysis with nicotinic acid decreased both plasma NEFA levels and HGP (615). Twenty years later, it was reported that a low-dose insulin infusion which increased peripheral but not portal insulin levels and did not alter glucagon was nevertheless effective in suppressing HGP in humans, pointing to key extrahepatic effects of insulin on HGP suppression (661). However, demonstrating the relevance of the lipolysis-acetyl CoA-PC-HGP axis conclusively in vivo was complicated by the confounding effects of NEFA to increase insulin secretion and decrease glucagon secretion, as well as methodological limitations including the measurement of hepatic acetyl-CoA content and rates of hepatic pyruvate carboxylase flux (229). Additionally, lipolysis releases glycerol, which is converted to glucose at a redox-modulated but largely substrate-dependent rate (34, 511, 620). Skilled use of the somatostatin pancreatic clamp, better measurements of portal versus peripheral hormone concentrations, and the development of tracer methods to measure fatty acid and glycerol turnover eventually yielded insights into the physiological relevance of these mechanisms. Several groups subsequently reported that the effect of insulin to suppress HGP was partially mediated by its suppression of plasma NEFA levels (6, 476, 686, 774). Bergman and co-workers (686) hypothesized that this effect of NEFA to modulate HGP could be attributed to NEFA modulation of transendothelial insulin transport. Particularly convincing evidence for NEFA modulation of HGP came from work in fasted dogs, in which NEFA infusion during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic pancreatic clamps totally prevented insulin suppression of both plasma NEFA levels and HGP. Variation in the magnitude of this effect in other studies can partially be explained by some combination of species differences, incomplete control of portal and peripheral insulin and glucagon, and the direct effect of insulin to suppress net hepatic glycogenolysis; as discussed in section II, glycogenolysis contributes a highly variable fraction of HGP depending on species and fasting duration (136, 428, 476, 477, 773). Recently, using novel liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry methods to assess hepatic acetyl-CoA content and rates of hepatic pyruvate carboxylase flux in vivo, Perry and co-workers (620, 630) tested the hypothesis that insulin acutely suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis by suppressing WAT lipolysis and hepatic acetyl-CoA content and hepatic pyruvate carboxylase activity in free-ranging rats. During hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps, insulin was shown to rapidly decrease palmitate and glycerol turnover (i.e., lipolysis), hepatic acetyl-CoA content, hepatic pyruvate carboxylase flux, and hepatic HGP in tight temporal alignment (620). Preventing this decrease in hepatic acetyl-CoA by acetate infusion, while also replacing glycerol to match basal turnover rates, completely abrogated insulin suppression of hepatic pyruvate carboxylase flux and HGP (620). Importantly, these studies were performed in fasted rats with glycogen-depleted livers reliant on gluconeogenesis for virtually 100% of HGP.

Studies of genetically modified rodents also support a key role for the adipocyte-hepatocyte axis in insulin suppression of HGP. Studies of mice with liver-specific ablation of Akt1, Akt2, and Foxo1 (TLKO mice) offer particularly strong evidence for this mechanism (504, 620, 842). TLKO mice, despite lacking three critical effectors of the hepatocellular insulin response, suppressed hepatic acetyl-CoA and HGP normally in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (504). Neither vagotomy nor glucagon blockade altered this phenotype (842). However, when the effects of insulin suppression of WAT lipolysis to decrease hepatic acetyl-CoA content and glycerol flux to liver were prevented by infusions of acetate and glycerol, both TLKO and wild-type mice were unable to decrease pyruvate carboxylase flux and hepatic gluconeogenesis in response to insulin (620). In a separate study, infusion of Intralipid and heparin during a clamp to maintain plasma NEFA concentrations at their basal levels prevented insulin suppression of HGP in TLKO mice, although not in wild-type mice (842). Similarly, rats with antisense oligonucleotide-mediated Insr ablation in liver and WAT were only able to suppress HGP in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies when adipose insulin action was functionally restored using the ATGL inhibitor aglstatin (620). These studies of rodents lacking canonical hepatocellular insulin signaling provide strong evidence that hepatic gluconeogenesis is controlled independently of direct hepatocellular insulin action (620). Rather, these data support a model wherein insulin regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis is mostly dependent on WAT lipolysis through acetyl-CoA allosteric and glycerol substrate availability (620). This paradigm also explains the surprising reports that 1) whole-body Insr knockout mice failed to regain intact insulin suppression of HGP when Insr expression was transgenically rescued in liver, and 2) acute liver-specific Insr ablation failed to impair insulin suppression of HGP (105, 592). Modifying lipolysis at the level of the adipocyte can also affect insulin suppression of HGP. Mice lacking Atgl in adipose tissue displayed decreased fatty acid turnover, which was associated with decreased hepatic acetyl-CoA and improved HGP suppression compared with wild-type controls (12, 620). Finally, the insulin response in Pde3b−/− mice was characterized by failure to suppress plasma NEFA levels and a corresponding impairment in HGP suppression, although these mice also devel-
oped hepatocellular insulin signaling defects (147). Taken together, available evidence supports the hypothesis that insulin’s acute suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis involves suppression of WAT lipolysis, which decreases both conversion of glycerol to glucose and acetyl CoA activation of pyruvate carboxylase.

Unraveling the relative importance of direct versus indirect effects of insulin on HGP still requires further investigation, particularly because these relative contributions are likely to vary significantly in different physiological and pathophysiological conditions. However, a useful simplification is to conceive of glycogenolytic contributions to HGP as controlled by direct hepatic insulin action and gluconeogenic contributions to HGP as controlled by indirect insulin action, largely through effects on WAT lipolysis (FIGURE 6). Accordingly, the direct effects of insulin on HGP will predominate in a glycogen-replete (fed) liver, whereas the indirect effects of insulin on HGP will predominate in a glycogen-depleted (fasted) liver. This hypothesis might explain the discordant literature on this topic, emphasizing the importance of species differences. Humans and dogs break down their hepatic glycogen stores more slowly than rodents; therefore, direct effects of insulin on hepatic glucose metabolism might be expected to predominate following an overnight fast. In contrast, rats and mice are almost totally devoid of hepatic glycogen following an overnight fast; therefore, indirect effects of insulin on hepatic glucose metabolism would be expected to dominate under these conditions. The quantitative significance of this latter effect in humans remains to be further explored, but it is interesting to note that the increased HGP of poorly controlled type 2 diabetic humans is accounted for entirely by increases in hepatic glucose production (after a 1 h time delay) (588) spurred further investigation in the field. Subsequently, insulin action in certain hypothalamic nuclei was demonstrated but has been shown to involve PI3K activity and 

D. Peripheral Effects of CNS Insulin Action

A role for the CNS in the regulation of glucose metabolism has been appreciated since the 1850s (35), but the subject has experienced a renaissance in the past 20 yr (444). Insulin is transcytosed across the blood-brain barrier (40) and both neurons and glial cells express insulin receptors (652). A major function of insulin in the brain is the suppression of appetite (48, 912). Neuron-specific \textit{Insr} deletion predisposes mice to diet-induced obesity and concomitant hepatic insulin resistance, likely through appetite modulation (104). Neuron-specific rescue of \textit{Insr} expression in whole-body \textit{Insr}−/− mice extends lifespan from a few days to a few weeks, although the rescued mice still develop profound diabetes, indicating that the full beneficial effects of brain insulin action require intact peripheral insulin receptors (591). Indeed, CNS signaling has been demonstrated to regulate hepatic insulin action through mechanisms independent of energy balance. The 2002 finding that intracerebroventricular insulin administration was sufficient to suppress hepatic glucose production in rodents (after a >1 h time delay) (588) spurred further investigation in the field. Subsequently, insulin action in certain hypothalamic nuclei was reported to potentially suppress hepatic glucose production (588, 653), promote muscle glucose uptake (415), suppress adipose tissue lipolysis (415, 737), and suppress glucagon secretion (612) in rodents. Nasal insulin administration, which disproportionately increases cerebrospinal fluid insulin concentrations, has been shown to enhance insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies in lean humans (308). However, a physiological increase in brain insulin levels per se is insufficient to alter hepatic glucose production in dogs (208, 675). The mechanisms linking brain and peripheral insulin action are elusive, but likely involve sympathetic and parasympathetic outflow and possibly the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. For example, hepatic vagotomy was shown in one study to block the effects of CNS insulin on HGP (653), although the normal hepatic glucose metabolism of mice lacking hepatic muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (480) and the normal hepatic insulin action of de-
FIGURE 6. The adipocyte-hepatocyte axis and insulin suppression of gluconeogenesis. A: in the fasted state, the adipocyte releases nonesterified fatty acids (NEFA) into the circulation. Within the hepatocyte, NEFA are oxidized to mitochondrial acetyl CoA, an allosteric activator of pyruvate carboxylase (PC). PC drives gluconeogenic flux. This, together with net glycogenolysis, facilitates hepatic glucose production (HGP) during fasting. B: during insulin stimulation (e.g., postprandially), both direct and indirect effects of insulin suppress HGP. Adipocyte insulin signaling suppresses lipolysis, decreasing plasma NEFA concentrations, hepatic mitochondrial acetyl CoA concentrations, PC activity, and gluconeogenic flux. Simultaneously, direct insulin action on the hepatocyte promotes net glycogen synthesis. Both processes enable insulin to rapidly and potently suppress net HGP.
nervated rodent liver (551) and transplanted human liver (which remains denervated for at least 2 yr postoperatively) (744) challenges this hypothesis (673). Other important concerns have been raised challenging the physiological generalizability of much of the experimental work in this field. These include the unphysiological dose and route of administration of intracerebroventricular insulin, failure to preserve the physiological ~3:1 portal-peripheral insulin gradient and consequent overemphas of extrahepatic insulin action, failure to control glucagon levels between experimental groups, and species differences in the sources and control of hepatic glucose production between rodents and larger mammals (673). An elegant attempt to address these concerns utilized the somatostatin pancreatic clamp with basal glucagon replacement and portal vein insulin infusion in conscious dogs; the PI3K inhibitor LY249002 was infused intracerebroventricularly to assess the contribution of brain insulin action to the peripheral effects of physiological hyperinsulinemia (674). The experiments revealed no effect of acute brain insulin signaling inhibition on insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production or insulin-stimulated whole-body glucose uptake, but did reveal a modest blunting in the induction of hepatic glucokinase expression, of unclear physiological significance (674). Whether chronic brain insulin action or brain insulin resistance significantly affects peripheral insulin action is an open question (444).

Aside from serving as a direct site of insulin action, the brain is also a site of signal integration for various peripherally secreted hormones. Of these, leptin has been of particular interest as a centrally acting adipokine with pleiotropic effects on energy balance and metabolism (537, 626). The mechanisms of leptin action are many and are reviewed elsewhere (166, 236, 537, 565, 626), but one mechanism that links directly to the adipocyte-hepatocyte axis discussed previously pertains to leptin’s role in starvation and diabetic ketoacidosis (623, 628). In rats with these conditions, plasma leptin concentrations are low and the HPA axis is activated with resultant corticosteroid-driven WAT lipolysis, ketogenesis, and hepatic gluconeogenesis; physiological replacement of leptin suppresses the HPA axis and prevents these effects. In this way, leptin participates in the control of substrate switching from glucose to fatty acids during starvation, an effect previously thought to be chiefly mediated by insulopenia. Interestingly, leptin displays a hormetic effect; at low concentrations, it suppresses HPA axis-driven lipolysis, but at high concentrations, it promotes catecholamine-driven WAT lipolysis (628). A second key mechanism that contributes heavily to the antidiabetic effect of chronic leptin therapy in T1D is leptin suppression of glucagon secretion, which can be achieved through either peripheral or CNS leptin administration (249, 877). The fascinating observation that either chronic leptin treatment or insulin are sufficient to reverse the hyperglucagonemia, hyperglycemia, and ketoacidosis of florid T1D has advanced the hypothesis that the symptoms of diabetes are best conceptualized as consequences of glucagon excess rather than of insulin deficiency (862). Importantly, however, leptin reverses hyperglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis before correction of hyperglucagonemia; this acute effect occurs by suppression of HPA axis-driven WAT lipolysis (630).

Several experiments have also suggested that a gut-brain-liver axis regulates hepatic glucose metabolism in rodents. The gut is an endocrine organ in its own right, with endocrine cells that produce hormones including ghrelin, incretins, FGF19, and cholecystokinin (CCK) (409). FGF19 (also known as FGF15 in rodents) is secreted by the distal small bowel in response to bile acid sensing and, in addition to its originally defined role in the enterohpatic control of bile acid synthesis, acts centrally to enhance glucose tolerance by suppression of the HPA axis in rodents (410, 556, 622). The gut microbiome may also participate in CNS control of peripheral insulin action; in rats, microbially produced acetate was shown to enhance glucose-stimulated insulin secretion through direct activation of the parasympathetic nervous system (624). It is unknown whether this mechanism is operative in humans. Direct nutrient sensing is another proposed mechanism by which the gut might prompt CNS regulation of peripheral metabolism. Duodenal fatty acid esterification has been shown in rats to act through parasympathetic activation to suppress hepatic glucose production upon upper intestinal lipid delivery (879), but similar experiments in humans (low-dose duodenal lipid infusion under pancreatic clamp conditions) revealed no effect of duodenal lipid on plasma glucose or hepatic glucose production (925). In contrast, a role for duodenal lipid delivery in suppressing food intake through CCK secretion is uncontroversial (529). The complexity of these integrated physiological mechanisms uncovered in rodents requires careful confirmation in humans, but further work on gut-brain signaling pathways is likely to yield continued insights into therapeutically relevant areas such as mechanisms of bariatric surgery efficacy and incretin physiology (201).

IV. PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF INSULIN RESISTANCE

A. What Is Insulin Resistance?

The notion of insulin resistance can be traced to the observations of Himsworth (317), who noted that concurrent injection of glucose and insulin in diabetic patients produced one of two outcomes. Some diabetics responded to the challenge with stable or decreased blood glucose; these were termed insulin-sensitive. In others, the challenge increased blood glucose markedly; these were considered insulin-insensitive. We now appreciate that these latter patients typify the insulin resistance of the metabolic syn-
drome: at a normal plasma insulin level, target tissues are unable to mount a normal coordinated glucose-lowering response involving suppression of endogenous glucose production, suppression of lipolysis, cellular uptake of available plasma glucose, and net glycogen synthesis (377, 378, 381, 595, 684). This insulin resistance necessitates increased insulin secretion to compensate, so fasting plasma insulin levels increase (176, 380). The real-time feedback circuit linking insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion complicates the “chicken-egg” problem of identifying the primary defect; what is clear is that defects in both insulin target tissues and β-cells are required for the development of fasting hyperglycemia and T2D (380). A diverse cadre of bioactive factors is capable of impairing insulin sensitivity, as is chronic hyperinsulinemia per se (595, 724). Although these defects in tissue insulin action are readily reversible (even in patients with T2D) by weight loss and hypocaloric regimens, continuous overnutrition in the setting of insulin resistance creates a vicious cycle of hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance that culminates in eventual β-cell failure, likely due to glucose and lipid toxicity and other factors leading to overt T2D (380, 637).

The integrated physiology of insulin resistance owes to defective insulin action at target cells. Attempts to localize the defect in cellular insulin action have been driven by the identification of new effectors. In the 1970s and early 1980s, when INSR was the only known molecular effector of insulin action, several groups used insulin dose-response curves and 125I-insulin binding studies to relate surface INSR content to physiological insulin action and resistance (26, 272, 378, 417, 420, 595, 787). The central question at the time was whether insulin resistance owed to “receptor defects” (i.e., decreased INSR expression at the cell surface) or “postreceptor defects” (i.e., impaired signal transduction) (FIGURE 7) (417, 595). Receptor defects were identified in obese and diabetic rodents and humans, in adipocytes as well as in other cell types (26, 272, 379, 418, 786, 787). Compensatory downregulation of surface INSR content in the face of sustained hyperinsulinemia is likely to partially explain the phenomenon, although mechanisms for active regulation of surface INSR expression and dysregulation in insulin resistance are beginning to emerge. For example, the ubiquitin ligase MARCH1 ubiquitinates INSR to decrease the number of receptors at the cell surface (566). MARCH1 is transcriptionally regulated through FOXO1, and MARCH1 expression is increased in WAT from obese mice and humans, consistent with insulin resistance to FOXO1 inhibition (566). The effect of decreasing surface INSR expression on insulin action is a right-shift of the insulin dose-response curve; because cells have spare receptors, no decrease in maximal response is observed unless the cell surface INSR content drops below 5–10% of normal (378).

When the insulin resistance of human T2D was shown to involve both a right-shifted dose-response curve and a decreased maximal insulin response with respect to whole-body glucose uptake despite <90% loss of surface receptor content, it was inferred that both receptor and “postreceptor” defects contribute to insulin resistance (417, 595). As a result, the hypothesis that decreased insulin receptor binding accounts for typical obesity-associated insulin resistance has long since given way to a model in which insulin signal transduction defects are central (35). Additionally, the signal transduction (postreceptor) defects of typical obesity-associated insulin resistance have long been appreciated to involve the insulin receptor itself in addition to postreceptor effectors, confusing the semantics of “receptor” versus “postreceptor” defects (77, 247, 595, 821).

The integrated physiology of insulin resistance owes to defective insulin action at target cells. Attempts to localize the defect in cellular insulin action have been driven by the identification of new effectors. In the 1970s and early 1980s, when INSR was the only known molecular effector of insulin action, several groups used insulin dose-response curves and 125I-insulin binding studies to relate surface INSR content to physiological insulin action and resistance (26, 272, 378, 417, 420, 595, 787). The central question at the time was whether insulin resistance owed to “receptor defects” (i.e., decreased INSR expression at the cell surface) or “postreceptor defects” (i.e., impaired signal transduction) (FIGURE 7) (417, 595). Receptor defects were identified in obese and diabetic rodents and humans, in adipocytes as well as in other cell types (26, 272, 379, 418, 786, 787). Compensatory downregulation of surface INSR content in the face of sustained hyperinsulinemia is likely to partially explain the phenomenon, although mechanisms for active regulation of surface INSR expression and dysregulation in insulin resistance are beginning to emerge. For example, the ubiquitin ligase MARCH1 ubiquitinates INSR to decrease the number of receptors at the cell surface (566). MARCH1 is transcriptionally regulated through FOXO1, and MARCH1 expression is increased in WAT from obese mice and humans, consistent with insulin resistance to FOXO1 inhibition (566). The effect of decreasing surface INSR expression on insulin action is a right-shift of the insulin dose-response curve; because cells have spare receptors, no decrease in maximal response is observed unless the cell surface INSR content drops below 5–10% of normal (378).

When the insulin resistance of human T2D was shown to involve both a right-shifted dose-response curve and a decreased maximal insulin response with respect to whole-body glucose uptake despite <90% loss of surface receptor content, it was inferred that both receptor and “postreceptor” defects contribute to insulin resistance (417, 595). As a result, the hypothesis that decreased insulin receptor binding accounts for typical obesity-associated insulin resistance has long since given way to a model in which insulin signal transduction defects are central (35). Additionally, the signal transduction (postreceptor) defects of typical obesity-associated insulin resistance have long been appreciated to involve the insulin receptor itself in addition to postreceptor effectors, confusing the semantics of “receptor” versus “postreceptor” defects (77, 247, 595, 821).

**FIGURE 7.** Insulin resistance in dose-response curves. A: in a hypothetical cell with decreased surface insulin receptor (INSR) content, the dose-response curve is right-shifted but the maximal biological response is not decreased unless >90% of surface receptors are lost. B: in a cell with an insulin signal transduction (“post-receptor”) defect, or a combined receptor/post-receptor defect, both a right shift and decreased maximal response are observed. The right graph typifies human obesity-associated insulin resistance in muscle, liver, and adipose tissues.
Nearly all mechanistic work in this field in the last 30 yr, after the discovery that the INSR is a tyrosine kinase (390, 391), has focused on identifying defects in insulin signal transduction. Nevertheless, it is clear that both decreased surface INSR content and impaired insulin signal transduction contribute to typical obesity-associated insulin resistance.

Although distinguishing insulin “resistance,” an increase in insulin EC$_{50}$, from decreased insulin “responsiveness,” a decreased maximal effect, can be useful (378), it is no longer common practice in the field. Properly distinguishing insulin resistance from responsiveness requires the construction of insulin dose-response curves, which can be cumbersome for in vivo studies. Insulin resistance in the commonly used sense, and therefore throughout the remainder of this review, is thus defined as an insulin dose-response curve with increased EC$_{50}$, with or without decreased maximal response. Importantly, insulin resistance is not a binary switching-off of insulin signaling. For this reason, hyperinsulinemia is an effective compensatory mechanism that preserves insulin action in mild and moderate insulin resistance. Because insulin resistance displays tissue-specific functional consequences, we now consider the particular nature of insulin resistance in skeletal muscle, liver, and WAT, with attention to which signaling effectors and which physiological functions are impaired in typical obesity-associated insulin resistance.

B. Pathophysiology of Skeletal Muscle Insulin Resistance

The principal function of insulin in the skeletal muscle is to promote cellular glucose uptake, a process controlled by GLUT4 translocation. Insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake is highly susceptible to insulin resistance and is indeed a principal component of typical obesity-associated insulin resistance and T2D (182, 767). Because skeletal muscle is a major site of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal (70–80% during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, although only 25–30% in the postprandial state where glucose appearance site, glucose concentrations, and tissue glucose demand all differ from the clamped state), muscle insulin resistance has a large effect on whole body glucose turnover (182, 428). Insulin stimulation of glycogen synthesis and glycolysis both require intact insulin-stimulated glucose uptake to furnish substrate, so these effects also become resistant to insulin action (152, 768).

The mechanisms for insulin resistance to muscle glucose disposal have been the subject of extensive investigation. Early work suggested that nonoxidative glucose metabolism (i.e., glycogen synthesis) was the major fate of myocellular glucose, and this was later directly confirmed in humans using $^{13}$C magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) (58, 180, 768). The demonstration that insulin-stimulated muscle glycogen synthesis was markedly (~50%) impaired both in patients with T2D and in lean, healthy insulin-resistant offspring of patients with T2D provided a functional description of muscle insulin resistance, but did not localize the site of blockade (633, 768). Although many other studies demonstrated decreased glucose transport in insulin-resistant and T2D muscle, these results similarly could have resulted from primary blockade at the level of glycogen synthetic activity, hexokinase activity, or glucose transport, “backing up” the system with the final effect of decreasing glucose transport (193, 255, 767). Eventually, $^{13}$C and $^{31}$P MRS measurements of intracellular glucose and G6P concentrations revealed that the major rate-controlling step responsible for reduced insulin-stimulated muscle glycogen synthesis in diabetic patients was indeed glucose transport (152, 285, 633, 698). These physiological studies complemented cell biological observations that insulin potently controlled myocellular GLUT4 translocation to the plasma membrane and t-tubules and that this translocation was defective in human insulin resistance (258, 651, 881). Together, these studies focused the problem of muscle insulin resistance: the defect(s) impinge upon the signal transduction cascade linking insulin-INSR binding and GLUT4 translocation.

