

159



Insights Into the Role of the Microbiome in Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes

Diabetes Care 2015;38:159-165 | DOI: 10.2337/dc14-0769

The worldwide prevalence of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) continues to rise at an alarming pace. Recently the potential role of the gut microbiome in these metabolic disorders has been identified. Obesity is associated with changes in the composition of the intestinal microbiota, and the obese microbiome seems to be more efficient in harvesting energy from the diet. Lean male donor fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) in males with metabolic syndrome resulted in a significant improvement in insulin sensitivity in conjunction with an increased intestinal microbial diversity, including a distinct increase in butyrate-producing bacterial strains. Such differences in gut microbiota composition might function as early diagnostic markers for the development of T2DM in high-risk patients. Products of intestinal microbes such as butyrate may induce beneficial metabolic effects through enhancement of mitochondrial activity, prevention of metabolic endotoxemia, and activation of intestinal gluconeogenesis via different routes of gene expression and hormone regulation. Future research should focus on whether bacterial products (like butyrate) have the same effects as the intestinal bacteria that produce it, in order to ultimately pave the way for more successful interventions for obesity and T2DM. The rapid development of the currently available techniques, including use of fecal transplantations, has already shown promising results, so there is hope for novel therapies based on the microbiota in the future.

The rising prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) continues to be a growing concern worldwide. From 1980 to 2008 the number of people diagnosed with diabetes, of which 90% type 2, has increased from 153 (123-182) million to 347 (314-382) million (1). The proportional increase in prevalence of obesity (between 1980 and 2008, this has nearly doubled to more than half a billion people in the world) shows weight gain and changes in dietary habits to be the main contributing factors to this alarming trend. The resulting metabolic disorders like dyslipidemia and insulin resistance, both part of the metabolic syndrome, are a major risk factor for associated diseases such as cardiovascular pathology, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and different types of cancer (2,3). The main cause for the obesity and diabetes epidemic has been attributed to economic and lifestyle changes in the last decades, including the decrease in physical activity combined with a growing availability of food high in calories. However, it appears to be extremely difficult for people to voluntarily change their lifestyle drastically in order to lose weight. In this respect, evidence of a powerful regulating biological system resisting these cognitive signals in order to maintain body weight in a relatively strict range is substantial and growing (4). For this reason, obesity is now considered a disease, rather than a

Annick V. Hartstra,¹ Kristien E.C. Bouter,¹ Fredrik Bäckhed,^{2,3} and Max Nieuwdorp^{1,2}

¹Department of Vascular Medicine, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

²Wallenberg Laboratory, Sahlgrenska Center for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, University of Göteborg, Göteborg, Sweden

³Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Section for Metabolic Receptology and Enteroendocrinology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Corresponding author: Max Nieuwdorp, m.nieuwdorp@amc.uva.nl.

Received 26 March 2014 and accepted 9 July 2014.

© 2015 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. willful choice, which calls for further insight into the pathophysiological pathways, as this could lead to sorely needed novel therapeutic targets.

A recently discovered partaker in this process is the intestinal microbiome (2). The microbiome refers to the $>10^{14}$ bacteria that reside in the human intestine, comprising a bulk of genetic material larger than the human genome (5). Recently our knowledge of the microbiome in relation to the function of the human (small) intestine (Fig. 1) (6) has increased immensely due to the development of new analytical methods such as high-throughput metagenomic sequencing (7). This has enabled researchers to identify possible effects of the microbiome on human metabolism, including its potential role in metabolic disorders like obesity and T2DM. In this review, we aim to provide deeper insight of relevance to clinicians by discussing several topics in a "bench to bedside" approach within this emerging field.

DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF INTESTINAL MICROBIOTA IN T2DM

Although bacteria are usually considered as pathogens, an essential symbiotic

interaction between the human host and intestinal bacteria is the forging and maintenance of the immune system in the gut. The first recognition came from findings in germ-free (GF) mice of defects in the development and function of their immune system (8). Another crucial interaction of gut microbiota is their endogenous metabolic function that enables the digestion of food components such as plant polysaccharides, which are otherwise nondegradable (9). In this respect, it is interesting that studies in mice as well as humans have shown that gut microbiota differ in composition between obese and lean subjects (10,11). In a leptin-deficient ob/ob mouse model Ley et al. (10) found a difference in the ratio of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes, the two dominant intestinal bacterial phyla. Compared with their lean counterparts, obese mice showed a decrease in Bacteroidetes and a corresponding increase in Firmicutes (10). When Ley et al. (10) compared gut microbiota of obese humans to lean controls, they found similar differences in this ratio (12). Other studies in mice have corroborated these results (13-16). However, other human studies have found contradicting data (17-19), and it is



