A community-based approach to trials of aerobic exercise in aging and Alzheimer's disease
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Abstract

The benefits of exercise for aging have received considerable attention in both the popular and academic press. The putative benefits of exercise for maximizing cognitive function and supporting brain health have great potential for combating Alzheimer's disease (AD). Aerobic exercise offers a low-cost, low-risk intervention that is widely available and may have disease modifying effects. Demonstrating that aerobic exercise alters the AD process would have enormous public health implications. The purpose of this paper is to report the protocol of a current, community-based pilot study of aerobic exercise for AD to guide future investigation. This manuscript provides 1) an overview of possible benefits of exercise in those with dementia, 2) a rationale and recommendations for implementation of a community-based approach, 3) recommendation for implementation of similar study protocols, and 4) unique challenges in conducting an exercise trial in AD.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Americans are living longer than ever before. Six percent of the population is 75 years or older and this age group is expected to increase by 80% in the next decade [1,2]. Aging brings an increased incidence of cognitive and physical decline, especially in those in the eighth decade and beyond [3]. One in 8 individuals over 65 is now living with Alzheimer's disease (AD) [4] and the annual treatment costs of AD are estimated at $183 billion in the US. Spending on dementia care is expected to increase 600% over the next 40 years [4]. Delaying the onset or slowing the progression of AD would significantly reduce annual health care costs in the US [5]. However, there are currently no established and accepted disease modifying or preventive treatments for AD.

A wealth of animal research data suggests that exercise positively impacts brain health. Increased physical activity may have a trophic effect on the brain, particularly the hippocampus. For instance, exercise increases brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [6] and other important neurochemicals [7] supporting brain growth and survival. Exercise appears to stimulate neurogenesis [8], enhance neuronal survival [9], increase resistance to brain insults [10,11] and increase synaptic
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plasticity [12]. Exercise promotes brain vascularization [13,14], mobilizes gene expression profiles predicted to benefit brain plasticity [15], and maintains cognitive function [16]. Additionally, exercise effects on the brain may reduce vascular risk factors (heart disease [17], atherosclerosis [18], stroke [19], and diabetes [20–24]) that are believed to place an individual at risk for dementia, vascular dementia, and AD [25].

Further, there is limited but compelling animal data suggesting that exercise may have disease-modifying benefits in AD. For instance, increased physical activity in mouse models of AD reduces neuropathological burden [26] and may promote hippocampal neurogenesis [27]. The reduction of β-amyloid in the exercising mice was evident in both cortical and hippocampal regions of the brain suggesting that voluntary exercise may mediate the amyloid cascade in favor of reduced production of β-amyloid [26]. Despite the evidence that aerobic exercise may be disease modifying in animals, there is a paucity of rigorous trials of aerobic exercise effects on cognitive function in the earliest stages of AD in humans [28]. The lack of well-designed, randomized controlled trials (RCT) that have investigated exercise effects on cognition has led to scientific position statements [29] and prominent public media editorials [30] on the insufficiency of evidence for addressing cognitive decline. Studies of exercise in dementia are significantly limited by poorly-defined samples and insufficient outcome measures and exercise regimens. Many of these studies include poorly characterized participants or use insensitive cognitive and physical outcome measures. For example, one meta-analysis of older studies suggested physical exercise can benefit physical and cognitive performance [31] but the results are derived from a mix of exercise modalities and dementia states [32–33]. Often these mixed studies include institutionalized elderly patients in nursing homes or psychiatric hospitals with poorly characterized cognitive impairment. Few focus on diagnosing AD [40,54] and fewer examine individuals with early stage AD [55]. Exercise interventions are variable and usually involve resistance (sit-to-stand exercises, strength training, isometric exercises in sitting positions) [41,42,45,47,56,57] and endurance training [53,58,59]. Outcome variables vary widely and include mobility and balance, strength, reaction time, and functional measures [31]. Endurance measures are generally the distance walked over a set time (i.e., modified 6-minute walk). To our knowledge, few studies include standard measures of exercise adaptation such as insulin sensitivity, body composition, lipids, and VO2 peak in people with well-characterized early stage dementia.