Skeletal muscle insulin resistance is traceable to defects at the most proximal levels of insulin signaling: INSR, IRS1, PI3K, and AKT activity. Early work revealed defective INSR tyrosine kinase activity in purified INSR from the skeletal muscle of obese mice; this provided the first demonstration of impaired intracellular insulin signaling and validated the prediction that surface insulin receptor down-regulation was not the only defect in obesity-associated insulin resistance (417, 470, 595). This observation was later extended to obese and diabetic humans, in which two INSR defects were present: decreased surface INSR content and decreased INSR kinase (IRK) activity from purified receptors (117). Decreased IRK activity was also observed in skeletal muscle from diabetic rats, women with gestational diabetes, and young lean relatives of patients with T2D (66, 107, 301). However, IRK activity is now rarely measured from tissue samples. Instead, INSR activation is most often assessed by phosphotyrosine immunoblotting. This experiment is technically easier but does not directly assess INSR signaling; the multiplicity of tyrosine phosphorylation sites on INSR also complicates the relationship between these parameters (83, 117, 248, 470). Defective IRK activity is also suggested by the decrease in IRS1 tyrosine phosphorylation consistently observed in insulin-resistant skeletal muscle (175, 248, 285, 436, 942). Blunted insulin stimulation of IRS1-associated PI3K activity is also reproducibly observed in muscle insulin resistance, whether induced acutely or chronically (175, 198, 285, 441, 942).
Interestingly, skeletal muscle from obese or type 2 diabetic humans does not develop insulin resistance to mitogenic signaling through MAPK (175). Although impaired insulin activation of other distal effectors, including AKT, is often seen in muscle insulin resistance, the simultaneous presence of proximal insulin signaling defects makes it difficult to determine whether these distal defects have an independent origin or are merely secondary to proximal defects. While it is likely that insulin resistance involves dysregulation of multiple signaling effectors, it is also possible that proximal signaling defects are sufficient to account for the entire impairment in insulin stimulation of glucose uptake seen in obesity-associated insulin resistance. Advances in computational modeling of signal transduction pathways will enable deconvolution of the relative contributions of specific signaling defects to the final functional defect of impaired insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (81, 402, 745).
C. Pathophysiology of Hepatic Insulin Resistance

As discussed in section II, hepatic insulin action affects the metabolism of all macronutrients. It has both acute and chronic, and both direct and indirect, components. Here we consider insulin regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism in the liver, with attention to which processes become resistant in obesity and T2D.

Insulin, in combination with adequate substrate supply, orchestrates a switch from net glucose production to net glucose uptake in the liver. This involves the coordinated suppression of gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, and the activation of glycogen synthesis. Suppression of gluconeogenesis can be divided into acute and chronic processes, with very different mechanisms. The acute suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis (within 10 min of insulin stimulation in the rat) appears to be primarily mediated by extrahepatic insulin action (6, 208, 620, 686, 774). Suppression of lipolysis in adipose tissue reduces fatty acid delivery to liver, which in turn reduces hepatic β-oxidative flux. As a result, hepatic acetyl CoA levels are reduced, allosterically decreasing PC activity. Together with the decreased glucose turnover caused by suppression of lipolysis, gluconeogenic flux is diminished (620). This mechanism has implications for the use of impaired insulin suppression of HGP as a surrogate for hepatic insulin resistance. In glycogen-depleted livers where gluconeogenesis accounts for most of HGP, impaired insulin suppression of HGP may signal adipose insulin resistance rather than hepatic insulin resistance. This paradigm reconciles the many surprising genetically modified rodent models in which severe perturbations in hepatic insulin signaling do not predict insulin’s ability to suppress HGP during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (105, 135, 504, 592, 603, 620, 840). The most striking studies of this phenomenon have ablated the hepatic insulin receptor by either conventional gene targeting or antisense oligonucleotide treatment. Liver-specific Insr−/− (LIRKO) mice totally lack hepatocellular insulin signaling and are severely glucose intolerant; they do not suppress HGP in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (234, 539). However, this defect can be totally rescued: not by replacing the hepatic INSR, but by concomitantly ablating hepatic Foxo1 to correct the unrestrained gluconeogenic enzyme expression (and glucokinase repression) produced by constitutive FOXO1 activation in LIRKO mice (592, 603, 840). Similarly, antisense oligonucleotide ablation of INSR in liver and WAT prevents insulin suppression of HGP in rats, but this defect is rescued by simulating WAT insulin action with the lipolysis inhibitor agtlistatin (620). Both models of hepatic INSR ablation dissociate the hepatocellular insulin signaling pathway from normal insulin suppression of gluconeogenesis, suggesting that measurements of HGP suppression should be used with caution as readouts of hepatic insulin resistance.

Rates of hepatic gluconeogenesis are increased in T2D and are the proximate cause of the fasting hyperglycemia that defines the disease (259, 346, 513). But because gluconeogenesis is regulated by diverse mechanisms (e.g., allostery, redox, substrate-driven, transcriptional, posttranslational) (647), it does not necessarily follow that insulin’s direct hepatocellular effects on gluconeogenesis are dysregulated in T2D. The chronic suppression of gluconeogenesis by insulin, better observed in fasting-refeeding studies than acute insulin stimulation studies, is a direct hepatocellular effect mediated by inhibition of the FOXO transcription factors, especially FOXO1. Does increased FOXO1 activity have any role in the increased hepatic gluconeogenesis of T2D? FOXO1 mRNA expression and nuclear localization (a surrogate for activity) have been reported to be increased in humans with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, correlated with the homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) score (866). Additionally, as discussed above, ablation of the hepatic insulin receptor is associated with unrestrained FOXO1 activity, which is necessary and sufficient to drive pathological gluconeogenesis (603, 840). This phenotype is also seen in mice with severe hepatic insulin resistance owing to ablation of hepatic Irs1 and Irs2, in which concomitant Foxo1 deletion normalizes glucose tolerance (195). Furthermore, antisense oligonucleotide knockdown of FOXO1 in liver and WAT improves hepatic insulin action in chronically fat-fed mice (720). Mouse models of profound hepatic insulin resistance, such as ob/ob and lipodystrophic mice, display increased G6pc expression suggestive of increased FOXO1 activity (764). However, the hepatic insulin resistance of both short-duration (5 day) and medium-duration (4 wk) fat-fed rats is not accompanied by alterations in gluconeogenic enzyme content, arguing against a role for FOXO1-driven gluconeogenic gene transcription in genetically normal rodents (620, 629). Furthermore, reducing hepatic Pck1 expression by >90% in mice caused only a modest ~40% reduction in gluconeogenic flux, suggesting that oscillations in Pck1 expression exert limited control over gluconeogenesis (108). Finally, a study of humans with T2D found no increase in the hepatic expression of FOXO1 targets G6pc and Pck1, despite fasting hyperglycemia (719). In summary, it is clear that if totally and chronically derepressed, FOXO1 can drive pathological gluconeogenesis in rodents, but there is no strong evidence that this is an operative mechanism for fasting hyperglycemia in typical human hepatic insulin resistance. The multifaceted control of hepatic gluconeogenesis means that modest transcriptionally mediated increases in “gluconeogenic capacity” may not be sufficient to drive gluconeogenesis in genetically normal subjects. Further studies are needed to adequately describe the relative contribution of FOXO1 dysregulation to the increased hepatic gluconeogenesis of humans with T2D.

Insulin suppression of hepatic glycogenolysis and stimulation of glycogenesis is a direct effect that requires intact
hepatocellular insulin action as discussed in section II. The question of whether insulin control of hepatic glycogen metabolism becomes resistant in diabetes is confounded by the potent allosteric control of GS and phosphorylase by G6P and glucose, and by the insulin-independent transport of glucose across the hepatocellular plasma membrane by GLUT2. Likely owing to this, some studies disagree on the contribution of defective hepatic glycogen metabolic regulation to hepatic insulin resistance and T2D. For example, in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, insulin-stimulated hepatic GS activity was not impaired, while similar studies in 3-day high-fat-fed rats found impairments (439, 721). However, the bulk of available data suggest that hepatic insulin resistance is accompanied by defective hepatic glycogen metabolism. In humans, MRS measurements of hepatic glycogen content revealed that T2D was associated with lower 4-h postprandial glycogen content, suggesting a defect in glycogen synthesis (513). Subjects with T2D were further found to display lower fasting hepatic glycogen content as well as diminished glycogen synthesis under both postprandial and hyperinsulinemic-hyperglycemic clamp conditions (437). Furthermore, glycogenolysis was found to be decreased and incompletely suppressed by insulin in people with T2D (38, 69, 513). The picture of daily hepatic glycogen metabolism in T2D is thus one of decreased amplitude, in which dampened insulin control of both glycogen synthesis and mobilization lead to relatively static hepatic glycogen content instead of the large oscillations characteristic of normal feeding and fasting. This likely owes to impaired insulin action on both posttranslational modifications of the glycogen synthetic machinery, transcriptional regulation of glucokinase activity, and translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm.

Just as understanding acute hepatic insulin action on glucose metabolism requires separating the direct hepatocellular effects on glycogen metabolism from the largely indirect metabolite-mediated effects on gluconeogenesis, understanding hepatic insulin resistance requires distinguishing these components from one another (647). True hepatic insulin resistance should impair insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis, shifting the insulin dose-response curve for this function rightward and possibly decreasing its maximum. But isolated hepatic insulin resistance would not be expected to block the ability of insulin to suppress gluconeogenesis, because insulin suppression of gluconeogenesis has a large indirect component. This prediction has been borne out dramatically in multiple rodent models of ablated hepatocellular insulin signaling as discussed above.

D. Selective Hepatic Insulin Resistance

In addition to glucose handling, liver insulin action also powerfully controls lipid metabolism, largely through SREBP-1c. If insulin normally promotes net hepatic lipogenesis, insulin-resistant subjects might be expected to display decreased lipogenesis. This is indeed the case in models of genetic total hepatic insulin resistance (i.e., ablation of the hepatic insulin receptor), which display decreased plasma triglycerides and decreased hepatic DNL (60, 868). But hepatic insulin resistance in genetically normal rodents and humans is highly associated with hepatic steatosis; net lipogenesis is consistently elevated in insulin-resistant livers. This phenomenon has been termed “selective hepatic insulin resistance” (99) or “pathway-selective insulin resistance and responsiveness” (920), with proponents of these models arguing that “insulin-resistant” liver is in fact only resistant to glucose handling (i.e., the FOXO transcriptional program), not to lipid handling (i.e., the SREBP-1c transcriptional program) (764). Efforts to mechanistically rationalize selective hepatic insulin resistance have focused on potential insulin signaling bifurcations that would enable the lipid-handling arm of insulin signaling to remain intact while the glucose-handling arm becomes resistant. Early reports suggesting that hepatic IRS1 might be more responsible for glucose handling while IRS2 regulated lipid handling have not been corroborated by subsequent investigations (195, 196, 443, 662, 827). Yet another alternative hypothesis has focused on potential differences in substrate specificity for singly phosphorylated (pThr$^{308}$) versus doubly phosphorylated (pThr$^{308}$, pSer$^{473}$) AKT (487, 920, 921). Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation, in the hydrophobic motif of AKT, may enable activity toward some AKT substrates (e.g., the NH$_2$-terminal FOXO site) while AKT activity toward other substrates (e.g., GSK3b, TSC2) may only require Thr$^{308}$ phosphorylation by PDK1 (288, 362). Because some models of hepatic insulin resistance, like the db/db mouse, appear to display preserved insulin stimulation of Thr$^{308}$ but not Ser$^{473}$, this is an intriguing hypothesis (921). However, direct experimental support is thus far lacking and the multiplicity of nutrient-sensitive inputs into AKT Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation confounds easy interpretation. A final possible mechanism for continued insulin activation of SREBP-1c despite FOXO1 unresponsiveness in hepatic insulin resistance is that these pathways have different intrinsic sensitivities to insulin. In support of this model, fourfold higher concentrations of an INSR inhibitor are necessary to cause resistance to SREBP-1c activation than resistance to FOXO1 inactivation (162).

A unifying hypothesis for the supposed paradox of pathway-selective hepatic insulin resistance has been elusive in part because of the many branch points involved in insulin signaling and the involvement of some effectors, such as AKT and FOXO1, in both glucose and lipid handling (99, 457). However, a possible resolution to the paradox is that hepatic insulin resistance is not selective, but chronic overnutrition activates several insulin-independent driv-
Pathway-selective hepatic insulin resistance is also difficult to reconcile with the finding of impaired proximal insulin signaling in several models of liver insulin resistance. In the late 1980s, defects in IRK activity were appreciated in liver samples from high-fat-fed rats (886) and humans with T2D (116). Decreased IRK activity and IRS2 tyrosine phosphorylation were also observed in insulin-resistant livers of rats fed a 3-day high-fat diet (722). These lines of evidence argue that the primary defect in hepatic insulin resistance is not in a downstream “branch point” effector but rather in the insulin receptor: the most proximal and powerful locus of control in insulin action.

A final important and INSR-related component of hepatic insulin resistance is decreased hepatic insulin clearance (80, 222, 650). Although the molecular determinants of this phenomenon are incompletely understood, the reduced cell surface INSR content observed in insulin-resistant hepatocytes (116, 787) may involve regulators of the surface INSR pool including CEACAM1 (660), MARCH1 (566), CHIP (830), and p31comet (145). Regardless of mechanism, the net effect of decreased hepatic insulin clearance is to promote hyperinsulinemia. The fasting plasma insulin concentration is thus often used as a general readout of hepatic insulin sensitivity in algorithms such as the HOMA-IR and the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICK-I), with the obvious caveats that β-cell function and peripheral insulin sensitivity also provide input to the plasma glucose-insulin circuit.

In summary, insulin resistance in liver is traceable to defects at the level of the insulin receptor and therefore probably affects all arms of hepatocellular insulin signaling. The variable functional impact of hepatic insulin resistance in different signaling arms is not surprising given the varied and complex inputs to each arm. The most popular physiological readouts of hepatic insulin resistance, suppression of HGP and fasting plasma insulin, have both direct and indirect components and are therefore imperfect assessments of hepatocellular insulin action. Yet these readouts will, and should, continue to be used widely, especially in human studies, because 1) they can be determined noninvasively and 2) they give a useful integrated picture of the direct and indirect components of hepatic insulin action. Accurate measurement of hepatic insulin resistance is best performed using multiple complementary readouts, summarized in Table 1. The use of readouts with no indirect component is preferable if the investigator seeks to make claims about hepatocellular insulin action. Although these readouts can be used to determine the degree and nature of hepatic insulin resistance, they offer only limited insight into causative factors. Experimental considerations are discussed in section IVF.

E. Pathophysiology of Adipose Insulin Resistance

Interest in adipocyte insulin resistance has seen a resurgence in recent years as investigators unravel the spectacular complexity of adipose tissue as both nutrient sink and endocrine organ (735). As with muscle and liver,
adipose insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity is diminished in humans with T2D (246, 247). This defect, paired with decreased plasma membrane insulin receptor content (378, 379, 418, 595, 786), may be sufficient from a signaling perspective to account for the manifestations of adipose insulin resistance. Indeed, weight loss corrects both adipose insulin resistance and defective adipocyte IRK activity (247). Unfortunately, however, the mechanistic basis for these adipocyte insulin receptor defects remains largely obscure.

**Diagrams:**
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The adipocyte performs insulin-stimulated glucose uptake; as in skeletal muscle, this function becomes resistant in obesity and T2D (377). However, WAT is not a quantitatively significant site of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal, accounting for \( \frac{1}{10} \) of an oral glucose load in humans (363, 428). Despite this, adipose-specific deletion of GLUT4 in mice results in liver and skeletal muscle insulin resistance without altering adiposity or body weight (1), pointing to indirect, physiologically significant consequences of insulin resistance to glucose uptake in adipocytes (725). The global insulin-sensitizing effects of adipocyte glucose uptake may partially be mediated through glucose activation of ChREBP. ChREBP activation promotes lipogenic gene expression, enabling adipose tissue to serve as a nutrient sink which in turn decreases substrate delivery to liver and muscle (310). Adipocyte glucose uptake also generates glycerol-3-phosphate for fatty acid esterification, facilitating eutopic lipid storage in WAT and decreasing lipid delivery to liver and muscle. Alternatively, the link between adipose GLUT4 expression and global insulin sensitivity has also been ascribed to adipokines such as retinol binding protein 4 (RBP4; see sect. VII) (935) and to altered expression of nicotinamide \( N \)-methyltransferase (432).

Physiologically, an extremely significant function of WAT insulin action is the suppression of lipolysis. As discussed in section II, adipose lipolysis is exquisitely sensitive to insulin. The suppressive effect is rapid (~10 min) in rodents and humans (620). Because the major source of plasma NEFA is adipose tissue, because lipolytic substrate release is a critical regulator of hepatic gluconeogenesis on a minute-to-minute basis, and because increased gluconeogenesis is a key driver of the fasting hyperglycemia that defines T2D, resistance to

**Table 1. Readouts of hepatic insulin resistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Readout</th>
<th>Change in Insulin Resistance</th>
<th>Direct/Indirect</th>
<th>Acute/Chronic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activation of net hepatic glycogen synthesis</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Requires both hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Related to suppression of WAT lipolysis leading to decreased NEFA and glycerol turnover and hepatic acetyl-CoA content. There may also be a small direct effect of insulin by suppression of intrahepatic lipolysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression of hepatic glucose production</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct and indirect</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Variable contributions of gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis depending on species and fasting duration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS Tyr phosphorylation and IRK activity</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS Tyr phosphorylation</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKT Ser/Thr phosphorylation</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Multiple inputs to Ser(^{473}) phosphorylation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluconeogenic gene expression</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Especially ( G6pc, \quad Pck1 ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De novo lipogenesis</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Multiple inputs including mTORC1, ChREBP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma insulin</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Direct and indirect</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Crude surrogate of hepatic insulin resistance, useful in large epidemiologic studies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 9.** Mechanisms for the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) despite hepatic insulin resistance. A: insulin normally activates de novo lipogenesis through sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c). B: the seemingly paradoxical coexistence of NAFLD and hepatic insulin resistance has spawned the hypothesis of selective hepatic insulin resistance, wherein insulin activation of lipogenesis is preserved despite impaired insulin regulation of glucose metabolism. However, hepatic de novo lipogenesis has multiple inputs, including ChREBP and mTORC1/SREBP-1c, both of which are activated in states of chronic overnutrition. Additionally, the primary pathway for hepatic triglyceride synthesis is re-esterification of preformed fatty acids, which are readily available in states of chronic overnutrition owing both to dietary supply and to adipose insulin resistance. Even if insulin receptor (INSR) activation of SREBP-1c is impaired by hepatic insulin resistance, these other inputs are likely capable of supporting the lipogenic fluxes that lead to NAFLD. NEFA, nonesterified fatty acid; WAT, white adipose tissue.
insulin’s antilipolytic effects in the adipocyte is of immense pathophysiological importance.

If adipocyte insulin resistance impairs suppression of lipolysis, one might expect that plasma NEFA concentrations would be elevated in T2D. In poorly controlled T2D, this is indeed the case; multiple studies have observed significantly increased plasma NEFA concentrations in patients with poorly controlled T2D compared with lean nondiabetic controls (134, 245, 266, 685, 816). In lean, healthy relatives of patients with T2D, postprandial suppression of plasma NEFA concentrations is impaired (31). Moreover, increased plasma NEFA concentrations predict incident T2D (121, 611). The extent of the increase in plasma NEFA is much more modest and sometimes nonexistent in patients with well-controlled T2D (245, 286, 685, 816). Yet these concentration measurements belie much larger differences in the more physiologically relevant parameter: flux. Under hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp conditions, plasma NEFA turnover was in relative terms much more elevated than plasma NEFA concentration in T2D patients compared with controls (286). Fasting glycerol turnover is also increased in T2D, as is the rate of gluconeogenesis from glycerol (586, 663). Furthermore, in patients undergoing bariatric surgery, decreases in basal lipolysis correlate with improvements in HOMA-IR (265). Importantly, obesity per se is not correlated with plasma NEFA concentration in humans, indicating that a functional defect (i.e., adipocyte insulin resistance), rather than a simple mass effect, mediates the increased plasma NEFA of T2D (388). Consistent with this interpretation of adipose insulin resistance, insulin suppression of lipolytic flux as measured by glycerol turnover is impaired in obese insulin-resistant human adolescents, in diabetic humans, and in first degree relatives of type 2 diabetic humans (263, 309, 370, 696). Adipose insulin resistance, assessed as the product of fasting plasma insulin and fasting plasma NEFA concentrations, increased continuously in the progression from normal glucose tolerance to impaired glucose tolerance to T2D in a cohort of over 300 subjects, suggesting that adipose insulin resistance contributes to the pathogenesis of T2D (261). Using the product of fasting plasma insulin and plasma NEFA concentrations as a practical index of adipose insulin resistance was recently validated against the multistep pancreatic clamp (789).

Whether insulin resistance to suppression of adipose lipolysis involves primarily increased lipolysis per se, decreased re-esterification of fatty acids, or both is not settled. A provocative study using [14C]palmitate to trace and model fatty acid kinetics during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp concluded that net lipolysis was not different between lean and obese T2D subjects, but that the obese T2D subjects exhibited higher rates of fatty acid escape from tissue uptake, and that this latter finding accounted for the defect in insulin suppression of plasma NEFA levels in the diabetics (692). Along these lines, a study of obese nondiabetic and T2D subjects found that plasma glycerol and NEFA levels were similar between groups during an oral glucose tolerance test, and that ex vivo suppression of isoproterenol-stimulated lipolysis was similar between groups, but that expression of the fatty acid transporter FABP4 was decreased in T2D; the investigators concluded that lipid storage rather than lipolysis was subject to insulin resistance (618).