Figure 1—Differential functions of small and large intestine in relation to microbial density (6). In the proximal part of the small intestine (where only few intestinal bacterial strains reside), important metabolic functions take place such as uptake of dietary glucose, lipids, and proteins. More distally in the colon (where the majority of intestinal bacterial strains reside), water is absorbed from feces and SCFAs are produced via fermentation.

considered that part of this controversy results from both the variations in diet composition around the globe as well as different methods used to determine microbiota composition.

The involvement of the microbiome in energy balance was further demonstrated in a study where it was found that GF mice were leaner compared with conventionally raised counterparts, despite a higher food intake. Additionally, when transferring intestinal bacteria from normal mice to GF counterparts, an increase in body fat of 60% was observed within 10-14 days, even though food consumption was decreased (20). These results have led to the belief that the obese microbiome is more efficient at yielding energy from the diet (11,17). This was supported by findings that the total body fat of GF mice colonized with "obese microbiota" increased significantly compared with those colonized with "lean microbiota" (11). The technique used in these studies in mice is known as fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). In humans, FMT can be regarded as a working tool to dissect association from causality for a number of diseases (21). The first clinical use was the successful treatment of patients with pseudomembraneous colitis, an unremitting infection with Clostridium difficile usually following the use of antibiotics (22). Since then, FMT has been found effective in other chronic gastrointestinal infections and inflammatory bowel diseases, its therapeutic potential being attributed to a restoring ability of the gut microbial balance by replacing pathogens with more beneficial bacterial strains (21,23). Considering the promising results of the effects of FMT on metabolism in mice, a current interest in the clinical use of FMT for humans is focusing on metabolic and cardiovascular disorders. We recently performed a double-blind randomized controlled trial in insulin-resistant males with metabolic syndrome, who received either autologous or allogenic feces infusion from lean donors (24). Beneficial metabolic effects were observed in the group receiving the lean donor transplantation, including a significantly improved peripheral (muscle) insulin sensitivity. This was accompanied by a significantly increased intestinal microbial diversity, along with a distinct increase in levels of butyrate-producing bacteria, such as Roseburia in the feces and Eubacterium halii in the small intestine. Interestingly, not all lean donors exerted the same beneficial effects in the obese host. Based on the small sample size, however, one should take into account that the reported effect might be due to a variation around a mean (meaning no clear effect of lean donor FMT when larger numbers of individuals are studied). On the other hand, these findings might indicate the presence of "super fecal donors," a concept that is currently being studied at our departments. The results from this relatively small cohort of patients with metabolic syndrome on the relation between microbial diversity and amount of butyrateproducing bacteria are in line with similar findings in two large metagenome-wide association studies (25,26), a type of study where clinical data are combined with metagenomic analysis. Both Karlsson et al. (25) and Qin et al. (26) independently found a decrease of butyrateproducing bacteria, namely Roseburia and Faecalibacterium prauznitzii, in the gut microbiota of patients with T2DM compared with healthy subjects. Moreover, we showed that increases in fecal concentrations of Lactobacillus gasseri and Streptococcus mutans (both inhabitants of the proximal intestine) as well as Escherichia coli were found to be predictive of the development of insulin resistance in postmenopausal obese Caucasian females in Sweden (see Table 1). It should be noted, however, that these correlations are not very strong and have not been reproduced in other cohorts; moreover, it is not known at this time whether these found changes in intestinal microbiota composition are secondary to altered gastrointestinal motility and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth often seen in T2DM. Nevertheless, such intestinal bacterial strains might function as early diagnostic markers in the clinic for better identification of those obese subjects that are prone to develop T2DM (26). To strengthen the predictive potential of particular patterns of microbial diversity and composition as well as pathogenic alterations of the microbiota composition, further research both in prospective cohorts and therapeutic phase I/II intervention trials with specific bacterial strains are urgently needed. In this respect, it is promising that an increasing