There is promising evidence that aerobic exercise benefits brain health and cognitive function in AD. Cross-sectional evidence from our center has found that peak oxygen consumption (VO2 peak, a consensually valid measure of aerobic fitness and standard outcome measure of aerobic exercise interventions) in early-stage AD is associated with whole brain volume measures, with higher VO2 peak associated with less brain atrophy and slower dementia progression [60–62]. In one recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) for individuals with MCI, aerobic exercise improved executive cognitive function, especially in women [55]. Importantly, this study demonstrated that a similar, community-based approach was feasible and has the potential to modify cognitive measures. Exercise may also improve mood and functional capacity [40]. Ongoing RCTs of home-based programs will shed further light on the role of exercise for managing AD, but there is a need for more research among community-dwelling adults in the earliest stages of AD.

While it is common for clinicians to recommend a physically active lifestyle to those with AD, the benefits of exercise in AD are not well-defined and evidence to develop guidelines for the prescription of exercise in AD is lacking [29,63]. Though physical activity typically declines with cognitive impairment [64], a meta-analysis demonstrated physical activity interventions to be successful for improving physical and functional performance as well as cognition [31] suggesting that improving exercise habits is feasible for this population. Although there is consensus that current recommendations (~30 min of exercise most days of the week) provide general health benefits to older adults [65], there remains a need for well-designed RCTs to test the long-term benefits of exercise for preventing cognitive and functional decline. Rigorous RCTs are challenging for a number of reasons including but not limited to their expense, need for control interventions, and recruitment of a cohort of sufficient size. These challenges are magnified by the unique needs of those with AD and their caregivers.

Aerobic exercise offers a low-cost, low-risk intervention that is widely-available and may have disease modifying effects. Demonstrating aerobic exercise alters the AD process would have enormous public health implications. The protocol outlined in this report builds on the strengths from prior programs [40,55] and capitalizes on existing and well-recognized community resources. Additionally, it uses publically endorsed exercise recommendations, while providing information on cognitive, functional and physiologic measures that may be sensitive to aerobic exercise training in individuals in the earliest stages of AD.

2. Methods

The University of Kansas Alzheimer’s Disease Center (KU ADC) is currently supporting a trial of aerobic exercise for cognition; the Alzheimer’s Disease Exercise Program Trial (ADEPT) for adults over 55 in the earliest stages of AD. Personalized aerobic exercise programs are carried out at the Young Men’s Christian Association of Greater Kansas City (the Y) locations.

2.1. Study design and specific aims

ADEPT is a 26 week RCT of aerobic exercise vs. non-aerobic activities in individuals 55 years of age and older in the earliest stages of AD. Participants are randomized to a control arm (non-aerobic activities) or treatment arm of 150 min per week of aerobic exercise, typically treadmill walking. All measures are assessed at baseline. Cognitive testing is repeated at Week 13 and all measures are repeated after Week 26.

This study is designed to generate efficacy data to inform a larger multi-site trial on our theories regarding the potential positive benefits of aerobic fitness with respect to cognition (Aim 1), and physical functioning and mental well being (Aim 2). It also seeks to explore potential disease-modifying benefits and potential mechanisms relating aerobic fitness with brain health. Aim 1 uses co-primary outcome
measures of memory and executive function data over 26 weeks in subjects participating in aerobic exercise vs. non-aerobic activities in individuals in the earliest clinical stages of MCI and AD. Aim 2 uses co-primary outcomes include the Disability Assessment in Dementia (daily function) and the Cornell Depression Scale (behavior) to quantify physical functioning and mental well being.

Two exploratory aims are designed (Aim 3) to refine neuroimaging biomarker measures to assess potential disease-modifying effects of exercise (global and hippocampal brain volume change over 26 weeks) and (Aim 4) to examine potential underlying systemic mechanisms relating fitness with brain health (insulin, insulin-like growth factor c-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and TNF-alpha).