Just as glycogen metabolism in the insulin-resistant liver exhibits a decreased amplitude in its net magnitude during both fasting and feeding, so there is evidence that insulin-resistant adipose tissue exhibits an inability to maximally stimulate lipolysis during fasting and maximally promote lipid storage during feeding. A multiple meal-ingestion study of lean healthy men compared with men with abdominal obesity highlighted this phenomenon: the direction of net fatty acid flux across the adipose tissue capillary bed favored release during fasting and favored storage postprandially, and in both cases was smaller in magnitude in the obese men (536). The net effect was a significant decrement in the percentage of ingested fat properly stored in WAT in the obese subjects, presumably facilitating lipid storage in nonadipose tissues.

Interestingly, in contrast to skeletal muscle and liver, the specific molecular defects mediating adipocyte insulin resistance are largely uncharacterized. Rather, most progress in understanding the pathogenesis of adipocyte insulin resistance has focused on autocrine or paracrine inflammatory cytokines which may impair insulin signaling (27, 289). These mechanisms are considered in detail in section VII. Yet resistance to insulin suppression of adipose lipolysis is evident after only 3 days of high-fat feeding in rats (722)—before significant inflammation develops—suggesting that noninflammatory mechanisms are likely responsible for the initial defects in adipocyte insulin resistance.

The specific roles of proteins involved in lipolysis—ATGL, HSL, PLIN, FSP27—in adipocyte insulin resistance are unclear. But impaired suppression of net adipose lipolysis could also result from defective NEFA esterification. Indeed, one interesting potential mechanism for insulin resistance to suppression of lipolysis involves NEFA esterification and offers a mechanistic link to insulin-resistant glucose uptake. Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake promotes glycolysis, producing the three-carbon precursors that can yield glycerol-3-phosphate. Glycerol-3-phosphate is in turn required for fatty acid esterification and proper insulin-stimulated lipid storage (474). Insulin resistance to glucose uptake might by this mechanism prevent the adipocyte from switching from net lipid export to net lipid storage upon insulin stimulation.
In this way, the exquisite sensitivity of the white adipocyte to insulin may be a double-edged sword. This sensitivity enables agile and appropriate postprandial nutrient storage. Yet the status of WAT as “first responder” to nutritional oversupply may render it vulnerable to nutrient stress-induced insulin resistance. Even modest adipocyte insulin resistance will, due to the steepness of the insulin dose-response curve for lipolytic suppression, increase fatty acid delivery to liver and skeletal muscle and thereby promote insulin resistance in those tissues (see sect. V). The absolute importance of WAT insulin action for whole-body glucose homeostasis is powerfully demonstrated by the extreme, but reversible, insulin resistance of lipodystrophy (79, 406, 642, 714, 785). Far from being an inert storage depot, WAT may in fact be the linchpin of whole-body insulin sensitivity and resistance.

F. Integrated Physiology of Insulin Resistance and Experimental Considerations

Because insulin action and resistance are best understood in this integrated physiological context, experimental methods assessing insulin action require careful design and interpretation (18). The Himsworth “glucose-insulin tolerance test” described above (317) highlights a critical consideration in experimental tests of insulin action. To directly measure insulin resistance, the investigator needs to carefully distinguish insulin action and insulin secretion. This distinction is easily illustrated by the standard glucose tolerance test (GTT) (23, 33, 534). **FIGURE 10** shows hypothetical results of an intraperitoneal GTT performed with mice fed a regular chow diet, mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for 8 wk, and nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice, a model of autoimmune insulitis, studied after the onset of insulitis but

**FIGURE 10.** Interpretation of glucose tolerance tests requires measurement of plasma insulin concentrations. **A:** diet-induced obese and prediabetic nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice both display glucose intolerance compared with lean chow-fed control mice, but the causes differ. The diet-induced obese mice mount a normal or even heightened insulin secretory response but are hyperglycemic owing to insulin resistance. The prediabetic NOD mice are glucose intolerant owing to defective insulin secretion. **B:** improved glucose tolerance can similarly result from increased insulin sensitivity [fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21)-treated mice] or from increased insulin secretion (sulfonylurea-treated mice).
before the development of overt type 1 diabetes (358, 361). Although plasma glucose excursions are increased in both HFD-fed mice and NOD mice, examination of the plasma insulin time course reveals that the impaired glucose tolerance of the NOD mice represents β-cell dysfunction, not impaired insulin action. Conversely, the HFD-fed mice exhibit increased insulin levels accompanying their hyperglycemia, indicating that the primary cause of their impaired glucose tolerance is insulin resistance. Importantly, improved glucose tolerance can similarly be the result of either exaggerated insulin secretion or hypersensitivity to insulin action. The former situation can be modeled by treatment with an insulin secretagogue such as a sulfonylurea (688), and the latter by treatment with an insulin-sensitizing agent such as FGF21 (112, 401). In a prescient observation, Himsworth noted in his classic study (317) that for essentially the reasons outlined above, a glucose challenge without exogenous insulin would be insufficient to distinguish the two types of diabetics. In the modern setting, this ambiguity can be experimentally sidestepped by employing the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp method developed by Andres (33, 534). This technique largely negates insulin secretion differences by matching insulin levels among study subjects. At a constant, elevated insulin concentration, the glucose infusion rate needed to maintain euglycemia reflects whole-body insulin sensitivity (181). When combined with infusions of isotopically labeled glucose and glucose analogs to trace whole-body glucose turnover, endogenous glucose production (EGP), and tissue-specific glucose uptake, the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp represents the most powerful in vivo experimental assessment of insulin action in target tissues and is therefore widely used both in humans and animal models (33, 534, 760).

Although accurate and useful, EGP measurements in hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies have limitations. For one, although often assumed to be identical to HGP, EGP reflects the rate of appearance of glucose in the plasma from all sources, which in fasted subjects also includes a small contribution from renal gluconeogenesis. Furthermore, measurements of insulin suppression of EGP cannot distinguish between direct effects of insulin on liver glycogen synthesis and indirect effects of insulin on hepatic gluconeogenesis. Glycogen synthetic flux in liver, although perhaps a better readout of direct hepatic insulin action than EGP suppression, is more difficult to assess in vivo because, as discussed in section II, hepatic glycogen metabolism is exquisitely sensitive to glucose availability. Hyperglycemia, tightly matched between subjects, is necessary to accurately compare insulin stimulation of glycogen synthesis between experimental groups (640). Simple measurements of liver glycogen content after insulin stimulation require extensive fasting to minimize basal glycogen content; these extended fasts are often undesirable in rodents because they do not represent a normal physiological state (32, 33, 534). One method that has been successfully used to circumvent the problem of highly variable basal hepatic glycogen content is measurement of uniformly labeled 13C-glucose incorporation into hepatic glycogen during hyperinsulinemic-hyperglycemic clamps. Knowledge of the m+6 mole fraction in both liver glycogen and plasma glucose, and of the total hepatic glycogen concentration, permits calculation of the absolute rate of glycogen deposition via the direct pathway during the infusion (153, 645). Measurement of the dilution in the m+6 mole fraction from plasma glucose to hepatic UDP-glucose reveals the relative contributions of direct and indirect pathways to glycogen synthesis (645). Knowledge of both the absolute rate of direct pathway glycogen synthesis and the percent contribution of the direct pathway to total glycogen synthetic flux enables calculation of the total glycogen synthetic rate during a hyperinsulinemic-hyperglycemic clamp. In humans, noninvasive MRS approaches have been used to measure both glycogen synthetic and glycolytic fluxes (640, 641, 704, 832). However, it must be emphasized that insulin merely plays a permissive role in regulating hepatic glycogen synthesis; the key driver is the plasma (portal vein) glucose concentration. Without careful matching of the portal vein glucose concentration in all subjects, assessing the effect of insulin per se on hepatic glycogen metabolism is difficult.

Effective methods for in vivo assessment of insulin control of lipid metabolism are also available. Insulin suppression of lipolysis can be traced during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies using labeled palmitate and glycerol (620, 910). Insulin control of hepatic de novo lipogenesis is a transcriptionally mediated effect and therefore cannot be assessed in acute infusion studies, but effective tracer methods, such as deuterated water supplementation, enable measurement of DNL over a period of several days and reveal decreased DNL in insulin-resistant models (868, 910). A commonly employed protocol to measure insulin upregulation of the lipogenic transcriptional program is fasting (e.g., 24 h)–refeeding (e.g., 6 h). It is important to note, however, that insulin is not the only relevant variable in such studies; nutrient activation of mTOR is a major consideration as are changes in other hormones (452, 764).

One commonality of the various methods outlined above and in FIGURE 11 is the use of isotopic tracers to calculate flux through metabolic pathways. Although tracer studies are generally more resource-intensive than metabolite concentration studies, they are also likely to provide more relevant assessments of insulin action. Although advances in metabolomics have facilitated hypothesis generation through rapid, accurate, and automated measurement of hundreds of metabolites, insulin acts by modifying metabolic fluxes. These changes in flux may favor the accumulation or diminution of specific metabolites, but concentration differences are always a consequence of flux differences. It is ultimately flux measurements that have
yielded and will continue to yield the most potent mechanistic insights to the integrated physiology of metabolism.

**G. Insulin Resistance: The “What” and the “Why”**

Section IV has attempted to describe the central characteristics of insulin resistance in the skeletal muscle, liver, and WAT, focusing on the effectors of insulin signaling and the direct metabolic effects of insulin that become insulin resistant. There are certainly more pathophysiological attributes of insulin-resistant tissue than are described here; insulin signaling interfaces with diverse cellular functions, and myriad signaling pathways have been identified as altered in insulin resistance. Additionally, each of the more than 20 well-characterized animal models of obesity and T2D exhibits a distinct pathophysiology of its metabolic disease that has some overlap with human obesity-associated T2D but certainly fails to recapitulate all aspects of the human disease it attempts to model (412).

A challenge for investigators studying a process with such protean manifestations as insulin resistance is to distinguish cause from effect, primary defect from secondary conse-
Inhibition of phosphofructokinase-1. The resultant increase would also slow glycolytic flux through allosteric feedback inhibition in glucose-6-phosphate would in turn allosterically inhibit hexokinase and lead to an increase in intramyocellular glucose concentration.

The Randle glucose-fatty acid cycle has been extensively studied using the most straightforward and popular experimental model of lipid-induced insulin resistance: the acute lipid infusion. Such studies typically use a 20% triglyceride emulsion with heparin added to activate lipoprotein lipase and further raise plasma NEFA concentrations. These infusions effectively induce insulin resistance to muscle glucose uptake—though only after several hours of infusion—and afford the experimenter full control over the duration and extent of lipid exposure (68, 198, 229, 285, 464, 698, 836). Although this technique can be used to achieve supraphysiologic plasma NEFA concentrations of 2 meq/l or greater, even infusions targeting high physiological concentrations such as 0.75 meq/l are able to impair insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (68). The acute lipid infusion is an attractive experimental model for investigating mechanisms of lipid-induced insulin resistance in large part because it circumvents the confounding physiological compensations seen in models of chronic dietary lipid-induced insulin resistance—basal hyperinsulinemia, inflammation, altered body composition.

MRS studies of skeletal muscle glucose metabolism during acute lipid infusions have enabled in vivo testing of the Randle hypothesis (Figure 12). Specifically, MRS measurements of intramyocellular glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and glucose concentrations, as well as glycolytic and glycogen synthetic rates, directly tested the Randle prediction that lipid oxidation would decrease glycolytic flux and increase G6P concentrations. These studies revealed that contrary to the increases predicted by the Randle hypothesis, G6P and glucose levels actually decreased in acute lipid-induced insulin resistance (198, 698). Glycolysis and glycogen synthesis also decreased, but this owed to impaired glucose transport rather than Randle allostery (198, 698). These findings dovetailed with the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms connecting insulin receptor activation to GLUT4 translocation and observations that GLUT4 translocation and glucose transport were defective in insulin resistance and T2D (152, 258, 633, 847). A key implication for future mechanistic studies of lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance was the requirement that they link lipid exposure to inhibition of insulin signaling.

Other in vivo models have challenged the relevance of the glucose-fatty acid cycle to lipid-induced insulin resistance. For example, mice lacking Pdk2 and Pdk4 (Pdk2/4 DKO) have constitutively dephosphorylated, active PDH in skeletal muscle and therefore preferentially oxidize glucose in an inflexible manner (69). The glucose-fatty acid cycle is thus inoperative in Pdk2/4 DKO mice. However, these mice develop profound muscle insulin resistance associated with...
**FIGURE 12.** The Randle hypothesis and lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance. **A:** Randle and co-workers proposed that lipid-induced impairments in muscle glucose oxidation are secondary to substrate competition with fatty acids. Increased fatty acid oxidation would increase the mitochondrial acetyl CoA/CoA and NADH/NAD⁺ ratios. This would allosterically inhibit pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and phosphofructokinase (PFK; through increases in its allosteric inhibitor citrate), decreasing glycolytic flux. The ensuing increase in glucose-6-phosphate concentration would in turn allosterically inhibit hexokinase (HK), leading to increased intracellular glucose concentrations.

**B:** Measurements of intramyocellular glucose and glucose-6-phosphate during acute lipid infusions revealed that concentrations of these metabolites are decreased, not increased, in this setting. Together with studies linking muscle insulin resistance with impaired GLUT4 translocation, these data implicated impaired glucose transport as the chief defect in lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance.
increased intramyocellular lipid, implying that the glucose-fatty acid cycle is not necessary for lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance (669).

Overall, available evidence indicates that the glucose-fatty acid cycle accounts neither for the pronounced impairment in insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake that develops after several hours of lipid infusion (68, 71, 698), nor for the insulin resistance of human obesity/T2D. However, the glucose-fatty acid cycle is undoubtedly nevertheless a physiologically relevant process for controlling oxidative substrate selection (244). For example, in the first 3 h of an acute lipid infusion, before profound insulin resistance develops, intramyocellular G6P concentrations are increased and glycolytic flux is decreased, matching the predictions of the Randle hypothesis (372, 373). The allosteric mechanisms proposed by Randle are likely sufficient to explain this phenomenon. The reciprocal control mechanism—inhbition of fatty acid oxidation by glucose through malonyl CoA inhibition of carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1—highlights the integrated nature of this substrate-selection circuit (533). The glucose-fatty acid cycle can thus be thought of as a cell-autonomous response to whole-body control of substrate availability, enabling efficient oxidation of glucose in the fed state and fatty acids in the fasted state.

The broad relevance of the lipid infusion model of muscle insulin resistance is highlighted by the well-established association between increased plasma NEFA turnover and insulin resistance in humans (134, 245, 266, 632, 684, 685, 816). However, the increment in plasma NEFA turnover and/or concentrations observed in human insulin resistance are modest (632). In addition to often achieving supraphysiological NEFA concentrations, the acute intravenous lipid exposure fails to accurately model obesity-associated insulin resistance in several other obvious ways: the lipid exposure is acute rather than chronic, the lipid delivery is intravenous rather than enteral or from liver/adipose tissue, and plasma fatty acid concentrations are static rather than dynamic. As a result, the acute lipid exposure is typically only employed in direct mechanistic investigations of lipid-induced insulin resistance. Most studies testing the effect of a given experimental perturbation on tissue insulin sensitivity appropriately use chronic interventions.

The most popular model of typical, obesity-associated, lipid-induced insulin resistance is the high-fat-fed rodent. While mouse and rat strains significantly differ in their susceptibility to diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance, susceptible strains such as the C57BL/6 mouse and the Sprague-Dawley rat provide tractable model systems (239, 548, 898). However, although these rodent strains may broadly mimic humans in their susceptibility to obesity, there are several key physiological differences to bear in mind when interpreting studies of fat-fed rodents (428). For example, short-term fat feeding protocols in rodents can produce marked hepatic lipid accumulation and consequent hepatic insulin resistance without appreciable skeletal muscle insulin resistance (721, 722, 854), but in humans with the metabolic syndrome skeletal muscle insulin resistance is thought to precede hepatic insulin resistance (182, 639, 725). The remarkable propensity for some mouse strains to develop obesity and glucose intolerance with high-fat feeding while others remain lean and metabolically healthy highlights the integrated nature of glucose homeostasis, with energy expenditure, pancreatic function, and tissue insulin sensitivity all serving important roles in generating the phenotype (428). Some commonly used rodent models of diet-induced obesity, including the ob/ob and db/db mice and the Zucker fa/fa rat, leverage naturally occurring mutations in the leptin satiety axis to achieve marked hyperphagia and consequent obesity. These models can be useful, but must be interpreted with the knowledge that leptin is far more than simply a satiety switch (341, 801) and that the consequences of the mutation are protean. Additionally, the hyperphagic rodent models are typically studied as morbidly obese adults, long after the development of insulin resistance. Their phenotype thus renders them unsuitable for studies attempting to define the initial insult(s) of insulin resistance. For such studies, the high-fat-fed rodent is useful because it can be maintained with a normal body composition and metabolic phenotype until the experimental challenge is begun. However, both the high-fat-fed rodent and the genetically hyperphagic rodent share one key phenotypic similarity with human obesity-associated insulin resistance: increased ectopic lipid deposition in liver and skeletal muscle. The ectopic lipid hypothesis of liver and muscle insulin resistance is described below and in **FIGURE 13**.

One of the most reproducible associations in human insulin resistance is with intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) (96, 627). NAFLD, defined as increased IHTG without excessive alcohol intake, is the most common liver disease in industrialized nations (870). Approximately two-thirds of obese people, and nearly all obese people with T2D, have NAFLD (45, 772, 843, 883). Similarly, IHTG is an exceptionally strong predictor of insulin resistance (106, 422, 520, 728). Causal evidence for a role of IHTG in hepatic insulin resistance derives from both human and rodent studies. In diabetic humans, decreasing IHTG content by modest weight loss normalizes insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production and fasting glycemia without significant peripheral effects (637). Although visceral fat has been proposed to contribute to hepatic insulin resistance, it is more likely a good biomarker for IHTG (260); IHTG is a much stronger predictor of hepatic insulin resistance and surgical removal of omental fat without concomitant reduction in IHTG does not correct hepatic insulin resistance (220, 221). Furthermore, lipodystrophic individuals have profound increases in IHTG, which is associated with severe hepatic insulin resistance in the absence of any visceral
Fat (536). Visceral fat is also a quantitatively small contributor to whole-body fatty acid turnover (388). In people with NAFLD but without T2D, ameliorating NAFLD decreases T2D risk even after controlling for changes in weight (933). Even a single oral fat bolus in humans is sufficient to increase IHTG and impair hepatic insulin sensitivity (313).

A corollary proposition of the finding that IHTG, not adipose tissue mass, predicts insulin resistance is that redistribution of fat from liver to WAT should correct insulin resistance. Indeed, numerous models support this proposition. In severely insulin-resistant lipodystrophic A-ZIP/F-1 mice, transplantation of WAT normalizes liver insulin action associated with marked decreases in IHTG and intramyocellular lipid (262, 406). Lipodystrophic humans with severe NAFLD and hepatic insulin resistance, as well as lipodystrophic mice, achieve massive reductions in IHTG and normalization of hepatic insulin action when treated with leptin (79, 642, 763). This “redistribution” hypothesis extends beyond models of lipodystrophy. Mice with enhanced adipocyte insulin sensitivity owing to inducible Pten deletion accumulate fat in adipose tissue rather than liver when fed a high-fat diet and are totally protected from diet-induced hepatic insulin resistance (555). Adipose-specific overexpression of Pck1 (PEPCK) in mice facilitates glyceroneogenesis and re-esterification of fatty acids within the adipocyte, decreasing plasma NEFA levels; consequently, these mice are obese but insulin-sensitive in liver and skeletal muscle (242). Redistribution of fat has also been proposed to mediate the insulin-sensitizing effects of the thiazolidinedione class of antidiabetic drugs, which promote adipogenesis through activation of PPARγ (723). Perhaps most impressively, adiponectin overexpression in leptin-deficient ob/ob mice (Ad Tg ob/ob) results in massive redistribution of fat such that the Ad Tg ob/ob mice weigh up to twice as much as obese ob/ob controls yet display reduced IHTG (408). Remarkably, these enormous mice display fasting glucose and insulin levels similar to lean Chow-fed mice and have normal insulin sensitivity (408). In a dramatic example of reverse redistribution, adipose-specific re-expression of the leptin receptor in db/db mice prevents obesity but results in IHTG accumulation, hyperinsulinemia, and the development of diabetes at 4 wk of age, compared with 14 wk in the db/db controls (878).

A variety of interventional models support the hypothesis that IHTG is causally related to hepatic insulin resistance. Mice ectopically expressing malonyl CoA decarboxylase in liver are protected from hepatic lipid accumulation upon high-fat feeding, associated with preserved hepatic insulin sensitivity (24). Similar phenotypes have been observed in mouse models as diverse as estrogen-treated ovariectomized female mice (111) and mice treated with FGF21 (112). Two independent studies have reported that overexpression of the triacylglycerol hydrolase CES2 in db/db or high-fat-fed mice reduced IHTG in conjunction with improved glucose tolerance (495, 705). Furthermore, mice with liver-specific...
overexpression of SREBP-1c display liver-specific triglyceride accumulation and liver-specific insulin resistance (368). Perhaps the most direct tests of the relationship between IHTG and hepatic insulin action in vivo have been carried out using the mitochondrial protonophore 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP) to rapidly decrease IHTG stores. In rats with isolated hepatic insulin resistance produced by short-term fat feeding, DNP treatment normalizes both IHTG and hepatic insulin action (721). The latter result has been extended using pharmacologically distinct forms of DNP to show drastic improvements in both IHTG and hepatic insulin action in more extreme forms of hepatic insulin resistance including the streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat, the Zucker diabetic fatty rat, lipodystrophic mice (3), and rats fed a methionine/choline-deficient diet to induce nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (621, 629).

Lipoprotein lipase (LpL) acts locally at target tissues to release fatty acids from circulating triglycerides for tissue uptake. Experiments modulating LpL activity have enabled instructive testing of the hypothesis that hepatic lipid accumulation is causally linked to hepatic insulin resistance. Although LpL is not endogenously expressed in liver, hepatic overexpression of LpL is sufficient to increase IHTG and cause liver-specific insulin resistance (404). Antisense oligonucleotide inhibition of the adipose-derived LpL inhibitor angiopoietin-like 8 (Angptl8) or adipose-specific deletion of the LpL inhibitor angiopoietin-like 4 (Angptl4) in rodents facilitates WAT lipid uptake and prevents IHTG accumulation and hepatic insulin resistance (28, 867). Antisense oligonucleotide inhibition of the LpL activator ApoA5 in mice generates an interesting phenotype in which plasma triglycerides are threefold elevated compared with controls but IHTG is decreased and hepatic insulin action is preserved upon high-fat feeding (113). In lean South Asian men, a common variant in the gene encoding apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3), also an LpL inhibitor, results in higher plasma ApoC3 levels, hypertriglyceridemia, and predisposes them to increased IHTG, and hepatic insulin resistance at a relatively low body mass index (638). Similarly, mice overexpressing apolipoprotein C3 (ApoC3 Tg mice) have similar body composition to wild-type controls but display increased hepatic triglyceride and hepatic insulin resistance (462).