Table 1—Intestinal bacterial species associated with and/or predictive of insulin resistance/T2DM development as future potential clinical diagnostic markers of T2DM

| | Increase in T2DM | Decrease in T2DM |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Intestinal bacterial phyla | | |
| Firmicutes | х | |
| Bacteroidetes | | х |
| Intestinal bacterial species | Increase in T2DM | Decrease in T2DM |
| Roseburia | | х |
| Eubacterium halii | | х |
| Faecalibacterium prauznitzii | | х |
| Lactobacillus gasseri | x | |
| Streptococcus mutans | х | |
| E. coli | Х | |
| | | |

number of companies are starting to appear that focus on the development of intestinal microbiome diagnostics and therapeutics (27,28).

PRODUCTS OF INTESTINAL BACTERIA IN T2DM PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Butyrate and acetate and propionate are short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) fermented by the intestinal bacteria from dietary fiber that play an important role in energy metabolism (Fig. 2) (29). These SCFAs are absorbed in the intestine, where particularly butyrate provides energy for the colonic epithelial cells, whereas the remaining SCFAs enter the (portal) venous system. Data from animal studies have suggested that propionate affects hepatic lipogenesis and gluconeogenesis, whereas peripherally acetate functions as substrate for cholesterol synthesis (17). The colonic mucosa primarily relies on the luminal presence of butyrate as energy source, and a lack of these SCFAs has been proposed to play an important part in the pathogenesis of intestinal disease and inflammatory bowel diseases (30). More specific, low concentrations of SCFAs have been found in ulcerative colitis patients (31) and treatment with SCFA enemas, especially butyrate, has been shown to reduce inflammation in this patient group (32). Interestingly, oral administration of sodium butyrate was found to be safe and well tolerated in humans with Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis (33,34); these studies showed a systemic anti-inflammatory effect and improved clinical improvement. In mice, oral butyrate has been demonstrated to improve insulin sensitivity and increase energy expenditure by enhancing mitochondrial function (35). Whether these beneficial effects apply to humans as well is currently

being studied in our department. The underlying mechanisms of the potential positive influence of butyrate on metabolism are not clear. However, there is data on inhibiting effects of butyrate on histone deacetylases in mammalian cultured cells, which regulate gene expression by deacetylating histone proteins and transcription factors (36). This may contribute to increased expression of PGC-1a, a transcription coactivator associated with increased fatty acid oxidation and mitochondrial activity (35). Butyrate, being an SCFA, is oxidized in the mitochondria of colonocytes into acetyl-CoA and via the tricarboxylic acid cycle contributes to ATP production. Important catalyzing enzymes in this process have been shown to be downregulated in GF mice, resulting in a significantly decreased level of ATP in GF colonocytes. This indicates a potential stimulating role of the intestinal microbiota, particularly butyrate-producing microbes, in the expression of these enzymes and consequently mitochondrial function and energy metabolism (37). Another way in which SCFAs might influence the host's energy balance is by acting as specific signaling products. SCFAs bind to G proteincoupled receptors, namely GPR41 and GPR43, which are expressed in enteroendocrine cells in the intestinal epithelium (3,38). This leads to secretion of certain peptide hormones, like PYY, which are basolaterally released into the systemic circulation, enabling a form of communication between gut milieu and host. Conventional Gpr41^{-/-} mice and GF $Gpr41^{-/-}$ mice colonized with members of the human gut microbiota stayed significantly leaner than their wild-type counterparts, whereas no differences were seen between wild type and GF $Gpr41^{-/-}$ mice. The latter indicates a



SYSTEMIC

Figure 2—Role of gut microbiota–produced SCFAs in human glucose metabolism in obese subjects. Fermentation of dietary fibers by intestinal bacteria generates SCFAs, including butyrate, that have both metabolic and epigenetic effects. Obese insulin-resistant subjects are characterized by altered SCFA production compared with lean subjects. We hypothesize that in these subjects, this adversely affects satiety, hepatic glucose, and lipid production as well as inflammatory tone.

regulating role of GPR41 in energy homeostasis in relation to the intestinal microbiota and their metabolic products. Furthermore, $Gpr41^{-/-}$ deficiency was associated with a decrease in the gutderived hormone PYY, resulting in a decreased extraction of energy from the diet associated with an increase in intestinal transit time (39).

Another function of butyrate, which may contribute to its possible beneficial role in the host's metabolism, is maintaining intestinal integrity. This contributes to the prevention of endotoxemia, a process resulting from translocation of endotoxic compounds (lipopolysaccharides [LPS]), of gram-negative intestinal bacteria. In the last decade, it has become evident that insulin resistance and T2DM are characterized by low-grade inflammation (40). In this respect, LPS trigger a lowgrade inflammatory response, and the

process of endotoxemia can therefore result in the development of insulin resistance and other metabolic disorders (41,42). Butyrate also seems to play a part in the recent discovery of the intestine's ability to produce glucose itself. Glucose released by intestinal gluconeogenesis (IGN) is detected by a portal vein glucose sensor that signals to the brain through the peripheral nervous system, thus positively influencing glucose metabolism and intake of food (43). De Vadder et al. (44) confirmed in rats the beneficial effects of SCFAs and IGN on glucose metabolism and subsequently showed that butyrate is involved by activating gene expression of IGN in mice. However, these findings still need validation in humans, and we are currently executing a study in which we have treated subjects with metabolic syndrome for 4 weeks with oral butyrate to study its effects on insulin sensitivity and microbiota composition.

INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES FOR NOVEL THERAPEUTICS IN T2DM

Interestingly, in animal models, butyrate has also been shown to both affect intestinal serotonin levels (45) and increase serotonin transporters (SERTs) in the hypothalamus (46). Furthermore, butyrate directly affects sympathetic tone and intestinal transit times (47) as well as physical activity (48). In line, it is known that serotonin itself can regulate intestinal permeability (49) besides being an important signaling neurotransmitter in the gut and brain involved in regulation of body weight and food intake by enhancing satiety (50). A reduction in cerebral SERTs, essential regulators of serotonergic transmission, is associated with obesity (51). In a human study, when healthy lean subjects received a hypercaloric snacking diet for 6 weeks, a significant 30% decrease of hypothalamic SERT binding was seen (52).

In this respect, it is interesting to note that studies have suggested a regulating influence of intestinal bacteria on serotonin (53,54). For example, bariatric surgery (Roux-en-Y gastric bypass [RYGB]) has been shown to significantly affect serotonin metabolism in both animals (51,55) and humans (56). Moreover, RYGB is regarded as a last resort but very successful treatment for morbidly obese patients, because next to inducing weight loss up to 50% of the original weight, it also decreases the risk of T2DM and cardiovascular pathology (57,58). RYGB has even been shown to resolve insulin resistance faster than the actual weight loss, underscoring a potential weight-independent effect on metabolism (41,58). As RYGB can alter the composition of the gut microbiota in both mice (59) and humans (60,61), this might be one of the contributing factors. When diabetic mice were colonized with feces of post-RYGB mice, they lost weight and showed improvement in glucose and lipid metabolism with specific changes in butyrate-producing bacteria (59). These findings suggest that the change in butyrate-producing microbiota after RYGB may play an important role in satiety as well as regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism.

It is thus becoming increasingly evident that the composition of gut microbiota plays a role in the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. Specifically, there seems to be an association between butyrate-producing bacteria and beneficial effects on metabolism in both mice and humans (24,35). Furthermore, an alteration in the composition of the gut microbiota may be involved in the development of obesity and T2DM. Further studies are however needed to establish the causality of this and as to whether increasing intestinal SCFAs, including butyrate, has the same metabolic influence as SCFA-producing bacteria on human metabolism, including insulin sensitivity and inflammatory tone. Thus, in order to validate the hypothesis of butyrate-producing bacteria as role players and their products as signaling molecules in human glucose and lipid metabolism, double-blinded randomized controlled trials using either SCFA supplementation (given either orally or rectally) or FMT derived from different donors (e.g., on different diets) are needed. Priorities for further studies also include therapeutic intervention trials with specific types of single bacterial strains in order to elucidate particular beneficial patterns in gut microbiota composition.