Despite this preponderance of data from both human and rodent studies supporting a causal link between IHTG and hepatic insulin resistance, several intriguing studies have dissociated the two (224). In humans, the common PNPLA3 I148M mutant allele is strongly associated with IHTG, but studies disagree with respect to whether the polymorphism is associated with insulin resistance (386, 607, 700, 875). Mice homozygous for a knockin I148M allele displayed normal glucose tolerance despite increased IHTG on a high-sucrose diet, although hepatic insulin action was not directly assessed (778). Interestingly, the PNpla3I148M knockin mice did not develop worsened hepatic steatosis on a high-fat diet compared with wild-type controls and accordingly displayed similar glycemia, fasting insulin, and glucose (in)tolerance (778). Other rodent models have challenged the IHTG-hepatic insulin resistance connection as well. Various mice with liver-specific disruptions in insulin signaling display, by definition, insulin resistance, yet do not develop fatty liver; this dissociation does not, however, pose a logical challenge to the hypothesis that IHTG causes hepatic insulin resistance (811). Several other mice with defects in hepatic fatty acid oxidation accumulate IHTG yet are hypoglycemic upon fasting with high insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance; these models highlight the well-established role of fatty acid oxidation in supporting gluconeogenesis which confounds metabolic phenotyping (216, 399, 587). The same problem of physiological confounding applies to mice with defects in gluconeogenesis, which have a similar phenotype (273, 563, 829, 882, 952). A particularly interesting mouse model that also dissociates IHTG from hepatic insulin resistance is the liver-specific Scap−/− mouse (550). The SCAP protein is required for SREBP processing; Scap ablation blocks the SREBP lipogenic program (550). Scap deletion in ob/ob mice normalized IHTG but only slightly improved fasting glucose and insulin concentrations (550). When Scap was inducibly deleted in liver followed by 16 wk of high-fat feeding, IHTG did not increase during the HFD but fasting glucose and insulin levels were similar to those in wild-type fat-fed controls (550). Although hepatic insulin action was not directly assessed, these models are provocative and indicate that in the setting of chronic overnutrition, reversal or prevention of hepatic lipid accumulation is likely not sufficient to overcome the many other forms of metabolic dysregulation especially, perhaps, adipose insulin resistance and excess lipolysis—that accompany such states.

The link between skeletal muscle lipid accumulation and insulin resistance is more complex and controversial than in liver. In the late 1990s, pioneering 1H-MRS studies revealed that in normal-weight, nondiabetic humans, intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) was an extremely strong predictor of insulin sensitivity during hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamps, stronger even than plasma NEFA concentration (438). In nondiabetic Pima Indians, muscle triglyceride, but not body mass index, was negatively correlated with whole-body glucose uptake (610). Additionally, the correction of muscle insulin resistance—in lipodystrophic A-ZIP/F-1 mice by WAT transplantation or in lipodystrophic humans by leptin treatment—is associated with marked decreases in muscle triglyceride (406, 642). In addition, mice lacking LpL selectively in skeletal muscle (and thus unable to use plasma triglycerides as fuel) are protected from muscle insulin resistance (876). In the converse experiment, mice overexpressing LpL selectively in skeletal muscle display increased IMCL and develop skeletal muscle insulin resistance (404). However, assigning a causal role to IMCL
in muscle insulin resistance is complicated by the long-appreciated “athlete’s paradox,” wherein highly trained, insulin-sensitive endurance athletes display IMCL levels greater than or equal to levels observed in people with T2D (275, 488, 560). Although the pathways by which T2D and endurance athletes develop increased IMCL stores differ markedly, the athlete’s paradox provides evidence against a causal role for stored triglyceride in the pathogenesis of muscle insulin resistance (866e).

The proposition that lipid accumulation in nonadipose tissues is causally associated with insulin resistance in those tissues is known as the ectopic lipid hypothesis of insulin resistance. Although, as discussed below, the ectopic lipid hypothesis implicates tissue- and cell-autonomous processes in nonadipose tissues, adipose tissue also plays a central role in ectopic lipid-induced insulin resistance. If all excess energy could be stored in WAT, ectopic lipid-induced insulin resistance could not develop. The transgenic adiponectin-overexpressing ob/ob mouse discussed above, which weighs up to twice as much as the obese ob/ob mouse yet retains normal glucose tolerance, is a dramatic example of this principle (408). Indirect human genetic evidence for the ectopic lipid hypothesis includes the strong association of genomic variants limiting peripheral adipose storage capacity with insulin resistance as assessed by multiple parameters including fasting insulin, euglycemic clamp data, and glucose tolerance testing (501).

Recognizing that stored triglyceride per se was unlikely to directly impair cellular insulin action, researchers have long sought to identify lipid moieties that could mechanistically link lipid accumulation and insulin resistance (766). We now examine the three such lipid classes that have received the most attention: diacylglycerol, ceramides, and acylcarnitines.

B. The DAG-Novel Protein Kinase C Axis and Insulin Resistance

Early work linking DAG to insulin resistance preceded the development of the MRS methods that linked intracellular lipid content to insulin resistance. Rather, these initial investigations derived from the observation that phorbol esters, tumorigenic analogues of sn-1,2-DAG, caused insulin resistance in vitro and in vivo (821, 822, 866c). This observation prompted measurements of DAG in muscle, heart, and liver, which revealed increases in all tissues in obese or diabetic rats (593, 852). As the penultimate intermediate in triacylglycerol (TAG) synthesis, DAG levels track with TAG levels in muscle and liver from nearly all rodent and human models with intact lipid handling (112, 113, 445, 621, 627, 629, 669, 724, 767). As a consequence, the associations between IMCL/muscle insulin resistance and IHTG/hepatic insulin resistance discussed above are also associations between DAG and insulin resistance. To date, five human studies have observed significant positive correlations between hepatic DAG and hepatic insulin resistance, as measured by either HOMA-IR (445, 506, 705) or suppression of HGP during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (512, 834).

Because DAG was known to be a bioactive signaling lipid, requisite for activation of protein kinase C (PKC), attention soon turned to the potential role of PKC activity in insulin resistance. However, early work in this field was hampered by incomplete knowledge of the several classes and isoforms of PKC present in mammalian cells, as well as by highly nonspecific pharmacological inhibitors. As a result, literature in this field from the 1980s and early 1990s contains contradictory reports describing both stimulatory and inhibitory effects of PKCs on insulin action (160, 353–355, 387, 558, 866c).

Advances in molecular biology and careful biochemical characterization gradually facilitated the separation of PKCs into three major classes: conventional PKCs (cPKC; isoforms α, β, γ) require both Ca²⁺ and DAG for full activation, novel PKCs (nPKC; isoforms δ, ε, θ, η) require only DAG, and atypical PKCs (aPKC; isoforms ε, λ, ϵ) require neither Ca²⁺ nor DAG (799). Conventional PKC isoforms are activated rapidly and participate largely in phospholipase C-mediated signaling, which produces the parallel spikes in Ca²⁺ and DAG necessary for full cPKC activation (580, 799). In contrast, nPKCs display a slow, sustained activation by DAG, a consequence of a single W/Y amino acid replacement in the DAG-binding C1 domains of nPKCs versus cPKCs that lends nPKCs twofold greater affinity for DAG (199). This property appears a priori to position nPKCs as the most suitable PKC isoforms to mediate the insulin resistance of chronic cellular lipid accumulation.

Indeed, activation of nPKCs has been consistently observed in insulin-resistant skeletal muscle and liver. Skeletal muscle from high-fat-fed rats displayed translocation of PKCe and PKCθ, but not PKCα or PKCζ: this study was also notable for identifying a positive linear relationship between muscle TAG and DAG content and PKCθ translocation (739). Skeletal muscle PKCθ and PKCe translocation were subsequently measured in obese, insulin-resistant, and/or diabetic rat muscle by several groups and found to be increased in some (285, 348, 356, 456, 667), but not all (357), models. In human studies, PKCθ (819) and PKCe (619) translocation have both been found to be increased in T2D muscle compared with lean controls. Efforts to identify and characterize PKC isoforms involved in hepatic insulin resistance revealed a somewhat different picture than in muscle. PKCθ is not significantly expressed in hepatocytes, so investigators pursued other isoforms. Early work in obese people with T2D and Zucker diabetic fatty rats revealed increases
in membrane-associated PKCe and PKCζ compared with lean controls (161). Intralipid-heparin infusions in the rat were reported to increase PKCδ translocation in liver, although translocation of other PKC isoforms was not reported (450). In 2004, Samuel et al., using the 3-day high-fat-fed rat as a model of acute hepatic insulin resistance, measured translocation of all PKC isoforms highly expressed in liver—α, β, δ, ε, and ζ—and found that only PKCe translocation was increased in this model (721). Hepatic PKCe translocation has subsequently been observed in dozens of high-fat-fed rodent models (646). The finding of isolated PKCe activation was later replicated in liver biopsies from obese humans (445). Interestingly, PKCe translocation was strongly correlated with both hepatocellular DAG content and insulin resistance as assessed by HOMA-IR, the only PKC isoform of six tested to show this relationship (445). However, increased mRNA expression of both PKCe and PKCδ has also been reported in obese human liver (59).

One approach to determine whether nPKCs cause tissue insulin resistance has relied on correlation, demonstrating that upon an intervention, changes in insulin sensitivity track with changes in nPKC translocation. Treatment of fat-fed rats with the thiazolidinedione insulin sensitizer rosiglitazone decreased muscle DAG content, and nPKC translocation decreased in parallel (742). Similarly, feeding a single low-fat meal to chronically high-fat-fed rats decreased PKCθ (and not PKCe) translocation in muscle and normalized insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake (46). Intermittent fasting in diabetes-prone NZO mice prevented the development of hyperglycemia, associated with reduced skeletal muscle DAG, reduced hepatic DAG, and reduced PKCe activation compared with ad lib-fed controls (39).

The acute muscle insulin resistance of lipid/heparin infusion has also been a productive model system for this line of inquiry. A salient and highly reproducible feature of acute lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance is that it requires a time delay of 3–5 h to take effect (71, 942). In one study, acute lipid infusion impaired insulin signaling and glucose uptake in muscle in temporal parallel with marked translocation of PKCθ, but not PKCe (285). In another, the 4–5 h of lipid infusion needed to induce insulin resistance correlated with peaks in intramyocellular long-chain acyl CoA, DAG content, and PKCθ activation, but not with ceramide or triglyceride content (942).

Studies investigating the dynamic reciprocal relationship between nPKC translocation and insulin sensitivity have also been pursued in humans. Acute lipid administered either orally or parenterally reduced insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake and was accompanied by increased PKCθ translocation in muscle (585, 819). The delayed and transient rise in myocardial DAG during acute lipid infusions observed in rodents has also been observed in humans (819).

These correlative studies provided strong impetus for further testing of the DAG/nPKC hypothesis using the power of rodent genetic manipulation. Many such models have been used to this end, with somewhat varying results. These can broadly be divided into models testing the necessity and/or sufficiency of DAG for lipid-induced insulin resistance and models testing the necessity and/or sufficiency of nPKCs for lipid-induced insulin resistance. We now consider these two major categories sequentially.

In the Kennedy pathway, the major route for triacylglycerol synthesis in liver and muscle, fatty acyl-CoA moieties are sequentially added to sn-glycerol-3-phosphate to form first lysophosphatidic acid via glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (GPAT) and then phosphatidic acid via acylglycerophosphate acyltransferase (AGPAT). The phosphatase at the 3-position is removed by phosphatidic acid phosphatase (PAP, also known as lipin) to yield sn-1,2-diacylglycerol (DAG), which is finally acylated by diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) to produce triacylglycerol (TAG). This pathway provided a logical starting point to test the DAG hypothesis of cellular insulin resistance by genetic modification. Mice lacking mitochondrial GPAT (mtGPAT), a key hepatic GPAT, displayed increased fatty acyl-CoA levels and decreased hepatic DAG and TAG levels after high-fat feeding, as predicted (575). The decrease in hepatic DAG was accompanied by decreased PKCe translocation (575).

Consistent with the DAG/nPKC hypothesis, mtGPAT−/− mice were protected from HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance as demonstrated both by enhanced HGP suppression during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies and by increased hepatic insulin signaling: IRS2-associated PI3K activity and AKT activity (575). Similarly, mice with adenoviral hepatic overexpression of mtGPAT displayed increased hepatic DAG, PKCe translocation, and hepatic insulin resistance (567). Whole-body deletion of either AGPAT2 or lipin-1 causes profound lipodystrophy in mice and humans, confounding efforts to test the DAG/nPKC hypothesis with these models (170, 634). Analysis of mice with germ line deletion of the major hepatic lipin, lipin-2, is also confounded by compensatory lipin-1 upregulation (205). However, acute shRNA-mediated lipin-1 or lipin-2 knockdown in HFD-fed mice improves glucose tolerance and decreases hepatic DAG and TAG content (707, 708). Similarly, acute adenoviral lipin-2 overexpression in liver is sufficient to impair glucose tolerance, associated with increased hepatic DAG and TAG (708). Mice overexpressing lipin-1 in skeletal muscle develop profound obesity and insulin resistance, although the cellular mechanisms responsible in this model were not completely defined (649). Finally, several genetic perturbations of DGAT in mice have yielded somewhat conflicting results. Mice overexpressing DGAT1 in skeletal muscle display the expected increase in myocardial TAG and decrease in myocardial DAG and are protected from HFD-induced insulin resistance (491). Although Dgat1−/− mice are unexpectedly protected from...
HFD-induced obesity and insulin resistance, this may owe to increased energy expenditure; isolated lipid-treated soleus muscles from Dgat1−/− mice displayed blunted insulin-stimulated 2-deoxyglucose uptake, consistent with the DAG/nPKC hypothesis (491, 782). Dgat2 perturbations also yield complex phenotypes. Muscle-specific DGAT2-overexpressing mice exhibit decreased DAG (only in relatively insulin-insensitive glycolytic muscle fibers, however) and surprisingly display modest glucose intolerance, associated with increased ceramide content (473). In contrast, mice overexpressing DGAT2 in liver exhibit increases in both TAG and, unexpectedly, DAG content (371, 547). These mice have increased hepatic PKCε translocation and develop severe hepatic insulin resistance, as evidenced by profoundly impaired HGP suppression during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (371). Although one of the two studies performed on the liver-specific DGAT2-overexpressing mice did not observe differences in clamp EGP between the transgenic mice and wild-type controls, interpretation of those experiments is difficult because both the control and the transgenic mice displayed clamp EGP rates consistent with hepatic insulin resistance (547). Consistent with this, high-fat-fed rats with antisense oligonucleotide-mediated hepatic knockdown of DGAT2 displayed decreases in liver DAG and PKCε translocation associated with improved hepatic insulin sensitivity (144). Although not part of the Kennedy pathway, monoacylglycerol acyltransferases (MGAT) are another lipogenic source of DAG. Mgat1 was found to be a PPARγ-regulated driver of hepatic steatosis; Mgat1 knockout by adenoviral shRNA or antisense oligonucleotide decreased hepatosteatosis and improved glucose tolerance in HFD-fed mice (466, 791). However, a second study using the MGAT1 ASO found that the improved glucose tolerance was not accompanied by decreased IHTG in HFD-fed or ob/ob mice but unexpectedly was accompanied by increased DAG (298). Although the PKCε membrane/cytosol ratio was not formally determined in this study, membrane PKCε content was decreased by MGAT1 ASO, suggesting that while total hepatic DAG was increased, the DAG pool available for PKCε activation was not (298). Interestingly, rates of de novo lipogenesis were decreased in the MGAT1 ASO-treated mice despite increased DAG levels, suggesting that lipogenic flux may be more important than steady-state DAG levels in mediating insulin resistance (791). In summary, mouse models perturbing the lipogenic pathway (FIGURE 14) are frequently complicated by unexpected physiological compensations but are nevertheless generally consistent with the DAG/nPKC hypothesis of lipid-induced insulin resistance.

Several other models of genetically altered lipid metabolism address the DAG/nPKC hypothesis. Mice lacking the fatty acid elongase Elol6 were susceptible to hepatosteatosis when fed a HFD, but did not display increased hepatic DAG or PKCε translocation and were protected from HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance (527). Perturbations in diacylglycerol kinase (DAGK), which converts DAG to PA (the reverse reaction of lipin), have also been studied. There are 10 mammalian DAGK isoforms, with different substrate specificities, tissue expression profiles, and subcellular localizations, and data regarding their roles in lipid metabolism and insulin resistance are only beginning to emerge (50, 517, 518, 571, 573). DAGK8 was found to be decreased in subjects with T2D, and Dgkd+/− mice displayed increased myocellular DAG and impaired muscle insulin signaling and insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (137). Additionally, DAGKε knockout impaired insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in 3T3-L1 adipocytes (492). Curiously, DAGKε knockout mice exhibited improvements in muscle insulin sensitivity on a HFD despite elevated muscle DAG, but these mice also suffered from growth defects, reduced adiposity, and altered fuel selectivity, complicating interpretation of their phenotype (50). The physiological consequences of DAGKε ablation are also uncertain, with one group reporting protection from, and another reporting increased susceptibility to, HFD-induced glucose intolerance (518, 571).

Mice with genetic perturbations in triglyceride lipolysis have yielded diverse and fascinating phenotypes. Overexpression of a TAG hydrolase, carboxylesterase 2 (CES2), reduced hepatic DAG in high-fat-fed mice in association with improved glucose tolerance (705). Adipose-specific Atgl−/− mice provide another example of a model consistent with the DAG hypothesis; these mice displayed blunted adipose fatty acid oxidation and decreased hepatocellular DAG, accompanied by improved insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production during hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamps (12). Similarly, adenoviral overexpression of Atgl in HFD-fed mouse liver reduced hepatic DAG and improved hepatic insulin signaling (855). Liver-specific Atgl−/− mice develop profound hepatosteatosis but display normal glucose and insulin tolerance, consistent with the hypothesis that IHTG per se does not induce hepatic insulin resistance (916). Similarly, mice treated with an adenovirally delivered shRNA targeting Atgl for 12 wk developed increased hepatic TAG and DAG but maintained normal glucose tolerance and displayed lower fasting glycemia than controls (597). These phenotypes likely reflect the importance of intrahepatic lipolysis for gluconeogenic support (e.g., through glycerol entry into gluconeogenesis and acetyl CoA activation of PC).

Together, at least two dozen rodent studies in which a genetic or pharmacological intervention was used to alter hepatic insulin action have observed an inverse relationship between hepatic DAG content and hepatic insulin sensitivity (646). Yet in addition to those discussed above (298, 547, 597), several other genetically modified mouse models have also yielded phenotypes that appear to dissociate DAG accumulation from hepatic insulin resistance, engendering
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**FIGURE 14.** Rodent models of perturbed hepatic triglyceride synthesis. Various genetic loss-of-function and gain-of function rodent models have been generated to test the role of diacylglycerol (DAG) in lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. These models are broadly consistent with the DAG/protein kinase C (PKC)ε hypothesis of lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. TAG, triacylglycerol; HFD, high-fat diet, KO, knockout. See text for details and references.
skepticism toward the DAG-PKC hypothesis of lipid-induced insulin resistance (20, 231). Mice overexpressing ChREBP predictably displayed upregulation of several lipogenic genes and developed hepatic steatosis with increased hepatic DAG (49). Yet the ChREBP-overexpressing mice had normal glucose and insulin tolerance on regular chow diet and were even protected from HFD-induced defects in glucose tolerance and hepatic insulin signaling (49). Although PKCe activation was not determined in this study, it challenges the sufficiency of lipogenic DAG accumulation for hepatic insulin resistance and points to potential benefits of expedient lipid storage (496). Another important mouse model inconsistent with the DAG hypothesis of hepatic insulin resistance is the liver-specific microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (Mttp) knockout mouse (543). These mice have a defect in very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) secretion and accordingly accumulate hepatic lipids, including DAG, ceramides, and TAG, despite normal body weight and adipose tissue mass (543). Despite hepatic lipid accumulation, the liver-specific Mttp−/− mice displayed normal hepatic insulin sensitivity during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies (543). PKCe activation was not assessed in these mice, and their normal adiposity suggests that indirect hepatic insulin action (mediated by suppression of adipose lipolysis) was likely intact; this may account for the normal suppression of hepatic glucose production observed during clamps (543). Another provocative model is the liver-specific Hdac3−/− mouse, which develops a distinctive hepatosteatosis characterized by very small lipid droplets and a reduced acyl CoA pool, and displays decreased fasting insulin, improved glucose tolerance, and improved insulin tolerance on regular chow diet (812). Here, although hepatic DAG was increased severalfold on regular chow, PKCe activation was not observed in the Hdac3−/− mice, another example in which discordance between hepatic lipids and hepatic insulin action is accompanied by concordance between hepatic PKCe activation and hepatic insulin action (812).

A prominent challenge to the DAG/nPKC hypothesis that led to an important advance in the field came from mice with antisense oligonucleotide knockdown of the ATGL coactivator CGI-58. These mice manifest profound hepatosteatosis and increased hepatic DAG content, yet retain normal hepatic insulin signaling and suppress hepatic glucose production normally during hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamps (98, 115). Although one explanation for these data is that increased DAG is insufficient to induce hepatic insulin resistance, more nuanced interpretations are also possible. For example, PKCe was observed to translocate to the lipid droplet in CGI-58 ASO-treated mice, rather than to the membrane-associated fraction as typically observed in lipid-induced insulin resistance (115). It is possible that preferential lipid droplet DAG/nPKC activity constitutes a sequestration of PKCe away from the compartments involved in insulin receptor trafficking and signaling, such as the secretory apparatus and the plasma membrane. A similar phenotype in mice with adenoviral hepatic overexpression of perilipin 5, characterized by increased hepatic TAG and DAG with preserved hepatic insulin sensitivity, may involve a similar mechanism (848). As a result of increasing recognition of the importance of DAG localization, it has become standard practice in recent years to measure DAG levels in multiple subcellular compartments.