Other areas of therapeutic interest in this respect are nondigestible but fermentable fibers, such as inulin, fructooligosaccharides, galacto-oligosaccharides, and lactulose. Food artificially enriched with these fibers has been termed a prebiotic when it is able to shift the composition of gut microbiota by stimulating the growth or activity of beneficial species (23). In this respect, carbohydrate-fermenting bacteria such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli increase upon prebiotic treatment in different age-groups (62). The effects of prebiotics have been ascribed to an immune-mediated mechanism. As previously mentioned, high-fat dietary feeding is associated with endotoxemia, which in turn is linked to a reduced abundance of Bifidobacteria with a concomitant increase in gram-negative (LPS-containing) bacteria. In line, when prebiotic-containing oligofructose (OFS) were fed to mice on a high-fat diet, this restored levels of their Bifidobacteria and consequently reduced endotoxemia and improved glucose tolerance (63).

Another line of therapeutic approach is probiotics, which encompass food

supplements enriched with strains of live bacteria, including species of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli, that are able to alter the gut microbiota beneficially for the host (28,64). In mice, antidiabetic effects have been shown following administration of probiotics containing certain Lactobacillus strains (65) with a concomitant reduction in endotoxemia (66). Due to the placebo effect of these products, proper double-blinded randomized controlled trials with accepted hard end points are needed in humans to address the potentially beneficial metabolic effects of probiotic strains in relation to the composition of the intestinal microbiota.

Although public health has benefited substantially from the discovery of antibiotics, its rapid increase in use is starting to raise health concerns. Next to the obvious issue of antibiotic resistance, its worldwide use might potentially be associated with the obesity epidemic (67). Although oral antibiotic treatment effectively eradicates pathogenic bacteria, the beneficial intestinal microbial community is also affected with possible dire metabolic consequences. Longterm intravenous vancomycin (aimed at gram-positive bacteria) in adult patients was linked to an increased risk of developing obesity (68), whereas amoxicillin (aimed at gram-negative and anaerobic bacteria) had only minor effects. In line, short-term oral administration of vancomvcin (but not amoxicillin) significantly impaired peripheral insulin sensitivity via altered bile acid dehydroxylation in males with metabolic syndrome, which was associated with a changed gut microbiota composition (69). Moreover, even short-term courses of oral antibiotics were shown to have profound (irreversible) effects on intestinal microbial diversity and composition (70). The recent data linking use of antibiotics in early infancy to distinct long-term effects on intestinal microbiota diversity and the risk of childhood overweight (71) are even more alarming but not surprising. In the last 50 years, the use of subtherapeutic antibiotic therapy in farm animals has become widely used as it increases growth and therefore food production. In mice, treatment with subtherapeutic doses of antibiotics alters the composition of the intestinal microbiota and therefore affects metabolic pathways,

particularly concerning SCFA metabolism (72). These findings emphasize the causal relationship between metabolism and the gut microbiome, and a more cautionary use of antibiotics seems to be more justified than ever.

However, the simplest solution to restoring pathological disturbances in the composition of the gut microbiota may be a change in dietary habits. Diet has been shown to strongly affect the composition of the microbiome (73). When obese humans were put either on a fatrestricted or carbohydrate-restricted low-calorie diet, an increase in the abundance of Bacteroidetes and a decrease in Firmicutes was reported (12). In another study, diet-induced weight loss versus weight-stabilization interventions in obese humans increased intestinal microbial gene richness and was associated with a reduced systemic inflammation (74). These data corroborate with another controlled diet intervention study in 98 human subjects showing that certain dominant gut microbial communities, or "enterotypes," correlated with specific kinds of diets (73). For example, Bacteroides was associated with a protein-rich diet, whereas Prevotella correlated with a fiber-rich diet; moreover, gut microbiota composition could be altered within 24 h whereas enterotype remained stable during the 10 days of the study. Based on this rapid and dramatic plasticity of intestinal microbiota composition. there is a specific need to determine intestinal microbiota composition in a standardized way (e.g., sequencing several fecal samples per person over a specific time point while taking dietary intake and medication use into account).

CONCLUSIONS

The determination of the intestinal microbiome in obesity and T2DM has led to an exponential increase of scientific research in this area. In this review, we tried to cover several topics in order to provide clinically relevant insight. A multitude of studies has revealed various potential mechanisms, ranging from endocrine and metabolic pathways to mechanisms on a cellular and genetic level. Our understanding of environmental factors affecting the microbiome, such as our diet, repetitive infections, and the use of antibiotics, is improving and will hopefully contribute to finding a solution for the global obesity epidemic.