Another way to subdivide cellular DAG is by acyl chain composition: length and saturation. In vitro, different DAG species may activate PKC isoforms with modestly different potencies, although no clear molecular basis for such differences has been identified (510). In vivo, various studies have observed positive, negative, or no association between the degree of acyl group saturation and insulin resistance (21, 53, 819, 866d). DAG composition is largely a function of circulating fatty acid availability, which may limit the utility of studies done using acute lipid infusions or synthetic rodent HFDs to address this question. Careful correction for multiple comparisons is also essential for studies in which correlation coefficients are calculated for many lipid species.

A final important distinction to consider pertains to DAG stereoisomers. DAG exists as one of three stereoisomers: sn-1,2-, sn-2,3-, or sn-1,3 depending on the placement of the two fatty acyl chains along the three-carbon glycerol backbone. Only sn-1,2-DAG is capable of activating PKC isoforms (680). This stereospecificity has important physiologic implications. The lipolytic enzyme ATGL preferentially generates sn-1,3-DAG rather than the PKC-activating sn-1,2-DAG, arguing against intracellular lipolysis as a driver of nPKC-mediated cellular insulin resistance (210). The relevance of DAG stereoisomers in lipolysis is also highlighted by studies of HSL knockout mice, which displayed increased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake despite increased muscle sn-1,3-DAG content; sn-1,2-DAG content was unaffected by HSL loss (754). It is plausible that lipogenic DAG flux, rather than lipolytic DAG flux, drives DAG/nPKC-mediated insulin resistance. Interestingly, mRNA expression of Dgat2 is decreased in the insulin-sensitive steatotic livers of mice with liver-specific deletion of either Atgl or CGI-58 as well as in CGI-58 ASO-treated mice, suggesting antilipogenic compensation (98, 290, 916). Stereisomer-specific DAG measurements are not frequently reported, and spontaneous acyl migration tends to convert sn-1,2- to sn-1,3-DAG during sample preparation. However, a recent study reported muscle DAG concentrations subdivided by stereoisomer, compartment, and species in a human cohort that included athletes, lean controls, obese subjects, and subjects with T2D (619). These workers found increased total sn-1,2-DAG in T2D subjects compared with lean controls in the total cellular lysate and the sarcoplasmic fraction, but not in the mitochondrial/ER, nuclear, or cytosolic fractions (619). In related experiments,
Pesta et al. have found that insulin-sensitive athletes have reduced PKC\(\theta\) translocation in muscle compared with obese insulin-resistant subjects, suggesting that differences in the compartmentation and/or stereoisomer distribution of DAGs may account for differences in muscle insulin sensitivity between these populations despite similar increases in total muscle TAG and DAG content (D. Pesta, D. Zhang, G. Shulman, M. Roden, unpublished results). Further work, both in methodological refinement and standardization, and in data collection across multiple populations, will likely emerge in this area.

It is also important to recognize that changes in the DAG/nPKC axis measured in all models must be interpreted with caution because the practical methods currently available for quantitating DAG levels and nPKC activation are relatively crude, lacking organellar spatial resolution and any temporal resolution. For example, the “membrane” or “particulate” fraction typically used to interrogate DAG compartmentation or PKC translocation contains plasma membrane, mitochondrial membranes, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi membranes, and other endomembranes (115). The investigator typically cannot be certain that the pattern of DAG/nPKC perturbation in a given mouse model corresponds to the pattern observed in typical human insulin resistance. It is also not certain that the relevant compartment for DAG/PKC axis activation is the plasma membrane, although this is widely assumed. The DAG-PKC\(e\) interaction has been shown in 3T3 fibroblasts to occur primarily at the Golgi, where its anchoring protein RACK2 is localized (469, 648). A single trypothan residue in the DAG-binding C1 domain of nPKCs also appears to facilitate targeting to the Golgi (199). Although Golgi membranes are a component of the “membrane” fraction typically employed to interrogate the DAG/PKC axis, no studies have specifically examined DAG/nPKC axis activation at the Golgi in cellular insulin resistance. Formation of sn-1,2-DAG is best studied in other organelles: at the plasma membrane by phospholipase C cleavage of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (a major mechanism for activation of classical PKC isoforms such as PKC\(\alpha\), \(\beta_1\), \(\beta_2\), and \(\gamma\), and in the endoplasmic reticulum by phosphatidic acid phosphatase in the Kennedy pathway or by MGAT activity (211). However, sn-1,2-DAG is also present in the Golgi, where it signals in membrane protein trafficking (36, 730). Additionally, RACK2 is a component of the coatomer complex that mediates vesicle trafficking between the ER and Golgi, highlighting the extensive crosstalk between these structures (648). Overall, given what is known about how different DAG moieties may participate in insulin resistance, a reasonable refinement of the initial hypothesis that DAGs activate nPKC isoforms may be that lipogenic sn-1,2-DAGs act at the Golgi to activate nPKC isoforms (FIGURE 15).

Having considered several models designed to examine the role of DAG in insulin resistance, we now turn our attention to models generated to genetically test the role of nPKC activation in lipid-induced insulin resistance. The first such model reported was a mouse ectopically expressing a dominant-negative, kinase-dead PKC\(\theta\) specifically in skeletal muscle (753). Unexpectedly, these mice developed age-associated obesity accompanied by glucose intolerance and impaired muscle insulin signaling (753). Similarly, whole-body PKC\(\theta\) knockout mice were more susceptible to HFD-induced obesity and insulin resistance owing to decreased physical activity and energy expenditure (256). However, when subjected to the simplest test of lipid-induced insulin resistance—the acute lipid infusion—the PKC\(\theta\) knockout mice were completely protected from skeletal muscle insulin resistance (405). PKC\(\theta\) overexpression is also sufficient to cause insulin resistance in cultured myocytes (292). These disparate results suggest that in addition to a possible pathophysiological role in lipid-induced insulin resistance, PKC\(\theta\) may have an as-yet-undetermined physiological role in normal skeletal muscle insulin action and/or energy balance. They also indicate that while PKC\(\theta\) is likely involved in lipid-induced insulin resistance, it is not totally necessary for obesity-associated muscle insulin resistance.

The role of PKC\(\alpha\) in lipid-induced insulin resistance has also been tested genetically. Antisense oligonucleotide knockdown of PKC\(\alpha\) specifically in liver and WAT protects rats from hepatic insulin resistance when fed a 3-day HFD (722). Additionally, whole-body PKC\(\alpha\) knockout mice are totally protected from glucose intolerance when fed a 1-wk HFD despite increased liver TAG and DAG content (668). With longer durations of high-fat feeding in PKC\(\alpha\) knockout mice, assessment of the liver phenotype is confounded by improvements in \(\beta\)-cell function, but the improvements in glucose tolerance persist (741). Thus available genetic models are consistent with a role for PKC\(\alpha\) in the pathophysiology of lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance.

The contribution of PKC\(\delta\) activation to lipid-induced insulin resistance is incompletely understood. PKC\(\delta\) translocation has been observed in the lipid-infused rat liver (450), and mouse models also support a deleterious role for this nPKC in liver insulin action. PKC\(\delta\) knockout mice, whether whole body or liver specific, have diminished transcriptional programs for gluconeogenesis and lipogenesis and displayed improved hepatic insulin signaling, while mice adenovirally overexpressing PKC\(\delta\) in liver are glucose intolerant (59). However, neither humans with NAFLD nor 3-day high-fat-fed rats display increased hepatic PKC\(\delta\) translocation, challenging the physiological relevance of these observations (445, 721). The decreased lipogenic capacity of PKC\(\delta\) knockout mice may in turn decrease DAG/PKC\(e\) axis activation, directly mediating the observed improvements in hepatic insulin action. The role of PKC\(\delta\) in skeletal muscle insulin resistance is complex. In vitro work has suggested that PKC\(\delta\) activation may actually enhance myocellular insulin action (91, 92). However, this hypot-
esis is not supported by in vivo data. In skeletal muscle of young mice, PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9254 expression is low and, interestingly, decreases with fat feeding (482). Accordingly, muscle-specific PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9254 deletion (M-PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9254 KO mice) does not confer protection from HFD-induced muscle insulin resistance in young mice (482). However, skeletal muscle PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9254 expression increases with age, and old M-PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9254 KO mice display protection from age-related glucose intolerance (482). Understanding the potential role of PKC\textsubscript{\textalpha} in lipid-induced insulin resistance will thus require further study.

Although these genetic models have provided important clues to the tissue-specific roles of various nPKCs in lipid-induced insulin resistance, establishing the pathophysiological significance of the DAG/nPKC axis necessitates elucidating the molecular mechanisms by which activated nPKCs impair insulin action. If the DAG/nPKC axis mediates lipid-induced insulin resistance, the most straightforward mechanism would be direct serine/threonine phosphorylation of insulin signaling mediators. This hypothesis has been long pursued, but conclusive evidence linking specific serine/threonine phosphorylation sites to lipid-induced insulin resistance has only recently begun to emerge.

Early work investigating PKC inhibition of insulin signaling employed phorbol esters, especially phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) [also known as 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)]. Phorbol esters were first observed to decrease INSR tyrosine phosphorylation and insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis in 1984 (822). This inhibition was
associated with serine and threonine phosphorylation of INSR and was reversed by alkaline phosphatase treatment (821, 822). These lines of evidence, together with the 1986 demonstration that purified PKC preparations (albeit with Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent activity) could phosphorylate purified INSR (77), suggested that PKC could directly inhibit IRK activity. The search for specific sites of serine and threonine phosphorylation on INSR yielded several candidates, mostly in the relatively unstructured COOH-terminal tail activity. The search for specific sites of serine and threonine INSR (77), suggested that PKC could directly inhibit IRK (722). Furthermore, recombinant PKCε dose-dependently inhibited recombinant IRK activity in vitro, and hepatic INSR immunoprecipitated from rats treated with an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) targeting PKCε displayed complete protection from HFD-induced impairments in IRK activity (722). However, phorbol esters are nonspecific activators of multiple PKC isoforms, and most of the above studies used either phorbol esters or cPKC isoforms such as PKCa or PKCβII. Despite careful study, these candidate sites have ultimately not been implicated in insulin resistance in vivo (82, 394, 564). One possible exception to this paradigm is Ser\(^{994}\), which is an in vitro substrate of PKCε, β, and ζ isoforms as well as TANK-binding kinase 1; hepatic INSR Ser\(^{994}\) phosphorylation has been shown to increase in the basal state in several rodent models of obesity-associated insulin resistance (155, 559, 802). However, mechanistic insight to the role of Ser\(^{994}\) phosphorylation in INSR kinase activity is lacking.

With the observations that PKCθ activation was involved in lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance and that PKCε was involved in lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance, mechanistic investigations turned to these specific isoforms. In 2004, in vitro kinase assays and cell-based experiments identified IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) as a PKCθ substrate in myocytes (494). IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) is phosphorylated within 15 min of insulin stimulation and impairs IRS1 tyrosine phosphorylation, suggesting that it may act in an acute negative feedback circuit to attenuate insulin action (494). Increased IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) phosphorylation in muscle has been reported in acutely lipid-infused humans, associated with the development of muscle insulin resistance (819). However, other stimuli, including PMA, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, arachidonic acid, and oleic acid, were also observed to increase IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) phosphorylation (494). In addition, the amino acid-activated kinase S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) was later shown to phosphorylate IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) in response to nutrient status, suggesting complex and integrated regulation of this functionally important phosphorylation site (846). IRS1 can be phosphorylated on more than 50 serine/threonine sites, most of which are dynamically regulated by insulin and other metabolic stimuli; the structural basis by which a given serine/threonine phosphorylation event may impair IRS1 tyrosine phosphorylation is largely unknown (169, 300). The difficulty of assigning causal roles to specific IRS phosphorylation sites in insulin sensitivity or resistance is typified by the case of IRS1 Ser\(^{107}\), long considered a marker for inflammation-induced insulin resistance through the c-Jun NH\(_2\)-terminal kinase (JNK; see sect. VII) (465). Despite extensive evidence suggesting that IRS1 Ser\(^{107}\) phosphorylation mediates insulin resistance, mice homozygous for a Ser307Ala mutation surprisingly were more, not less, susceptible to diet-induced insulin resistance (167). Similarly, alanine knock-in mice for another well-studied IRS1 phosphorylation site, Ser\(^{302}\), displayed no defects in muscle insulin action (168). The bewildering complexity of IRS1 serine/threonine phosphorylation seems to cast doubt on the hypothesis that single IRS phosphorylation sites can exhibit on/off control over IRS signaling intensity; rather, a more viable model treats IRS phosphorylation events as signaling modulators that serve individually minor but collectively major roles in attenuating and/or inhibiting IRS signaling (169). Although the specific importance of PKCθ phosphorylation of IRS1 Ser\(^{1101}\) is uncertain, there have been reports of other potential mediators of PKCθ-induced muscle insulin resistance. PKCθ has been reported to phosphorylate phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) Ser\(^{504}\) and Ser\(^{532}\) in palmitate-treated myotubes, with these phosphorylation events associated with impaired PDK1-mediated Akt Thr\(^{308}\) phosphorylation (873). A final intriguing PKCθ target is the guanine exchange factor GIV/Girdin, which interacts with INSR, IRS1, and PI3K and is required for insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (514); PKCθ inhibits GIV through Ser\(^{1689}\) phosphorylation, decreasing PI3K-AKT signaling (500). GIV was shown to be necessary for palmitate-induced insulin resistance to glucose uptake in L6 myotubes, expression of a phosphomimetic Ser1689Asp GIV mutant was sufficient to abolish insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in L6 myotubes, and GIV Ser\(^{1689}\) phosphorylation was profoundly decreased by pioglitazone treatment in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (514). Though promising, a full understanding of the physiological significance of this phosphorylation event requires future study, and still other PKCθ substrates within the proximal insulin signaling cascade may yet be uncovered.

Efforts to understand the mechanistic link between PKCε and hepatic insulin resistance have also yielded interesting results. Because the signaling defects of hepatic insulin resistance can be traced as far proximally as IRK activity (116, 155, 161, 722), direct inhibition of IRK activity by PKCε was hypothesized. PKCε was shown to coimmunoprecipitate with INSRβ in liver, suggesting direct interaction (722). Furthermore, recombinant PKCε dose-dependently inhibited recombinant IRK activity in vitro, and hepatic INSR immunoprecipitated from rats treated with an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) targeting PKCε displayed complete protection from HFD-induced impairments in IRK activity (722). However, the mechanistic basis of PKCε inhibition of IRK activity was unknown until recently. Phosphopeptide mass spectrometry of in vitro PKCε/IRK kinase assays revealed a novel phosphorylation site within the IRK activation loop, Thr\(^{1160}\) (645). This threonine is conserved in metazoans as distantly related as *Drosophila*, and its phosphorylation is predicted to disrupt the normal
configuration of the active IRK through steric hindrance and electrostatic repulsion (645). Indeed, phosphomimetic Thr1160Glu mutation produces a nearly kinase-dead INSR (645). Conversely, Thr1160Ala mutation abolished IRK inhibition by PKCe in vitro (645). InsrT1150A knock-in mice were protected from HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance, indicating that Thr1160 phosphorylation is a physiologically relevant mechanism for lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance (645). These studies support a model of lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance wherein activation of the DAG/PKCɛ axis promotes direct inhibitory phosphorylation of INSR Thr1160 (FIGURE 16). Importantly, although this defect localizes to the insulin receptor, it is not a decrease in receptor number and is therefore best classified as a post-receptor defect, shifting the insulin dose-response curve rightward and downward. Yet for a significant portion of this shifted insulin dose-response curve, DAG/PKCɛ/INSR-mediated hepatic insulin resistance can be overcome by the portal hyperinsulinemia that often accompanies mild-moderate insulin resistance. This concept should apply to both insulin-stimulated hepatic glycogen synthesis and insulin-stimulated de novo lipogenesis as discussed in section IV. Although this model is sufficient to account for the signaling patterns typically observed in hepatic insulin resistance (e.g., activation of PKCe, inhibition of IRK, and all downstream effectors), it is nevertheless likely that additional PKCe-dependent or -independent mechanisms for lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance are operative.

Together, these studies have both shed light and cast doubt upon the DAG/nPKC hypothesis, enabling it to become more focused and specific. Genetic and pharmacological manipulations have yielded strong evidence for a causal role of DAG/nPKC axis activation in lipid-induced insulin resistance, while correlational studies in “wild-type” rodents and humans suggest physiological relevance. The era of the genetically modified mouse has both bolstered and challenged the hypothesis, but with the ever-present caveat of unphysiological compensations. The application of advanced cell biology and analytical chemistry, especially in cells and tissues with intact lipid handling pathways, will be necessary to drive further progress in understanding if, when, where, and how diacylglycerols activate nPKCs to impair insulin signaling.

C. Ceramides and Insulin Resistance

The sphingolipids, which derive from the condensation of serine and, primarily, palmitoyl CoA, encompass hundreds of distinct lipid species (325). Initial suggestions that sphingolipids might interact with insulin action came from in vitro reports that sphinganine and sphingosine blocked insulin-stimulated 2-deoxyglucose uptake in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts (574). Sphingosine was also shown to impair maximal insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and lipogenesis in cultured adipocytes (695, 779). Early studies of ceramides, produced by the covalent addition of a fatty acyl group to sphingosine, used short-chain (C2, C6) cell-permeable ceramides, often at supraphysiological concentrations. These studies largely agreed that adding ceramide to the culture medium inhibited insulin action, but reported different sites of blockade. Some groups reported inhibition of INSR tyrosine kinase activity by ceramides (385, 617), while others found no impairment in proximal insulin signaling (574,

FIGURE 16. The diacylglycerol (DAG)/protein kinase C (PKCɛ) axis in lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. Chronic overnutrition promotes intrahepatic lipid accumulation. Although this lipid is primarily stored as relatively inert triglyceride, levels of the bioactive lipid DAG, the penultimate intermediate in triglyceride synthesis, increase as well. DAG activates PKC isoforms by promoting PKC translocation to cellular membranes, and PKCe translocation in particular is chronically and reproducibly increased in the setting of lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. PKCe impairs insulin action by directly phosphorylating and inhibiting the insulin receptor (INSR) at Thr1160 in the activation loop of its tyrosine kinase domain.
740, 809, 874, 956). The 1998 reports that C2-ceramides inhibited insulin action at the level of AKT–distal to INSR-IRS-P13K activation—were particularly influential in guiding consensus for the mechanism of ceramide-induced insulin resistance (809, 956). The mechanism for C2-ceramide inhibition of AKT has been linked to both increased PP2A activity (715, 748, 806, 961) and defective insulin-stimulated AKT translocation (805) through activation of atypical PKCζ (67, 241, 659, 806). Subsequent studies of ceramide-induced insulin resistance have focused on AKT inhibition as the primary mechanism.

Short-chain ceramides provided a convenient early experimental tool for in vitro studies, but the most prevalent endogenous ceramides incorporate palmitate or other long-chain saturated fatty acids (SFAs). Accordingly, most recent studies of ceramide-induced insulin resistance have focused on the effects of SFAs. Palmitate potently induces insulin resistance in cultured myocytes and hepatocytes, especially when AKT Ser473 phosphorylation is used as the primary readout of insulin resistance (126, 740). However, when functional readouts of insulin resistance such as insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis, glucose uptake, and HGP suppression are used, palmitate induces insulin resistance to a similar extent as unsaturated fatty acids (USFAs) such as oleate and linoleate (323, 740). Because USFAs do not increase ceramide levels, it follows that ceramides cannot be the only mediator of lipid-induced insulin resistance (124).

A potential role for ceramides in SFA-induced muscle insulin resistance has been investigated in several in vitro and in vivo studies in which ceramide synthesis is perturbed and insulin action is assessed. Many of these studies have used the natural fungal product myriocin, which inhibits the first step in ceramide biosynthesis: serine palmitoyltransferase-1 activity (127, 545). For example, palmitate-rich lard oil infusion caused acute muscle insulin resistance in rats, associated with increases in both myocellular DAG and ceramides; myriocin treatment partially prevented this insulin resistance, associated with abrogation of ceramide but not DAG accumulation (322, 323). Additionally, myriocin pretreatment in C2C12 myotubes totally prevented palmitate-induced, but not linoleate-induced, insulin resistance (323). However, another study found that myriocin treatment did not reverse glucose intolerance in SFA-fed mice despite normalization of muscle ceramide content (243). A key contributor in many studies of ceramide-induced insulin resistance, even those designed to specifically modify ceramide biosynthesis, is concomitant changes throughout the lipidome, including changes in other putative mediators of insulin resistance such as DAG (101, 102). For example, myriocin, described as the “workhorse” of ceramide studies (127), has been shown to alter energy balance, weight gain, and ectopic lipid accumulation in multiple models of obesity (934). The relative contribution of each of these known modulators of muscle insulin sensitivity to the overall phenotype of myriocin-treated rodents is challenging to assess.

Ceramides have also been investigated for a potential role in lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. Mice lacking one copy of dihydroceramide synthase 1 (Des1+/−) displayed improved insulin sensitivity in insulin tolerance tests (although whole-body ceramide levels were similar to wild-type littermates and glucose tolerance was not altered) (323). Mice with haploinsufficiency for ceramide synthase 2 (CerS2+/−), which produces very-long-chain (C22/C24) ceramides, did not display altered total hepatic ceramide content (672). However, CerS2+/− mice shifted the acyl chain composition of their hepatic ceramides to increase C16:0 (palmitoyl) ceramides, and this was associated with worsening of hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance; the steatosis at least partially owed to mitochondrial lipid oxidation defects (672). The coexistence of hepatic steatosis in many experimental models of perturbed ceramide biosynthesis (61, 323) complicates efforts to identify the mediator(s) responsible. Even in models genetically perturbing lipid metabolism, rodents and humans with elevated liver triglyceride also generally exhibit elevated DAG (115, 144, 371, 547). Additionally, a recent human lipidomic study noted positive associations between hepatic ceramides and HOMA-IR score, but observed similar associations with hepatic DAG (506). However, recent studies of mice with tissue-specific overexpression of the ceramide-degrading enzyme acid ceramidase challenge this paradigm. Liver-specific inducible overexpression of acid ceramidase (Alb-AC mice) decreased hepatic ceramide content and was associated with protection from HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance despite increased hepatic DAG content (922). Interestingly, however, HFD-fed Alb-AC mice also displayed marked protection from hepatic steatosis (~3-fold lower liver TAG content) (922). The mechanistic basis for this unusual dissociation of hepatic DAG and TAG content, also observed in mice with adipose-specific overexpression of acid ceramidase (922), is not clear. Interestingly, reversal of hepatic insulin resistance upon acid ceramidase induction paralleled reversal of hepatic steatosis in this study (922). Although ceramide content was only one of many physiological parameters altered by tissue-specific acid ceramidase overexpression, this study is certainly consistent with a role for ceramides in hepatic insulin resistance.

Ultimately, the questions of whether and how ceramides impair cellular insulin action derive their significance from the extent to which ceramide biosynthesis and ceramide levels are altered in insulin-resistant states. Many studies weigh in on this latter question, with varying conclusions. In the earliest such report, obese Zucker fa/fa rats were found to have increased liver and skeletal muscle ceramide content (852). Ceramides were also elevated in liver and muscle from Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) rats (323), lard-oil infused rat liver and muscle (323), ob/ob mouse liver (8),...
and obese human muscle (4, 21, 803). However, because these common models of insulin resistance are all characterized by generalized elevations in tissue lipid content, they do not permit assessment of the specific role of ceramides in insulin resistance. Indeed, many models of lipid-induced insulin resistance in both muscle and liver are not associated with increased ceramide levels. For example, 8 wk of high-fat feeding was associated with unchanged muscle ceramide content and decreased hepatic ceramide content in C57BL/6J mice (548). Acute Liposyn II infusion potently induced muscle insulin resistance without altering muscle ceramides (942). Mice lacking pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 2 and 4 (Pdk2/4\(^{-/-}\)) constitutively oxidized glucose in muscle and consequently developed lipid-induced muscle resistance, but muscle ceramide levels were not increased (669). Neither SFA-rich nor USFA-rich 3-day HFDs raised liver ceramide content in rats, despite the presence of profound hepatic insulin resistance (253). In four independent studies of obese, non-diabetic humans, hepatic ceramide content was not correlated with insulin resistance in three; one study did observe a positive association (445, 506, 512, 834). In mice with reduced tissue lipid delivery secondary to knockdown of ApoA5, improved muscle and liver insulin sensitivity were not associated with differences in tissue ceramide content (113). In fructose-fed liver-specific Xbp1\(^{-/-}\) mice with decreased de novo lipogenesis relative to wild-type fructose-fed controls, hepatic insulin sensitivity was increased but hepatic ceramide levels were increased (375). Furthermore, the insulin-sensitizing effects of estradiol in ovariectomized female mice were associated with correction of DAG/nPKC axis activation but no changes in liver or muscle ceramides (111). These models suggest that increased liver or muscle ceramide levels are not necessary for lipid-induced insulin resistance.

Just as studies of diacylglycerol-mediated insulin resistance have increasingly subdivided their measurements by acyl chain length, stereoisomer, and subcellular localization, so several ceramide studies have focused on specific ceramide species and their subcellular localization. Muscle C18:0 ceramides in particular have been identified as inversely correlated with insulin sensitivity during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps in three human studies (52, 619, 844), although this relationship is not observed in all studies (21, 149, 819). Interestingly, one recent study employing subcellular fractionation found that this C18:0 ceramide relationship was observed in the sarcolemma, mitochondria/ER, and nuclear compartments alike (619). However, another fractionation study that separated muscle biopsies into sub-sarcosomal and intramyofibrillar fractions observed strong correlations with HOMA-IR for only C16:0 and C18:1 ceramides (149). Because of its saturated acyl chain, C18:0 ceramide has been hypothesized to impair insulin action by decreasing membrane fluidity in addition to the PP2A/AKT mechanism discussed above, but these hypotheses have not yet been directly tested (52). Intriguingly, however, C18:0 ceramides are the first muscle ceramide species to increase during high-fat feeding in mice (854).

The role of ceramides in adipose insulin resistance is unclear, but research in this area is accelerating. Human studies have reported correlation of adipose ceramide content with HOMA-IR (64) and increased adipose ceramides in obese diabetic compared with obese non-diabetic subjects (122). Additionally, the whole-body insulin sensitization associated with adipose-specific induction of ceramide degradation suggests a role for adipose ceramides in insulin action, whether direct or via tissue cross-talk (922). Indeed, C16:0 ceramides have been reported to be increased in adipose tissue from obese subjects, in concert with increased mRNA expression of the relevant synthetic enzyme CerS6 (686). High-fat feeding has also been reported to increase adipose ceramides in mice (856). Inhibition of adipoocyte ceramide biosynthesis in WAT-specific serine palmitoyltransferase (Sptlc2) knockout mice protected mice from HFD-induced weight gain and hyperglycemia, although, surprisingly, this genetic perturbation did not change the abundance of most WAT ceramide species (122). As with many rodent models investigating the role of ceramides in lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance, the global alterations in energetics confound efforts to ascribe the metabolic improvements seen in these mice to any one mechanism.

Another important question for assessment of the relevance of ceramide-induced insulin resistance pertains to the site(s) of signal transduction blockade in insulin resistance. If ceramides are responsible for typical obesity-associated insulin resistance, and ceramides induce insulin resistance through AKT inhibition, then one would predict that proximal insulin signaling would be intact and all detectable defects would be downstream of AKT. While defects in AKT Ser\(^{473}\) phosphorylation are certainly detected in both muscle and liver insulin resistance (113, 115, 669, 921), proximal insulin signaling defects are also prominent (117, 470, 722). Interestingly, glucosylceramides such as GM3 ganglioside have been suggested to impair proximal insulin signaling through altered INSR membrane microdomain localization (125, 376), and specific inhibition of glucosylceramide synthase improves insulin sensitivity in obese rodents (8); more work is needed to understand the role of specific glucosylceramides in insulin resistance. However, the observed impairment in proximal insulin signaling in typical insulin resistance poses a problem for the hypothesis that ceramide inhibition of AKT is a central defect in insulin resistance; a primary defect at the level of AKT might actually be predicted to enhance proximal insulin signaling through the loss of AKT-regulated negative-feedback mechanisms such as GRB10 stabilization (339, 944). Additionally, increasing AKT phosphorylation through acute PP2A inhibition–blocking one of the proposed mechanisms for ceramide-induced insulin resistance—is insufficient to restore insulin sensitivity in fat-fed rats and unexpectedly exacerbates...
muscle insulin resistance (254). Unless ceramides also impair proximal insulin signaling through currently unidentified mechanisms, it seems unlikely that ceramide signaling to AKT can fully account for typical obesity-associated insulin resistance.

Taken together, the mechanistic evidence for ceramide-induced insulin resistance is strongest in skeletal muscle, with more equivocal data for liver and WAT (644, 810). The relative significance of ceramide-induced insulin resistance in typical obesity-associated tissue insulin resistance is unclear; ceramide signaling may be sufficient to drive insulin resistance in some models but does not appear to be necessary for lipid-induced insulin resistance.

D. Acylcarnitines, Metabolic Inflexibility, and Insulin Resistance

The DAG/nPKC and ceramide/AKT models of skeletal muscle insulin resistance both implicate inappropriate anabolic shunting of excess lipid to bioactive moieties. However, an alternative hypothesis posits that inappropriate catabolism of excess lipid, mismatched to tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle flux, can also impair insulin action in skeletal muscle. A key observation fueling this hypothesis was that rates of fatty acid oxidation (FAO) were increased by high-fat feeding in ex vivo skeletal muscle homogenates, suggesting that the fate of excess lipid in the myocyte is not simply ectopic storage (427). However, this increase in FAO was not accompanied by increases in CO₂ production (i.e., complete oxidation) but instead by increases in myocellular acylcarnitines:fatty acids bound to carnitine to enable mitochondrial entry, a marker of incomplete FAO (427). Muscle acylcarnitine profiling in profoundly insulin-resistant Zucker diabetic fatty rats revealed striking increases in long-chain acylcarnitines, especially those with chain length >10 carbons (427). These increases, coupled with measurements of decreased TCA cycle metabolites, were interpreted as reflecting FAO mismatched to TCA flux and consequent mitochondrial stress (427). This result complemented the earlier observation that PGC-1α, a transcriptional coactivator activated by exercise, promoted complete oxidation of lipids and was diminished by high-fat feeding (426). However, muscle-specific PGC-1α overexpression was later shown to unexpectedly cause muscle insulin resistance despite increased rates of FAO; these mice also displayed increased muscle DAG content and PKCθ activation (143). Additionally, the addition of carnitine to the culture medium was reported to facilitate the ability of palmitate to induce insulin resistance in L6 myotubes, suggesting a role for FAO in lipid-induced insulin resistance (427). These results, though intriguing, are somewhat difficult to reconcile with other reports associating carnitine supplementation with increased muscle insulin sensitivity in high-fat-fed rodents (583, 866a).

Acylcarnitines are measured in plasma to noninvasively probe inborn errors of FAO (746). The mechanisms of acylcarnitine appearance in plasma are incompletely understood, but plasma acylcarnitine concentrations are thought to reflect intracellular levels (654, 746). Could plasma acylcarnitine levels thus be used as a biomarker for incomplete FAO in muscle? The major problem with this proposition is that plasma acylcarnitine levels are a function of FAO rates in not just skeletal muscle, but in all tissues. The liver in particular preferentially oxidizes lipids and is likely a major contributor to plasma acylcarnitine levels (19, 746). Interestingly, in Pdk2/4<sup>−/−</sup> mice with constitutive glucose oxidation in skeletal muscle, myocellular medium- and long-chain acylcarnitines were markedly decreased but plasma levels were unchanged compared with wild-type controls (669). This dissociation of muscle and plasma acylcarnitine profiles, also reported in humans (784), indicates that plasma acylcarnitines probably cannot be used to interrogate incomplete FAO in skeletal muscle, although several groups have attempted to do so (5, 541).

How might incomplete FAO in general, or acylcarnitines in particular, mediate insulin resistance? One possibility is that acylcarnitines directly impair insulin signaling. Treatment of C2C12 myotubes with physiologically relevant concentrations (5–25 μM) of C4:0, C14:0, or C16:0 acylcarnitine impaired insulin-stimulated AKT Ser<sup>473</sup> phosphorylation, although the effect was modest (20–30% decrease) and not dose dependent (10). Proximal insulin signaling effectors were not assessed. Incomplete FAO has also been proposed to induce muscle insulin resistance by increasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Palmitate treatment increases ROS production in association with impaired insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, but pharmacological inhibition of FAO with mildronate prevents both effects (10). However, acylcarnitines per se have not directly been shown to increase ROS production. Rather, acylcarnitine levels may reflect the degree to which FAO exceeds cellular ATP demand, a condition in which ROS production would be greater (235). Putative mechanisms of ROS-induced insulin resistance are discussed in section VI.

The physiological rationale for acylcarnitine-induced insulin resistance is unclear. Muscle acylcarnitine concentrations are regulated by nutritional status in insulin-sensitive rats, and HFD-induced muscle insulin resistance is accompanied by loss of this nutritional regulation but does not cause absolute increases in acylcarnitine concentrations compared with levels in fasted, chow-fed rodents (427). This indicates that if acylcarnitines impair insulin action in fat-fed rodent muscle, they might also be expected to do so during the fasted state in insulin-sensitive rodents; this is difficult to reconcile with the known insulin-sensitizing effects of fasting in rodent skeletal muscle (32).
An alternative explanation for the increase in muscle acylcarnitines observed in some models of insulin resistance is that they simply reflect relative oxidative substrate selection. The pattern of muscle acylcarnitine levels observed in fasted and fed rats fed chow or HFD, described above, is completely consistent with isotopic measurements of oxidative substrate selection \( V_{\text{PDH}}/V_{\text{TCA}} \) in soleus muscle of chow- and high-fat-fed rats, in which relative rates of FAO are similar in fasted chow-fed rats to those in high-fat-fed rats both basally and after insulin stimulation (T. Alves, R. Perry, Y. Rahimi, and G. Shulman, unpublished data). Similar results have been reported in lean and obese insulin-resistant human skeletal muscle (395). A simple interpretation of these data is that they reflect metabolic inflexibility: the inability of insulin-resistant skeletal muscle to increase relative glucose utilization upon transition to the fed, or insulin-stimulated, state. The concept of metabolic inflexibility in muscle insulin resistance has its roots in the Randle glucose-fatty acid cycle, but incorporates modern models of lipid-induced insulin resistance (397, 561). The mechanism for metabolic inflexibility in lipid-induced insulin resistance is incompletely elucidated, but an explanation consistent with available mechanistic data is that bioactive lipid moieties such as DAG or ceramide impair insulin signaling to decrease insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation, thereby impairing insulin-mediated increases in glucose availability for oxidative metabolism. This occurs in parallel with increased lipid availability, resulting in increased relative rates of FAO in fat-fed, insulin-resistant muscle. Metabolic inflexibility, in this conception, would be a consequence rather than a cause of lipid-induced insulin resistance. If acylcarnitine levels primarily reflect relative FAO flux, then their association with muscle insulin resistance may also be secondary to the primary defect of lipid-induced impairments in insulin signaling.

Unravelling the mechanisms of lipid-induced insulin resistance has proven a difficult task. Indeed, even the core premise that ectopic lipid accumulation impairs insulin action in liver and muscle remains controversial. While only one fully defined mechanistic framework linking a specific bioactive lipid to impaired hepatocellular insulin signaling (the DAG/PKCζ/INSR axis) has been described, lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance has received more mechanistic attention. However, although many partial mechanisms have been elucidated, each has weaknesses and more work is needed to define their respective roles in human disease. As currently understood, however, the proposed mechanisms linking the lipid mediators discussed in this section—DAG, ceramide, and acylcarnitines—to impaired skeletal muscle insulin signaling are summarized in [FIGURE 17](#).

VI. CELLULAR NUTRIENT STRESS AND INSULIN RESISTANCE

A. Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Insulin Resistance

The underlying cause of obesity-associated insulin resistance is nutrient oversupply. The mechanisms for lipid-induced insulin resistance described in section V outlined cellular and molecular responses to chronic elevations in
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**FIGURE 17.** Proposed molecular mechanisms of lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance. A: diacylglycerol (DAG) has been proposed to cause muscle insulin resistance by activating protein kinase C-θ (PKCζ). The targets of PKCζ within the insulin signaling cascade are incompletely defined but may include insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) and GIV. PKCζ, phosphoinositide-3-kinase. B: ceramides have been proposed to mediate skeletal muscle insulin resistance by decreasing AKT activity through at least two mechanisms. PP2A, protein phosphatase 2A. C: incomplete mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation has been proposed to mediate skeletal muscle insulin resistance, either through direct effects of the resultant acylcarnitine species or through production of reactive oxygen species, which modulate various cellular processes.
one major class of biological macromolecule: fats. However, other cell-autonomous responses to nutrient over-load have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. One of these is the unfolded protein response (UPR), activated by endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress.

The UPR is an intricate, elegant, and well-understood mechanism that allows the cell to match protein synthetic demand to protein synthetic capacity (191). The three branches of the UPR are controlled by three integral ER membrane proteins: PKR-like eukaryotic initiation factor 2α kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) (331). In the normal, unstressed ER, these three proteins are kept inactive by binding of the chaperone BiP/GRP78 to PERK, IRE1, and ATF6; the accumulation of misfolded proteins leads to BiP dissociation and UPR activation (331). Key downstream effectors in the ER stress response with proposed roles in metabolic regulation include NF-κB and c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinase (JNK).

In 2004, the first report directly linking ER stress to obesity and insulin resistance in vivo was published (605). This landmark study first noted that in both high-fat-fed and ob/ob mice, markers of ER stress such as phosphorylated eIF2α, PERK, and JNK were strongly increased in liver (605). Acute chemical induction of ER stress impaired insulin signaling at the level of IRS1, associated with JNK-dependent serine phosphorylation of IRS1 (465, 605). Taken together with the same group’s earlier report that JNK activity was increased in obese insulin resistant mice (318), these studies advanced the hypothesis that ER stress induces insulin resistance by promoting inhibitory phosphorylation of IRS1 by JNK. Curiously, whole-body Jnk deletion was associated with improved INSR signaling in obese mouse liver in the first study (318), but JNK activation was not associated with impaired INSR signaling in the later study (605). Given the known role of direct INSR defects in hepatic insulin resistance (116, 722), the hypothesis that JNK inhibits insulin signaling at the level of IRS1 implies that other defects must be present to account for INSR inhibition. Additionally, the role of JNK activation in hepatocellular insulin resistance has been called into question by the finding that liver-specific Jnk⁻/⁻ mice are more, not less, prone to hepatosteatosis and hepatic insulin resistance (709).

The initial observation of an activated UPR in obesity has been repeatedly confirmed in mouse liver (572, 792) and in human liver (284, 445) and adipose tissue (70, 284, 757). Mechanistic work describing the relationship between ER stress and insulin resistance has primarily been carried out in liver, a logical candidate tissue to develop ER stress during nutrient oversupply.

A major model system for investigating the role for ER stress in hepatic insulin resistance has been mice with defects in X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1). The mRNA splice variant of XBP1, XBP1s, is a transcription factor and a key effector of the UPR (237, 938). Whole-body Xbp1⁻/⁻ mice display ER stress (e.g., PERK and JNK activation), associated with glucose intolerance and impaired insulin action that is apparent as far proximally as the INSR (605). However, the Xbp1⁻/⁻ mice also gain more weight than wild-type controls, raising the possibility that other obesity-associated mechanisms such as ectopic lipid-induced insulin resistance may contribute to the phenotype (605). Indeed, an alternative hypothesis for the relationship between ER stress and insulin resistance emerged from the 2008 report that XBP1 activates the de novo lipogenic transcriptional program (460). Mice with liver-specific Xbp1 deletion displayed markedly defective DNL, which was particularly apparent on a lipogenic high-fructose diet (460). However, liver-specific deletion of Xbp1 unexpectedly led to increased levels of its upstream regulator IRE1, which in turn increased JNK activation (375). Other signs of ER stress, including BiP/GRP78 levels and eIF2α phosphorylation, were also observed in this model (375). Together, these observations comprised a novel phenotype: a mouse with increased hepatic ER stress but decreased hepatic lipids. When subjected to hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies, the fructose-fed liver-specific Xbp1⁻/⁻ mice displayed increased hepatic insulin sensitivity (375). These data indicate that UPR activation and JNK activity are insufficient to cause hepatic insulin resistance and suggest that in many models of ER stress-associated insulin resistance, XBP1-mediated lipogenesis may be a contributing factor, unifying ER stress with the DAG/nPKC model of lipid-induced insulin resistance (figure 18A). Interestingly, adenoviral overexpression of Xbp1 in high-fat-fed mice also prevented hepatosteatosis, PKCe activation, and hepatic insulin resistance, indicating that the relationship between XBP1 and lipogenesis may be more complex than previously thought (314). Similarly, activation of IKKβ, which phosphorylates and activates XBP1, reversed diet-induced hepatosteatosis and hepatic insulin resistance in multiple mouse models (490). The finding that both XBP1 inhibition and activation improve hepatic insulin action is not easily reconciled.

Overall, it has been difficult to elucidate whether ER stress per se is a significant primary defect in human hepatic insulin resistance. Some markers of ER stress (eIF2α phosphorylation, CHOP induction) are associated with insulin resistance in human liver, but the most mechanistically critical readouts of ER stress (XBP1 splicing, JNK phosphorylation) are not (445). Furthermore, the interaction between ER stress and lipid metabolism means that many models of ER stress are also models of ectopic lipid deposition. Does ectopic lipid induce insulin resistance through activation of the UPR, or is ER
stress merely a secondary consequence of a primary, ectopic lipid-mediated defect? There is evidence that excess lipid accumulation, especially oversupply of saturated fatty acids, induces ER stress (251), but the triad of increased hepatic insulin sensitivity, decreased hepatic lipid accumulation, and increased ER stress in liver-specific Xbp1s/H11002/H11002 mice ultimately indicates that ER stress may require ectopic lipid accumulation to induce hepatic insulin resistance.

However, while ER stress may not be the primary defect in hepatic insulin resistance, it may well exacerbate primary lipotoxic mechanisms. In support of this hypothesis, ameliorating ER stress in ob/ob mice by BiP/GRP78 overexpression decreases hepatic steatosis and increases hepatic insulin sensitivity (382). In addition, ER stress has been shown to increase the expression of lipin-2 (which catalyzes the synthesis of DAG from PA); lipin-2 overexpression impairs hepatic insulin signaling by activating the DAG/PKCθ axis, while lipin-2 knockdown in high-fat-fed mice improves hepatic insulin signaling (708).

WAT ER stress is less well studied than hepatic ER stress, but a small but intriguing literature links ER stress in WAT
to increased lipolysis. The ER-associated triglyceride synthetic enzyme DGAT1 serves to re-esterify liberated fatty acids to triglyceride, and adipose-specific Dgat1−/− mice developed WAT ER stress during cold exposure and fasting (139). This activation of the UPR was associated with adipose tissue macrophage infiltration, pointing to links between ER stress and inflammation in WAT (139, 331). The prolipolytic effects of ER stress are potentially mediated by PKA activation and consequent perilipin phosphorylation (76, 187, 958). Given the primacy of lipolysis in adipocyte insulin action, understanding the contribution of ER stress to overall lipolytic tone has major implications. Even if proximal insulin signaling is intact, insulin’s ability to suppress cAMP-mediated lipolysis could be thwarted by ER stress-mediated activation of cAMP-mediated lipolysis, a functional insulin resistance.

The role of ER stress in muscle insulin resistance remains uncertain. The landmark 2004 study of ER stress and insulin resistance in liver reported no induction of ER stress markers in skeletal muscle of high-fat-fed mice (605). However, others have observed mild increases in some (BiP, CHOP expression), but not all (IRE1α or JNK activation) markers of ER stress in human muscle insulin resistance (416). Still others report no induction of any ER stress markers (BiP, CHOP, IRE1α, PERK expression) in skeletal muscle after 6 wk of high-fat feeding in humans despite inducing glucose intolerance associated with increased intramyocellular lipid content (183). Furthermore, neither expression of a constitutively active JNK mutant specifically in skeletal muscle nor skeletal muscle-specific ablation of JNK altered muscle insulin action or glucose homeostasis (606a).