Funding. A.V.H. is supported by an FP7-EU consortium grant (MyNewGut). F.B. is supported by the Swedish Research Council, Swedish Diabetes Foundation, Swedish Heart Lung Foundation, Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research, Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, Göran Gustafsson Foundation, Ingabritt and Arne Lundberg's Foundation, Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, Torsten Söderberg's Foundation, Ragnar Söderberg's Foundation, Novo Nordisk Foundation, AFA Insurances, and LUA-ALF grants from Västra Götalandsregionen and Stockholm County Council. F.B. is a recipient of a European Research Council consolidator grant (615362-METABASE). M.N. is supported by a VIDI grant 2013 (016.146.327) as well as a CVON 2012 grant (IN-CONTROL).

Duality of Interest. F.B. is a founder of and owns equity in MetaboGen AB. M.N. is a founder of and owns equity in Caelus Pharmaceuticals, and he is member of the SAB of Seres Health Inc. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. Author Contributions. A.V.H. and K.E.C.B. performed research and drafted the manuscript. F.B. and M.N. supervised the writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Danaei G, Finucane MM, Lu Y, et al.; Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating Group (Blood Glucose). National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and diabetes prevalence since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2·7 million participants. Lancet 2011;378:31–40

2. Palermo A, Maggi D, Maurizi AR, Pozzilli P, Buzzetti R. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: is it feasible? Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2014; 30(Suppl. 1):4–12

3. Tilg H, Kaser A. Gut microbiome, obesity, and metabolic dysfunction. J Clin Invest 2011;121: 2126–2132

 Friedman JM. Modern science versus the stigma of obesity. Nat Med 2004;10:563–569
Zhu B, Wang X, Li L. Human gut microbiome: the science of burger hadr. Protein Coll

the second genome of human body. Protein Cell 2010;1:718–7256. Simrén M, Barbara G, Flint HJ, et al.; Rome

Foundation Committee. Intestinal microbiota in functional bowel disorders: a Rome foundation report. Gut 2013;62:159–176

7. Claesson MJ, O'Toole PW. Evaluating the latest high-throughput molecular techniques for the exploration of microbial gut communities. Gut Microbes 2010;1:277–278

8. Lee YK, Mazmanian SK. Has the microbiota played a critical role in the evolution of the adaptive immune system? Science 2010;330: 1768–1773

9. Flint HJ, Bayer EA, Rincon MT, Lamed R, White BA. Polysaccharide utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008;6:121–131 10. Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102:11070–11075

11. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesityassociated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature 2006;444: 1027–1031

12. Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. Microbial ecology: human gut microbes associated with obesity. Nature 2006;444:1022–1023 13. Turnbaugh PJ, Bäckhed F, Fulton L, Gordon JI. Diet-induced obesity is linked to marked but reversible alterations in the mouse distal gut microbiome. Cell Host Microbe 2008;3:213–223 14. Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI. The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. Sci Transl Med 2009;1:6ra14

15. Fleissner CK, Huebel N, Abd El-Bary MM, Loh G, Klaus S, Blaut M. Absence of intestinal microbiota does not protect mice from dietinduced obesity. Br J Nutr 2010;104:919–929

16. Hildebrandt MA, Hoffmann C, Sherrill-Mix SA, et al. High-fat diet determines the composition of the murine gut microbiome independently of obesity. Gastroenterology 2009;137: 1716–1724.e1–2

17. Schwiertz A, Taras D, Schäfer K, et al. Microbiota and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2010;18:190–195 18. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, et al.; MetaHIT Consortium. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 2011;473:174–180

19. Duncan SH, Belenguer A, Holtrop G, Johnstone AM, Flint HJ, Lobley GE. Reduced dietary intake of carbohydrates by obese subjects results in decreased concentrations of butyrate and butyrate-producing bacteria in feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:1073–1078

20. Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, et al. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:15718–15723

21. Smits LP, Bouter KEC, de Vos WM, Borody TJ, Nieuwdorp M. Therapeutic potential of fecal microbiota transplantation. Gastroenterology 2013;145:946–953

22. van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, et al. Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. N Engl J Med 2013;368: 407–415

23. Kootte RS, Vrieze A, Holleman F, et al. The therapeutic potential of manipulating gut microbiota in obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:112–120

24. Vrieze A, Van Nood E, Holleman F, et al. Transfer of intestinal microbiota from lean donors increases insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome. Gastroenterology 2012;143:913–916.e7

25. Karlsson FH, Tremaroli V, Nookaew I, et al. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. Nature 2013;498:99–103

26. Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 2012;490:55–60

27. de Vos WM, Nieuwdorp M. Genomics: A gut prediction. Nature 2013;498:48–49

28. Olle B. Medicines from microbiota. Nat Biotechnol 2013;31:309–315

29. Cummings JH. Short chain fatty acids in the human colon. Gut 1981;22:763–779

30. Scheppach W. Effects of short chain fatty acids on gut morphology and function. Gut 1994;35(Suppl.):S35–S38

31. Vernia P, Gnaedinger A, Hauck W, Breuer RI. Organic anions and the diarrhea of inflammatory bowel disease. Dig Dis Sci 1988;33:1353–1358

32. Scheppach W, Sommer H, Kirchner T, et al. Effect of butyrate enemas on the colonic mucosa in distal ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 1992;103:51–56

33. Vernia P, Monteleone G, Grandinetti G, et al. Combined oral sodium butyrate and mesalazine treatment compared to oral mesalazine alone in ulcerative colitis: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. Dig Dis Sci 2000;45:976–981

34. Di Sabatino A, Morera R, Ciccocioppo R, et al. Oral butyrate for mildly to moderately active Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2005;22:789–794

35. Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, et al. Butyrate improves insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in mice. Diabetes 2009;58:1509–1517

36. Davie JR. Inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by butyrate. J Nutr 2003;133(Suppl.): 24855–2493S

37. Donohoe DR, Garge N, Zhang X, et al. The microbiome and butyrate regulate energy metabolism and autophagy in the mammalian colon. Cell Metab 2011;13:517–526

 Tazoe H, Otomo Y, Kaji I, Tanaka R, Karaki S-I, Kuwahara A. Roles of short-chain fatty acids receptors, GPR41 and GPR43 on colonic functions.
J Physiol Pharmacol 2008;59(Suppl. 2):251–262
Samuel BS, Shaito A, Motoike T, et al. Effects of the gut microbiota on host adiposity are modulated by the short-chain fatty-acid binding G protein-coupled receptor, Gpr41. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:16767–16772

40. Wellen KE, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation, stress, and diabetes. J Clin Invest 2005;115: 1111–1119

41. Tremaroli V, Bäckhed F. Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. Nature 2012;489:242–249

42. Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, et al. Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. Diabetes 2007;56:1761–1772

43. Delaere F, Duchampt A, Mounien L, et al. The role of sodium-coupled glucose co-transporter 3 in the satiety effect of portal glucose sensing. Mol Metab 2012;2:47–53

44. De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Goncalves D, et al. Microbiota-generated metabolites promote metabolic benefits via gutbrain neural circuits. Cell 2014;156:84–96

45. Gill RK, Kumar A, Malhotra P, et al. Regulation of intestinal serotonin transporter expression via epigenetic mechanisms: role of HDAC2. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2013;304:C334–C341 46. Zhu H, Huang Q, Xu H, Niu L, Zhou J-N. Antidepressant-like effects of sodium butyrate in combination with estrogen in rat forced swimming test: involvement of 5-HT(1A) receptors. Behav Brain Res 2009;196:200–206

47. Won Y-J, Lu VB, Puhl HL 3rd, Ikeda SR. β -Hydroxybutyrate modulates N-type calcium channels in rat sympathetic neurons by acting as an agonist for the G-protein-coupled receptor FFA3. J Neurosci 2013;33:19314–19325

48. Basterfield L, Mathers JC. Intestinal tumours, colonic butyrate and sleep in exercised Min mice. Br J Nutr 2010;104:355–363

49. Yamada T, Inui A, Hayashi N, Fujimura M, Fujimiya M. Serotonin stimulates endotoxin translocation via 5-HT3 receptors in the rat ileum. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2003;284:G782–G788

50. Simansky KJ. Serotonergic control of the organization of feeding and satiety. Behav Brain Res 1996:73:37–42

51. Ratner C, Ettrup A, Bueter M, et al. Cerebral markers of the serotonergic system in rat models of obesity and after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2012;20:2133–2141