B. Mitochondrial Energetics, Oxidative Stress, and Insulin Resistance

The ER is not the only organelle placed under nutrient stress during chronic caloric excess. We now consider the pathogenesis and significance of mitochondrial oxidative stress in the context of nutrient, especially lipid, oversupply. In broad terms, the two major fates of fatty acids once transported into the myocyte are oxidation and storage. The evidence linking excess lipid storage to myocellular insulin resistance (see sect. V) has prompted intense investigation of the other side of the coin: lipid oxidation (561). An early hypothesis in this field posited that IMCL accumulation and consequent insulin resistance might be driven by inappropriately decreased lipid oxidation. Indeed, there is clear evidence for decreased mitochondrial activity (i.e., ATP synthesis as measured by 31P-MRS) in insulin-resistant human populations such as the elderly, the young lean offspring of parents with T2D, and prediabetic subjects, providing a potential etiological clue to the myocellular energetics underlying T2D (219, 503, 635, 636). There is also strong evidence for structural damage and decreased total content of skeletal muscle mitochondria in insulin-resistant humans (148, 396, 552, 614). This does not necessarily imply mitochondrial insufficiency: resting mitochondria are typically not operating at their maximal oxidative capacity (699). Furthermore, mitochondrial activity is primarily dictated by cellular ATP demand rather than by changes in substrate availability (326). Regardless of mechanism, however, the decreased mitochondrial activity of insulin-resistant skeletal muscle could significantly alter whole-body caloric demand, facilitating intracellular nutrient oversupply and lipid-induced insulin resistance.

Recently, human genetic studies have identified metabolic risk alleles with mechanisms that link T2D to mitochondrial energetics in a way consistent with the lipid storage versus utilization paradigm. One such gene, SLC16A11, is a monocarboxylate (i.e., pyruvate, lactate) transporter localized to the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane; it is expressed particularly highly in liver (706, 872a). The SLC16A11 risk haplotype is particularly prevalent (~30% allele frequency) in individuals of Mexican descent and is estimated to account for ~20% of the increased T2D risk in that population (872a). The several variants in the SLC16A11 T2D risk haplotype decrease SLC16A11 expression and impair its plasma membrane localization; hepatocytes with siRNA-mediated SLC16A11 knockout displayed accumulation of acylcarnitines, DAG, and TAG, indicative of decreased FAO. These studies suggest that the SLC16A11 mutations in the T2D risk haplotype increase diabetes risk by promoting intracellular lipid storage, which results from impaired mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation. A second interesting risk allele lies in the N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) gene, which emerged in a genome-wide association study searching for genes associated with insulin resistance (414). Mice with whole-body deletion of the mouse ortholog Nat1 displayed a decreased basal metabolic rate, decreased energy expenditure, and mitochondrial dysfunction in diverse tissues including WAT, brown adipose tissue, liver, heart, and skeletal muscle (114, 130). As a result, these mice were predisposed to lipid-induced liver and muscle insulin resistance associated with DAG-induced PKCθ and PKCθ activation in liver and muscle, respectively, when placed on a HFD (114).

However, the relationship between mitochondrial activity and insulin action is more complex than the simple model outlined above, in which insulin-resistant myocytes store rather than oxidize lipid. Interestingly, after several weeks of high-fat feeding, rodents develop skeletal muscle insulin resistance in parallel with increased capacity for fatty acid oxidation ex vivo (427, 548, 853). This may reflect an adaptive response that insufficiently compensates for increased lipid availability, leading to IMCL accumulation and insulin resistance (549). Indeed, even potent activation of FAO, by pharmacological AMPK activation or acetyl-CoA carboxylase 2 deletion,
is insufficient to protect mice from HFD-induced muscle insulin resistance, highlighting the primacy of ATP demand rather than substrate supply in mitochondrial energetics (320, 596). Alternatively, there is evidence that increased relative β-oxidative flux may itself drive insulin resistance in skeletal muscle, contrary to the initial hypothesis that impaired lipid oxidation drives muscle insulin resistance. This hypothesis is supported by several models in which blocking β-oxidative flux improves muscle insulin sensitivity, even despite IMCL accumulation (230, 287, 427). However, increased FAO is certainly not necessary for lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance, as demonstrated by the muscle insulin resistance of constitutively glucose-oxidizing Pdk2/4−/− mice (669).

How might β-oxidative flux impair muscle insulin action? One proposed mediator is the acylcarnitine species produced by incomplete FAO, discussed in section V. Another potential link is ROS, which serve as an “electron release valve” when substrate oxidation exceeds ATP demand (i.e., in states of nutritional oversupply) (37, 235). Although all ROS derive from superoxide (O2−), the key bioactive ROS is thought to be hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) which serves as a second messenger to communicate mitochondrial redox status (235). High-fat feeding increases mitochondrial H2O2 production within 3 days, the effect persists in chronically fat-fed mice, and this oxidized redox state is detectable throughout the cell as a decreased ratio of reduced to oxidized glutathione (GSH/GSSG ratio) (22). Furthermore, skeletal muscle from obese humans displays increased mitochondrial H2O2 production and decreased GSH/GSSG ratio (22). Because the activity of many protein kinases and phosphatases is regulated by the redox status of cysteine thiols, it has been proposed that a more oxidized cellular environment may favor the serine/threonine phosphorylation events that characterize normal negative feedback of insulin action (235). For example, ROS activation of stress kinases such as JNK has been observed (570). Importantly, cellular redox state is highly dynamic; models linking increased H2O2 production to impaired insulin action propose that in states of chronic overnutrition, the tendency to oxidize the cellular environment postprandially outweighs the tendency to reduce the cellular environment during times of relative fasting, preventing this normally self-correcting system from restoring homeostasis (235). Redox regulation of cell signaling is also likely to depend critically on spatiotemporal factors; for example, local H2O2 production by NADPH oxidase 4 (NOX4) is increased upon insulin receptor activation to inactivate local phosphatases (e.g., PTP1B, PTEN) and amplify proximal insulin signaling (37, 515, 920). This may account for the phenotype of mice lacking the ROS scavenger glutathione peroxidase 1, which were protected from HFD-induced insulin resistance (497).

There is experimental evidence for the hypothesis that blocking mitochondrial ROS production can prevent muscle insulin resistance. Scavenging mitochondrial H2O2, either through pharmacological means or by transgenically expressing catalase in mitochondria (MCAT mice), protects against HFD-induced muscle insulin resistance (22, 338, 747). Notably, the MCAT mice were also protected from age-induced muscle insulin resistance, associated with preserved mitochondrial activity and decreased DAG/PKC axis activation compared with aged wild-type mice (463). However, the therapeutic potential of targeting oxidative stress remains controversial; studies of antioxidant supplementation have yielded conflicting results (177, 549), and a systematic review of 78 randomized clinical trials of human antioxidant supplementation revealed no effect on mortality (63).

Together, these studies suggest a unified model in which, faced with chronic lipid oversupply, myocytes attempt to compensate by becoming metabolically inflexible and increasing relative lipid utilization. However, because cellular ATP demand does not increase to match substrate supply, the rate of lipid utilization is limited and is insufficient to prevent IMCL deposition. In parallel, increased relative FAO drives ROS production, which damages the mitochondria, inducing the reduced mitochondrial activity of insulin resistant muscle. These reductions in mitochondrial activity exacerbate the tendency towards positive energy balance, further favoring IMCL deposition and muscle insulin resistance (FIGURE 18B). Interestingly, several transgenic mouse models have challenged this model by inducing severe mitochondrial dysfunction and yet observing improvements in muscle glucose uptake (327). These models include mice with deletion of the mitochondrial transcription factor Tfam, mice with deletion of the mitochondrial apoptosis-inducing factor Aif, and mice with deletion of the transcriptional co-activators PGC-1α and PGC-1β (656, 913, 945). Such models force a re-evaluation of the hypothesis that decreased mitochondrial function leads to intramyocellular lipid accumulation and consequent muscle insulin resistance. One possible reconciliation is that these mechanisms predominate in the setting of relatively mild (<40%) reductions in mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, whereas severe mitochondrial dysfunction as seen in the aforementioned transgenic models may lead to increased anaerobic glycolysis, decreased fat oxidation, and an increase in the ADP:ATP ratio that activates AMPK-dependent glucose transport, apparently increasing insulin sensitivity. Differences in the parameter being assessed as mitochondrial function, whether in vivo ATP synthetic function, total oxidative capacity, mitochondrial density, or another readout, can also affect the conclusions drawn (42).
VII. INTEGRATED PHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS OF INSULIN RESISTANCE

A. The Macrophage-Adipocyte Interaction and Inflammatory Signaling in Insulin Resistance

Human obesity is characterized by expansion of the adipose tissue; both hyperplasia and hypertrophy of adipocytes contribute to this effect. However, this expansion is a homeostatic stress and is associated with increased adipocyte cell death (562). Chemotactic signals from stressed adipocytes recruit cellular pioneers: bone marrow-derived macrophages (892, 928). These adipose tissue macrophages (ATMs) deposit in “crownlike structures” around dead adipocytes and secrete cytokines with autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine effects (562, 594). Obesity-stimulated ATMs display characteristic activation patterns that differ from those observed in the classically activated (“M1-polarized”) macrophages seen in response to, for example, bacterial infection (86, 431). Importantly, studies of mice with impaired acute inflammatory capability have revealed that acute adipose tissue inflammation is critical for proper tissue remodeling and nutrient storage in WAT; loss of this capability increases ectopic lipid storage and worsens diet-induced insulin resistance (896). Metabolically activated ATMs excocytose lysosomes to help clear dead adipocytes and employ a PPARγ-driven transcriptional program to buffer excess fatty acids (154, 431). It is chronic inflammation, then, that is the maladaptive condition associated with insulin resistance. Although it is now well-established that human obesity is a chronic inflammatory state that alters metabolic homeostasis (424, 467), the mechanisms by which inflammation may cause insulin resistance in various tissues and the importance of these processes to the development of insulin resistance and T2D remain areas of active investigation (446, 717). Here, we focus on proposed mechanistic links between inflammation and insulin resistance, primarily in WAT.

The basic model for inflammation-induced insulin resistance is a “two-hit” model in which macrophage activation is followed by macrophage elaboration of paracrine and/or endocrine factors which induce insulin resistance in target cells such as adipocytes or hepatocytes (594). Both processes have been well studied and are complex.

Both WAT resident macrophages and monocyte-derived macrophages are activated in inflamed WAT. However, multiple other immune cell types contribute to the inflammatory milieu in obese adipose tissue. Indeed, the first inflammatory cells to infiltrate WAT during high-fat feeding are not macrophages but neutrophils (212, 823). Neutrophils may serve a key role in recruiting and activating ATMs during high-fat feeding (783). Adipose B2 lymphocytes also accumulate in obese adipose tissue; their depletion mitigates HFD-induced insulin resistance in part by impairing ATM activation (937). The recruitment of ATMs may also involve the chemokine MCP-1 and its receptor CCR2 (731); genetic or pharmacological inhibition of CCR2 or MCP-1 in mice has been associated with decreased obesity-associated ATM infiltration and improved insulin sensitivity (383, 891), although another study of Ccr2−/− mice unexpectedly observed that ATM infiltration was unaltered and glucose tolerance was worsened (351). Metabolically activated ATMs are not easily classified using the traditional M1-pro-inflammatory/M2-anti-inflammatory paradigm, but rather appear to adopt a third polarization state that can be recapitulated in vitro by palmitate exposure (431). ATM activation may also be driven in part by natural killer (NK) cells residing in visceral adipose depots, which detect adipocyte stress and promote macrophage activation, possibly through interferon-γ (IFN-γ) or TNF-α (461, 895). Blocking the adipocyte-NK cell interaction, depleting NK cells, or ablating IFN-γ signaling protected mice against HFD-induced ATM activation and glucose intolerance (461, 601, 895). As discussed above, the characterization of metabolically activated ATMs as purely deleterious is an oversimplification (927); metabolically beneficial ATM functions such as lipid storage and dead adipocyte clearance are also upregulated in obesity (154, 929).

Ultimately, the mechanism by which ATM activation (the “first hit”) promotes insulin resistance is presumed to require the “second hit”–elaboration of inflammatory cytokines (594). The cytokines most commonly implicated in insulin resistance include TNF-α and interleukin (IL)-1β, although others including leukotriene B4 and galectin-3 have been the subject of recent investigation (332, 336, 364, 485, 486, 894). TNF-α was the first inflammatory cytokine revealed to be increased in obese adipose tissue in rodents and humans (332, 336). Neutralization of TNF-α improved whole-body insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in obese fa/fa rats, suggesting a mechanistic role for TNF-α in obesity-associated insulin resistance (336). Furthermore, Tnfa−/− mice were protected from HFD-induced insulin resistance, and TNF-α receptor ablation partially protected ob/ob mice from insulin resistance (865). However, more recent results demonstrating that local inhibition of TNF-α action impairs glucose tolerance indicate that the relationship between TNF-α and insulin resistance is more complex than initially suspected (896).

The leading hypothesis linking cytokines such as TNF-α to insulin resistance has long been that cytokine receptor activation in insulin target cells activates signaling pathways that directly or indirectly impair insulin action. In vitro, several cytokines including TNF-α induce insulin resistance through direct inhibition of IRK activity (333–335, 485), but the doses required to achieve inhibitory effects are often orders of magnitude higher than those measured in plasma...
from insulin-resistant subjects (332, 334, 335). Although paracrine effects (macrophage to adipocyte, or Kupffer cell to hepatocyte) are likely operative, quantifying paracrine signaling in vivo is difficult.

Efforts to close the mechanistic circuit linking cytokine receptor activation and impaired insulin signaling have largely converged on a pleiotropic effector of both cytokine signaling and ER stress: JNK. JNK induces a complex proinflammatory transcriptional program but also directly phosphorylates IRS1. JNK activity is increased in obese insulin-resistant liver, WAT, and skeletal muscle (318); in liver, JNK activation is present as early as 3 days after beginning a HFD (721). Jnk1−/− mice were protected from HFD-induced obesity and insulin resistance, but their increased energy expenditure, implied by their increased core body temperature, prevents attribution of their insulin-sensitive phenotype to direct effects of JNK on the insulin signaling pathway (318). A link between Jnk inhibition and increased energy expenditure is also supported by experiments using a dominant-negative JNK to block JNK activity in adipocytes and macrophages (849) and experiments using JNK-specific antisense oligonucleotides (775).

However, tissue-specific Jnk knockout mice have provided more nuanced insights into JNK biology and suggest that in some cases, insulin-sensitizing effects of Jnk deletion can be dissociated from effects on body composition (711). Adipocyte-specific Jnk deletion did not prevent body weight gain in HFD-fed mice, but did preserve hepatic insulin sensitivity, associated with protection from hepatic lipid accumulation (710). The observation that Jnk1/Jnk2 ablation in macrophages (ΦKO mice) prevented ATM infiltration and whole-body insulin resistance in high-fat-fed mice pointed to a key role for JNK in establishing the proinflammatory state in macrophages and provided further evidence for the importance of macrophage activation in HFD-induced insulin resistance (302). However, ΦKO mice displayed similar improvements in whole-body insulin sensitivity on regular chow diet (302). Because increased tissue JNK activity and ATM infiltration are characteristics of high-fat feeding (302, 318), it is not clear why the metabolic phenotype of ΦKO mice was similarly pronounced on both regular chow and high-fat diets. Nevertheless, one interpretation of these data is that JNK activation in adipocytes and macrophages contributes to HFD-induced insulin resistance in distant tissues such as liver.

In skeletal muscle, JNK activation is not sufficient for obesity-associated insulin resistance (606a), and reports conflict as to whether skeletal muscle-specific Jnk deletion protects from obesity-associated insulin resistance (606a, 712). In the one study of muscle-specific Jnk deletion that did observe protection from HFD-induced muscle insulin resistance, muscle LpL expression was also decreased, raising the possibility that the insulin-sensitizing phenotype might be attributable to protection from lipotoxicity rather than direct effects of JNK to inhibit proximal insulin signaling (712). Additionally, liver-specific Jnk deletion does not protect mice from hepatic insulin resistance but instead promotes hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance (709). Furthermore, the canonical mechanism by which JNK activation is proposed to impair cellular insulin signaling—IRS1 Ser307 phosphorylation—is unlikely to mediate insulin resistance in vivo. Knock-in IRS1 Ser307A mice display worsened, not preserved, insulin action (167); the phosphomimetic IRS1 Ser307A mutation also fails to impair insulin signaling (888). Alternative mechanisms for JNK-induced insulin resistance, including transcriptional mechanisms, require further study. Together, the emerging picture linking JNK to insulin resistance is not one of direct insulin signaling blockade in target tissues like muscle and liver, but rather, perhaps, one of indirect effects involving the macrophage-adipocyte-hepatocyte axis.

Indeed, an emerging paradigm posits that cytokine-induced lipolysis mediates the link between inflammation and insulin resistance. HFD-fed ΦKO mice displayed reduced palmitate and glycerol turnover (i.e., reduced lipolysis) during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps compared with HFD-fed wild-type controls, associated with improved suppression of hepatic glucose production (620). TNF-α may promote lipolysis by decreasing expression of perilipin and/or fat-specific protein 27 (FSP27), lipid droplet proteins thought to control the access of lipases to the adipocyte lipid droplet (455, 681). Furthermore, the increased plasma NEFA concentrations of ob/ob mice were rescued to the levels of lean mice by TNF-α loss (865). Importantly, however, the increased fatty acid turnover of WAT in chronic obesity likely owes not only to cytokine-mediated lipolysis but also to fatty acid spillage from dead adipocytes. The importance of this latter mechanism was highlighted by studies of whole-body and myeloid-specific Nox2−/− mice, which lack functional metabolically activated ATMs (154). These mice accumulated dead adipocytes and developed profound hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance (154). Overall, adipose lipolysis, whether nutrient stress induced or inflammation induced, may cause insulin resistance by increasing lipid delivery to skeletal muscle and liver, activating pathways of lipid-induced insulin resistance (e.g., the DAG/nPKC axis) and also promoting hepatic gluconeogenesis through acetyl CoA activation of pyruvate carboxylase (620). This hypothesis unifies the paradigms of lipid-induced insulin resistance and inflammation-induced insulin resistance and points to an integrated physiological mechanism regulating the cell-autonomous defects of lipid-induced insulin resistance.

Is inflammation a primary insult in T2D, or rather an exacerbating factor? Several lines of evidence suggest that adipose tissue inflammation is not necessary for adipose insulin resistance. For example, adipose tissue insulin resistance is
detectable in rodents even after 1 wk of high-fat feeding (115, 722), but adipocyte death and ATM infiltration are minimal even after 4 wk of HFD and do not become prominent until 12 wk of HFD (582, 807). Genomic evidence also argues against the classification of T2D as an inflammatory disease. Large-scale analysis of disease-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) cross-referenced to cell type-specific epigenetic regulatory activity revealed robust clustering of all known autoimmune and inflammatory diseases examined (226). T2D SNPs decidedly did not share this enrichment in lymphoid or myeloid cell types, indicating that the strong heritability of T2D risk (599) is likely not mediated by genetic effects in inflammatory cells such as macrophages (226). Adipose tissue inflammation is also not required for insulin resistance; multiple models of partial and complete lipodystrophy manifest severe insulin resistance in the absence of significant ATM activation (406, 642, 957). A particularly interesting case of this phenomenon involves mice lacking Fsp27, also known as cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector c (CIDEc) (825, 957). When subjected to energy storage stresses (high-fat feeding, leptin deficiency, or lack of brown adipose tissue), Fsp27<−/− mice failed to expand their adipose depots or develop significant ATM infiltration but nevertheless developed severe hepatic insulin resistance with profound hepatic steatosis (825, 957). Furthermore, adipose insulin resistance induced by adipose-specific deletion of the obligate mTORC2 component Rictor led to increased MCP-1 expression and macrophage infiltration, suggesting that adipose insulin resistance is sufficient to induce adipose tissue inflammation (762). Together, these lines of evidence suggest that although adipose tissue inflammation can accompany and exacerbate obesity-associated insulin resistance, it is likely not the primary defect.

Several anti-inflammatory agents in clinical use have been evaluated for efficacy in T2D (271). Marked species differences between rodent and human inflammation and integrated insulin action may underlie the wildly disparate results obtained with some such agents in mice and men. For example, the TNF-α antagonists etanercept and infliximab have not shown a consistent insulin-sensitizing effect in human trials despite good efficacy in mice (25, 56, 467, 795, 885). Although the salicylate prodrug salsalate has achieved modest and consistent glucose lowering in randomized human trials, the ability of salicylate to directly activate AMPK and induce mitochondrial uncoupling renders an anti-inflammatory mechanism unnecessary for its antihyperglycemic effects (268–270, 303, 780). The anti-inflammatory agent amlexanox, which inhibits the obesity-upregulated kinases IKKe and TBK1, reverses adipose tissue inflammation and obesity in mice by increasing energy expenditure, consistent with the role of TBK1 as a negative regulator of AMPK and energy balance (687, 955). In a small randomized double-blind clinical trial in patients with T2D, 12 wk of amlexanox achieved small (<0.5%) but statistically significant reductions in glycated hemoglobin (600). Interestingly, response to amlexanox was strongly related to reductions in IHTG (600). The relative contributions of reductions in adipose tissue inflammation and increases in energy expenditure to the glycemic improvements observed with amlexanox is uncertain, although C-reactive protein levels tended to be higher at baseline in subjects who had a good response to amlexanox treatment (600). However, serum IL-6 levels were also increased in responders, a finding at odds with the frequently (though not universally) metabolically deleterious effects of this cytokine (403). This example highlights the complex interplay between the metabolically beneficial and metabolically harmful effects of inflammation, which may complicate ongoing efforts to test other anti-inflammatory agents for antidiabetic effects (271, 467, 716).

B. Circulating Branched-Chain Amino Acids and Insulin Resistance

In 1969, measurements of all amino acids in human plasma revealed that concentrations of all three branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs: valine, leucine, and isoleucine) were elevated in obese subjects compared with lean controls and positively correlated with fasting insulin (228). The interaction between plasma amino acids and glucose homeostasis is complex, with effects on insulin secretion, hepatic glucose production, and peripheral glucose disposal all well-established (72, 238, 435). Interest in the link between BCAAs and insulin resistance has been reinvigorated in the 21st century by metabolomics methods that have confirmed a strong association between the HOMA-IR score and circulating BCAA concentration (129, 516, 577, 918). Furthermore, plasma BCAA concentrations predict future T2D risk (608, 880, 919), and genomic variants that increased BCAA expression is consistently decreased in rodent and human models of obesity, providing a putative etiology for the obesity-associated increase in circulating BCAAs (312, 508, 751, 759).

With these associations established, a key question became whether BCAAs actively modulate or passively reflect insulin sensitivity. This is an area of active investigation and controversy (508, 576). BCAA supplementation alone is insufficient to induce insulin resistance in regular chow-fed rats, but contributes to insulin resistance in high-fat-fed rats (577). Two main mechanisms have been proposed by which BCAAs may impair insulin action. In one, chronic BCAA activation of mTOR, which senses leucine (733), provides negative feedback to insulin signaling at the level of IRS1 (577). The mTORC1-activated ribosomal S6K1 is chronically activated in obese and diabetic rodents and humans (846) and is a known negative regulator of IRS signaling (339, 846, 859, 860, 948). Furthermore, the insulin resis-
tance of high-fat-fed/BCAA-supplemented rats was reversed by treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin (577). However, activation of the BCAA/mTORC1 axis has also been associated with improved insulin sensitivity (e.g., exercise or BCAA supplementation) (508, 509, 954). Additionally, mice with deletion of mitochondrial BCAA transaminase (BCATm) display profoundly increased plasma BCAA concentrations but are protected from HFD-induced obesity and insulin resistance (758), arguing that BCAA-mediated effects such as mTOR activation alone are insufficient to produce insulin resistance.

In the other proposed mechanism for BCAA-induced insulin resistance, BCAAs themselves are not the culprit. Rather, the bioactive moieties are proposed to be BCAA catabolic products (e.g., propionyl CoA, succinyl CoA, branched-chain ketoacids). In one hypothesis, increased BCAA turnover drives the production of toxic mitochondrial BCAA catabolites, which are in turn proposed to impair mitochondrial oxidative metabolism (508, 576) and thereby induce mitochondrial stress, activating stress kinases such as JNK that have been linked to insulin resistance (576, 711). Evidence for this hypothesis, especially knowledge of precisely how BCAA catabolism is altered in human insulin resistance, is currently limited. Recently, the BCAA catabolic product 3-hydroxyisobutyrate (3-HIB; a valine catabolite) has been identified as a paracrine positive regulator of myocellular fatty acid uptake (366). 3-HIB was shown to be secreted from myocytes and to promote transendothelial fatty acid transport and myocellular lipid uptake; mice given 3-HIB-supplemented drinking water developed typical mitochondrial oxidative metabolites with impaired glucose tolerance (366). 3-HIB levels were shown to be elevated in muscle from db/db mice and T2D humans, suggesting physiological relevance (366). This intriguing mechanism thus links BCAA-induced insulin resistance with lipid-induced insulin resistance.

An alternative hypothesis proposes that elevated plasma BCAAs are a consequence of insulin resistance. This hypothesis is supported by a human genetic study in which risk alleles for insulin resistance were associated with higher plasma BCAA levels, but risk alleles for higher BCAA levels were not associated with higher HOMA-IR scores (516). Mechanistically, a potentially trivial explanation is that resistance to insulin’s anabolic effects on protein synthesis results in increased circulating BCAA levels; however, insulin suppression of leucine turnover has been reported to be similar in T2D patients and controls (299, 507). It has also been proposed that, rather than BCAA catabolism promoting oxidative stress, lipid availability and usage may induce oxidative stress that inhibits BCAA catabolic enzymes (508). It is also possible that the decreased adipose expression of BCAA catabolic enzymes which drives the obesity-associated increase in plasma BCAAs is secondary to insulin resistance (508). Additionally, because BCAA catabolism provides acetyl CoA substrate for lipogenesis (an estimated 30% of lipogenic acetyl CoA in differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes is derived from BCAA catabolism) (281), the decreased adipose BCAA catabolic enzyme expression of obesity may impair proper nutrient storage in adipose tissue, promoting ectopic lipid deposition in tissues such as skeletal muscle with preserved BCAA catabolic capacity in obesity. Although this latter mechanism is attractive for its unification of the BCAA metabolic signature with established lipotoxic mechanisms of insulin resistance, it lacks direct experimental support. With many important questions currently unanswered, this field is ripe for future investigation.

C. Adipokines and Hepatokines in Insulin Resistance

The explosion of newly identified secreted peptide hormones in the past 20 yr calls to mind the early 20th century, when investigators in the nascent discipline of endocrinology were rewarded with the discoveries of insulin, sex steroids, thyroxine, and other fundamental hormones (65). The identification of new hormones, now as then, is often received with great excitement and therapeutic hope. For many new hormones, however, pathophysiological significance and therapeutic potential are still uncertain. Here, we briefly highlight several of the best-studied new hormones, focusing on the evidence for their role in human insulin resistance.

In this review, we have highlighted the role of VAT and liver as master controllers of substrate storage and delivery. However, they are also prolific endocrine organs, and several adipokines and hepatokines have been implicated in human insulin resistance. Here we limit our discussion to retinol binding protein 4 (RBP4), adiponectin, fetuin-A (FetA), and FGF21, four circulating mediators with particularly strong evidence of relevance to human insulin resistance.

RBP4 was identified in 2005 as a gene with reciprocal transcriptional regulation in adipose GLUT4-overexpressing and adipose GLUT4-null mice (935). RBP4 is a secreted protein produced by both liver and VAT, but the liver is the source of nearly all circulating RBP4 in mice (838). In mice, transgenic overexpression of Rbp4 or chronic RBP4 administration induces whole-body insulin resistance, and Rbp4 deletion improves insulin action (935). In three distinct human cohorts, serum RBP4 levels correlated with body mass index (BMI), fasting plasma insulin, and impaired insulin-stimulated peripheral glucose uptake during hyperinsulineemic-euglycemic clamp studies (278). Furthermore, the insulin-sensitizing effect of exercise was highly correlated with the extent of the decrease in serum RBP4 concentration (278, 425). The increased adipose RBP4 expression of human obesity is associated with an approximate doubling of
serum RBP4 concentration (277, 278). The mechanism by which RBP4 impairs peripheral insulin action is not clear. Proposed mechanisms include direct activation of hepatocellular lipogenic programs (924) and activation of adipose tissue macrophages (ATMs) (553, 584). The latter mechanism is supported by studies employing transfer of RBP4-treated dendritic cells into wild-type mice; this intervention increased ATM infiltration and worsened glucose tolerance (553). This paradigm unifies RBP4 with lipolysis-driven peripheral and hepatic insulin resistance.

Adiponectin was discovered in 1995 as an adipocyte-specific secreted protein that circulates in high-molecular-weight oligomers at a concentration of 5–10 µg/ml (51, 736). Although this concentration is quite high by endocrine hormone standards, adiponectin’s oligomeric quaternary structure means that its molar concentration is only 10–30 nM (51). Adiponectin concentrations are consistently lower in obese humans, but generally remain in the 5–10 µg/ml range (337, 850). Acute elevation of plasma adiponectin concentrations >100 µg/ml reduces hepatic glucose production, but the physiological significance of this effect is unclear (51). The modestly decreased circulating adiponectin levels in humans with metabolic disease are a reproducible finding and are widely used as a biomarker for adipose tissue dysfunction, although it is unclear to what extent these small changes contribute to systemic metabolic disease. For example, the lean offspring of parents with T2D are insulin resistant but display unaltered plasma adiponectin levels (636). Mechanistically, attempts to attribute the glucose-lowering effects of adiponectin to AMPK activation (932) are challenged by observations that AMPK activation is insufficient to suppress HGP (511) and that adiponectin still suppresses HGP in mice lacking the AMPK activator LKB1 in liver (324, 542).

An intriguing link between adiponectin and other paradigms of insulin resistance was revealed in the 2011 report that activation of the adiponectin receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 induces a ceramidase (i.e., ceramide-degrading) activity (324). Although likely not sufficient to explain the acute suppression of HGP by adiponectin, liver-specific ceramidase activation in the chronic setting is sufficient to prevent hepatosteatosis and HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance (922). These findings provide one possible mechanism for the many models linking adiponectin to insulin sensitivity, such as the ob/ob adiponectin transgenic mice, which display approximately threefold increased circulating adiponectin and normal insulin sensitivity despite weighing up to twice as much as obese ob/ob controls (408). Remarkably, acute inducible deletion of adiponectin is sufficient to rapidly (within 2 wk) induce hepatic insulin resistance, in concert with increased hepatic lipid uptake and increased hepatic ceramides (923). Together, these results suggest that AdipoR1/2 agonism might be an effective strategy to treat insulin resistance, and indeed, such an agonist, AdipoRon, has been reported to have beneficial effects in high-fat-fed and db/db mice (590). Although AdipoRon did not affect weight gain in these models, it improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity associated with reduced liver and muscle triglyceride content; ceramide levels were not specifically reported (590). The pleiotropic effects of adiponectin are truly staggering (851, 936), and potential intersections with insulin resistance include modulation of ectopic lipid content, ceramide levels, and adipose tissue inflammation. Although the molecular mechanisms of adiponectin action remain incompletely understood, and the contribution of reduced adiponectin levels to human disease remains uncertain, adiponectin agonism may nevertheless be a promising space for therapeutic innovation.

We previously considered SFAs in section V as ceramide precursors. In that context, SFAs have been proposed to promote insulin resistance by providing substrate for ceramide biosynthesis (323). However, another proposed mechanism for SFA-induced insulin resistance is activation of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling (761). Mechanistically, the link between SFA-TLR4 signaling and insulin resistance has been proposed to involve transcriptional up-regulation of ceramide biosynthetic enzymes (62). Although SFAs are not ligands for TLR4 (734), the fatty acid-binding glycoprotein FetA was later shown to be such a ligand and to thus link SFAs to TLR4 activation (606). FetA is a hepatokine, produced by the liver and proposed to activate SFA-TLR4 signaling in adipocytes; this in turn is thought to promote inflammatory cytokine production (606). In support of this hypothesis, mice lacking FetA are protected from diet-induced insulin resistance (525). In humans, serum FetA and NEFA concentrations show a statistical interaction; when either FetA or NEFA levels are high; higher levels of the other predict impaired glucose tolerance (798). By this mechanism, adipocyte-hepatocyte crosstalk is proposed to be bidirectional: the hepatokine FetA induces adipokines like IL-6 and TNF-α, which may in turn modulate hepatocellular signaling pathways (797). Although an intriguing paradigm, the overall pathophysiological significance of the SFA-TLR4 axis is still uncertain. In one study, female Tlr4−/− mice were protected from HFD-induced insulin resistance (though males were not) (761). In a separate report, neither Tlr4−/− mice nor mice with knockdown of TLR4 or adapter protein MyD88 were protected from SFA-induced ceramide accumulation, DAG/PKCε axis activation, or hepatic insulin resistance (253). Additionally, FetA has been shown to directly impair INSR tyrosine kinase activity (indeed, it was discovered in this context) (30, 524, 793), so it may not be necessary to invoke TLR4 signaling to explain the effect of FetA on cellular insulin action.

Finally, the hepatokine FGF21 has received tremendous interest for its ability to increase FAO and energy expenditure and to decrease plasma lipids (738, 797). Pharmacological
doses of FGF21 improve insulin sensitivity even in lean, chow-fed mice and reverse insulin resistance in fat-fed mice, effects attributable in part to reduced ectopic lipid accumulation (112). But with species-specific, tissue-specific, and dose-dependent roles in seemingly contradictory physiological processes, a complete understanding of FGF21 physiology is still elusive (233). For example, although rodent studies have largely focused on FGF21 as a mediator of the physiological response to fasting, plasma FGF21 concentrations in humans are not increased until 10 days of fasting (227, 233). Additionally, FGF21 levels are paradoxically increased in obese and insulin-resistant humans, suggesting that obesity may be an FGF21-resistant state (123, 953). Indeed, FGF21 resistance, as measured by signaling responses, is apparent in obese mice (232). Because the fatty acid-regulated transcription factor PPARα positively regulates FGF21 expression, it has been proposed that the hepatosteatosis of obesity drives increases in circulating FGF21, which in turn promotes the FGF21 resistance of obesity (233). However, just as pharmacological insulin dosing achieves glycemic control despite insulin resistance in people with T2D, FGF21 and FGF21 analogs may have potential for the treatment of hepatic steatosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in humans despite FGF21 resistance (252, 264, 824). Unfortunately, osteopenia is a major on-target adverse effect that may limit the clinical utility of FGF21 or its analogues (824, 893).

VIII. SUMMARY

The sheer complexity of biological systems means that any effort to understand insulin resistance with a unified, succinct, and straightforward model may be a fool’s errand. Certainly normal insulin action, despite sharing important effectors among different cell types, performs myriad functions that are not particularly amenable to encapsulation. In particular, understanding the intricate relationship between insulin control of both lipid and carbohydrate metabolism has proved a worthy challenge for generations of investigators (532). But in considering the several putative mediators of insulin resistance discussed in the preceding sections, it is tempting both to note potential areas of unification and to veer into teleological speculation.

The fundamental element linking all putative mediators of insulin resistance is a relationship to nutrient oversupply. Each mechanism discussed in this review is proposed to cause insulin resistance by either increasing nutrient-derived toxic metabolites (DAG, ceramide, acylcarnitine, BCAA), overdriving nutrient utilization processes (ER stress, oxidative stress), or responding to nutrient-stress-mediated cellular toxicity (inflammation). Moreover, the pathophysiology of insulin resistance driven by cellular stress pathways and by inflammation shares common threads with the insulin resistance induced by bioactive lipids. ER stress promotes de novo lipogenesis. The mitochondrial dysfunction of aged and insulin-resistant humans facilitates positive energy balance and ectopic lipid storage. Adipose tissue inflammation drives lipolysis, increasing substrate delivery to nonadipose tissues. We therefore propose an integrated model of insulin resistance in which several simultaneous responses to nutrient oversupply converge and collide to facilitate ectopic lipid accumulation and consequent insulin resistance in skeletal muscle and liver (FIGURE 19).

If overnutrition is the central driver of all these metabolic defects, then the most obvious therapeutic option is calorie restriction. Although the cellular effects of caloric restriction are complex and incompletely understood, the physiological effects of applying a hypocaloric diet to an obese insulin-resistant subject represent a useful test of the hypotheses presented in this review. Recently, Perry et al. (625) catalogued the metabolic consequences of a 3-day very-low-calorie diet (VLCD; 25% of normal caloric intake) in a rat model of insulin-resistant T2D (4 wk of high-fat feeding or Western diet followed by low-dose streptozotocin/nicotinamide to achieve fasting hyperglycemia). Without significantly reducing body weight, VLCD achieved near-normalization of plasma glucose and insulin levels. This was associated with reductions in IHTG, hepatic acetyl CoA, hepatic membrane-associated DAG, and hepatic PKCε activation; parameters that did not change included hepatic ceramides, plasma glucagon, a panel of inflammatory cytokines, plasma FGF21, plasma BCAAs, and hepatic ER stress markers (625). In hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies, VLCD resulted in increased AKT activation and insulin suppression of HGP (625). Interestingly, both direct and indirect components of hepatic insulin action were improved by VLCD; the improvements in HGP seen with VLCD could be abrogated by acetate infusion (to prevent VLCD-induced decreases in hepatic acetyl CoA) or recapitulated by a glycogen phosphorylase inhibitor (to simulate VLCD-induced improvements in insulin-stimulated hepatic glycogen synthesis) (625). The utility of this rapid intervention is that it helps to distinguish the parameters that drive hyperglycemia from those that are secondary consequences or exacerbating factors. The results incriminate hepatic DAG-PKCε axis activation and metabolite-driven gluconeogenesis.

Yet all studies have limitations, and a major limitation of the above study is one shared by much of the work cited in this review: the use of a rodent model to draw inferences about human pathophysiology. One of the rodent-human differences most germane to the study of insulin resistance is the order in which tissues develop insulin resistance upon overnutrition. In rodents, just a few days of high-fat feeding is sufficient to cause hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin resistance; skeletal muscle insulin resistance requires several weeks to develop (429). In those weeks, meanwhile, WAT expands and eventually becomes inflamed, stimulating li-
polysis and in turn hepatic gluconeogenesis (620). In humans, available evidence points to skeletal muscle insulin resistance as the first defect; the young, healthy, lean offspring of type 2 diabetics display skeletal muscle insulin resistance but normal IHTG and normal hepatic insulin action (639). Muscle insulin resistance promotes hepatic lipogenesis, however, and eventually NAFLD and hepatic insulin resistance develop. How adipose insulin resistance fits into this paradigm in humans remains relatively uncertain.

Indeed, adipose tissue insulin resistance is a particularly exciting topic of active exploration (176). WAT is adapted to store excess energy and can do so prolifically without inducing metabolic derangements [evidenced most dramatically by the adiponectin transgenic ob/ob mouse, which remains normally insulin sensitive despite morbid obesity (408)]. As a result, some paradigms of nutrient stress well characterized in skeletal muscle and liver, such as lipid-induced insulin resistance, do not obviously translate to the white adipocyte. Gross measurement of tissue lipids such as

---

**FIGURE 19.** An integrated physiological perspective on tissue insulin resistance. Chronic overnutrition is the ultimate cause of systemic insulin resistance and promotes insulin resistance by both tissue-autonomous and crosstalk-dependent mechanisms. Chronic overnutrition promotes lipid accumulation in skeletal muscle and liver, which causes insulin resistance in those tissues. Additionally, chronic overnutrition poses a nutrient stress to adipocytes, resulting in adipocyte insulin resistance and adipocyte death. Increases in the adipokine RBP4 and other proinflammatory signals lead to the recruitment of macrophages to white adipose tissue. Inflammatory signaling in macrophages, including activation of c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK), leads to the elaboration of paracrine mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), and others. These inflammatory cytokines may increase adipocyte lipolysis either directly or indirectly by impairing insulin signaling. The increased adipocyte lipolysis of inflammation increases nonesterified fatty acid (NEFA) and glycerol turnover. This has direct (glycerol conversion to glucose) and indirect [NEFA-derived acetyl CoA activation of pyruvate carboxylase (PC)] stimulatory effects on gluconeogenesis, and also promotes accumulation of intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) and consequent lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance, which impairs insulin stimulation of net hepatic glycogen synthesis. Together, these effects increase hepatic glucose production. Chronically increased lipolysis may also facilitate the accumulation of intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) and consequent lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance. The decreased glucose disposal of muscle insulin resistance increases glucose availability for the liver, which in turn promotes IHTG accumulation and worsens hepatic insulin resistance.
DAG in a cell type that is at least 50% lipid by mass (528) is unlikely to reveal meaningful information. Yet chronic overnutrition clearly constitutes a stress for adipocytes; the crownlike structures that blemish obese WAT are adipocyte tombstones. The development of new techniques to study the spatiotemporal compartmentalization of metabolic fluxes may enable investigation of the seemingly paradoxical hypothesis that a cell specialized for lipid storage could also be vulnerable to lipotoxicity. Inflammation is a prominent putative mediator of adipose insulin resistance, but HFDs seem to cause adipose insulin resistance before the development of detectable adipose inflammation. ER stress is present in obese WAT and appears related to lipolysis, but this potential link requires further study. The essential role of adipose tissue in the integrated physiology of insulin action has been a major theme of metabolic research in the 21st century (735), yet a deeper mechanistic understanding of the underpinnings of adipose tissue insulin resistance is urgently needed.

Physiological systems seek homeostasis (424), so the teleology of insulin resistance should be viewed through a homeostatic lens. However, because evolution did not occur in an environment of permanent caloric surplus, it is also possible that the physiological consequences of insulin resistance at best are meant to be temporary and at worst are adventitious. Mechanistically, the phosphorylation events and other signaling phenomena that produce insulin resistance may represent appropriately activated negative-feedback mechanisms. More insidiously, the phosphorylation events mediating insulin resistance could result from a coopting of normal negative-feedback mechanisms by pathologically activated kinases not normally essential to the insulin response (e.g., nPKCs) but evolutionarily similar enough to the kinases mediating normal negative feedback (e.g., S6K1) to phosphorylate similar substrates in the right context. This could only have occurred if a permanently overnourished cellular milieu was rare enough in evolutionary history to not exert significant selection pressure against this adventitious pathological use of physiological negative-feedback mechanisms. Although this scenario is possible, there are nevertheless several viable hypotheses treating insulin resistance as an appropriate, nonaccidental homeostatic mechanism.

Plausibly, insulin resistance could have evolved as a cell-autonomous protective response to nutrient oversupply. The organellar nutrient stress responses—mitochondrial oxidative stress, ER stress—highlight the threat to cell survival that nutritional oversupply poses. Just as ER stress induces signaling cascades that restore homeostasis by promoting membrane synthesis and reducing new protein synthesis, so insulin resistance might be an appropriate response to nutrient oversupply that limits glucose utilization, macromolecule synthesis, and other anabolic processes. In a nutrient-replete cell, continued anabolism may have greater costs (e.g., oxidative and ER stresses) than benefits.

Another possibility is that insulin resistance represents a multi-organ physiological response that benefits the organism by promoting calorie storage in WAT in times of nutritional plenty. Shutting down insulin-stimulated anabolic fluxes in muscle and liver should shunt substrate to alternative destinations such as WAT. The chief flaw in this conception is that WAT also becomes insulin resistant upon overnutrition, although perhaps through different mechanisms than liver and skeletal muscle.

A final interesting teleology that applies to lipid-induced insulin resistance in particular treats insulin resistance as a beneficial adaptation to fasting. Fasting promotes lipolysis and increases relative lipid utilization; as a result, fasting induces triglyceride accumulation in liver and skeletal muscle (306, 796). Fasting has been shown to induce profound skeletal muscle insulin resistance in humans (519, 887); this does not occur in mice (306), but mouse studies are complicated by the significant body composition changes (~10% body weight loss) induced by even overnight fasting. Lipid-induced insulin resistance in liver and skeletal muscle could serve a useful purpose during fasting by favoring gluconeogenesis, minimizing glycogen storage, and conserving glucose for the CNS. Consistent with this hypothesis, DAG accumulation, PKC activation, and inhibition of IRK activity have been observed in starved rat liver (387, 628). Recently, a remarkable study of river-dwelling and cave-dwelling populations of the Mexican tetra fish provided evolutionary support for the starvation-adaptation hypothesis of insulin resistance (690). Fish adapted to the nutrient-scarce cave environment were markedly insulin resistant, in many cases due to a partial loss-of-function mutation in the insulin receptor (690). The cavefish develop larger fat depots and are better able to maintain their body weight during starvation (690). Although the cavefish have other possibly independent metabolic adaptations such as decreased metabolic rate and altered circadian periodicity, these observations suggest that insulin resistance is evolutionarily selected for in nutrient-limited environments. However, other evidence for this hypothesis is lacking, partially due to the marked dissimilarity in fasting responses between mice and humans. Additionally, fasting is a hypoinsulinemic state in which the physiological relevance of insulin resistance is not obvious beyond serving to prime the response to refeeding.

Regardless of its physiological provenance, insulin resistance is maladaptive in the setting of chronic overnutrition. Understanding insulin action and resistance more completely will facilitate the intelligent use of existing antidiabetic therapies, enable the development of new therapeutics, and, perhaps most importantly, inform prevention strategies to stem the tide of type 2 diabetes.
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