52. Koopman KE, Booij J, Fliers E, Serlie MJ, la Fleur SE. Diet-induced changes in the lean brain: hypercaloric high-fat-high-sugar snacking decreases serotonin transporters in the human hypothalamic region. Mol Metab 2013;2:417–422

53. Haub S, Kanuri G, Volynets V, Brune T, Bischoff SC, Bergheim I. Serotonin reuptake transporter (SERT) plays a critical role in the onset of fructose-induced hepatic steatosis in mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2010;298:G335–G344

54. Wikoff WR, Anfora AT, Liu J, et al. Metabolomics analysis reveals large effects of gut microflora on mammalian blood metabolites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:3698–3703

55. Romanova IV, Ramos EJB, Xu Y, et al. Neurobiologic changes in the hypothalamus associated with weight loss after gastric bypass. J Am Coll Surg 2004;199:887–895

56. Defrancesco M, Liebaert J, Kemmler G, et al. Psychosocial state after bariatric surgery is associated with the serotonin-transporter promoter polymorphism. Eat Weight Disord 2013;18:311-316

57. Sjöström L, Narbro K, Sjöström CD, et al.; Swedish Obese Subjects Study. Effects of bariatric surgery on mortality in Swedish obese subjects. N Engl J Med 2007;357:741–752

 Sjöström L, Lindroos A-K, Peltonen M, et al.; Swedish Obese Subjects Study Scientific Group. Lifestyle, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors 10 years after bariatric surgery. N Engl J Med 2004;351:2683–2693

59. Liou AP, Paziuk M, Luevano J-M, Machineni S, Turnbaugh PJ, Kaplan LM. Conserved shifts in the gut microbiota due to gastric bypass reduce host weight and adiposity. Sci Transl Med 2013; 5:178ra41

60. Furet J-P, Kong L-C, Tap J, et al. Differential adaptation of human gut microbiota to bariatric surgery-induced weight loss: links with metabolic and low-grade inflammation markers. Diabetes 2010;59:3049–3057

61. Zhang H, DiBaise JK, Zuccolo A, et al. Human gut microbiota in obesity and after gastric bypass. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:2365– 2370

62. Meyer D, Stasse-Wolthuis M. The bifidogenic effect of inulin and oligofructose and its consequences for gut health. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009;63:1277–1289

63. Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Fava F, et al. Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia. Diabetologia 2007;50:2374–2383

64. Rastall RA, Gibson GR, Gill HS, et al. Modulation of the microbial ecology of the human colon by probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics to enhance human health: an overview of enabling science and potential applications. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2005;52:145–152 65. Yadav H, Jain S, Sinha PR. Antidiabetic effect of probiotic dahi containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* in high fructose fed rats. Nutrition 2007;23:62–68

66. Naito E, Yoshida Y, Makino K, et al. Beneficial effect of oral administration of *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota on insulin resistance in dietinduced obesity mice. J Appl Microbiol 2011; 110:650–657

67. Blaser M. Antibiotic overuse: stop the killing of beneficial bacteria. Nature 2011;476:393–394 68. Thuny F, Richet H, Casalta J-P, Angelakis E, Habib G, Raoult D. Vancomycin treatment of infective endocarditis is linked with recently acquired obesity. PLoS ONE 2010;5:e9074

69. Vrieze A, Out C, Fuentes S, et al. Impact of oral vancomycin on gut microbiota, bile acid metabolism, and insulin sensitivity. J Hepatol 2014;60:824–831

70. Dethlefsen L, Relman DA. Incomplete recovery and individualized responses of the human distal gut microbiota to repeated antibiotic perturbation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108 (Suppl. 1):4554–4561

71. Ajslev TA, Andersen CS, Gamborg M, Sørensen TIA, Jess T. Childhood overweight after establishment of the gut microbiota: the role of delivery mode, pre-pregnancy weight and early administration of antibiotics. Int J Obes (Lond) 2011;35:522–529

72. Cho I, Yamanishi S, Cox L, et al. Antibiotics in early life alter the murine colonic microbiome and adiposity. Nature 2012;488:621–626

73. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. Science 2011;334:105–108

74. Cotillard A, Kennedy SP, Kong LC, et al.; ANR MicroObes consortium. Dietary intervention impact on gut microbial gene richness. Nature 2013;500:585–588