2.2. Subject recruitment

The KU ADC has established an infrastructure for the identification, recruitment, and characterization of older adults both with and without dementia. Beginning in 2004, we developed a registry of individuals who have consented to be contacted regarding research studies. Other institutional databases of older adult research participants supplement our contact list of potential enrollees through mailings. In addition, the KU ADC and the Y have jointly designed a recruitment and marketing plan. Marketing materials (flyers, posters, postcards) are distributed at the Y and community locations, based on the population of older adults. KU ADC staff distributes study information in health fairs across the Kansas City community, conducting memory and physical function screens to emphasize the importance of physical activity and wellness. Often these are joint appearances with KU ADC and the Y staff to highlight the partnership in the community. Study staff also meets with medical residents and physicians to share information about the study. Family physicians, cardiologists, and neurologists are given pocket-sized laminated cards with basic study qualifications. These physicians are encouraged to share program information with qualified participants.

To date, print advertisements, clinic referrals and “word-of-mouth” have been the most effective recruitment tool, together accounting for 2/3 of referrals to our study. These tools are among the least expensive per participant yielded. Table 1 provides more detailed information on recruitment tool efficacy. All potential participants, whether referred through community events or their physician, are directed to a KU ADC recruitment coordinator for a brief telephone screening.

All potential participants must have a study partner with whom they are in regular contact. The study partner is asked to accompany the person to the initial examination and to serve as a collateral source for evaluations. Although the study partner is not required to accompany the participant to exercise sessions it is strongly encouraged for those who have limited independence. The study provides a household membership to the Y to allow for this participation.

2.3. Screening

Prior to enrollment and randomization, all participants undergo a standard evaluation in multiple visits at the KU ADC. Prior studies have used an extended “run-in” period with multiple visits to ensure commitment and availability [66]. The screening and baseline testing process requires 3–4 visits over a 30-day period and takes approximately 9 h to complete. All visits occur at the University of Kansas Clinical and Translational Science Unit (CTSU) or the Hoglund Brain Imaging Center, on the University of Kansas Medical Center campus. Appointments are combined when scheduling allows.

All participants receive a thorough clinical evaluation by a clinician through the KU ADC which lasts about 1.5 h. The clinical evaluation includes a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [79,80], and semi-structured interviews with the participant and the study partner. During the evaluation the clinician reviews the clinical history, past medical history, and medications. The clinician also performs a physical and neurological examination and reviews inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 2).

The clinical evaluation results are used to determine a presumptive clinical diagnosis which is reviewed and finalized at a consensus diagnostic conference attended by KU ADC clinicians and psychometrists using the NINDS-ADRDA criteria [67]. These methods have a high diagnostic accuracy for AD of 93% [68]. At the consensus conference, the examining clinician presents a brief clinical synopsis and CDR staging,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Informed consent</td>
<td>• Current clinically significant major psychiatric disorder (e.g., major depressive disorder) according to DSM-IV criteria or Geriatric Depression score of 5 or greater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Age 55 years or older</td>
<td>• Significant psychiatric symptoms (e.g., hallucinations) that could impair the completion of the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Underactive or sedentary based on the Telephone Assessment of Physical Activity [95].</td>
<td>• Current clinically-significant systemic illness likely to result in deterioration of the patient’s condition or affect the patient’s safety during the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community dwelling with a caregiver willing to accompany the participant to visits to the screening evaluation. The caregiver must visit with the subject more than five times a week.</td>
<td>• History of clinically-evident stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CDR 0.5 or 1</td>
<td>• Clinically-significant infection within the last 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adequate visual and auditory abilities to perform all aspects of the cognitive and functional assessments</td>
<td>• History of cancer within the last 5 years (except non-metastatic basal or squamous cell carcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stable doses of medications for at least 30 days prior to screening.</td>
<td>• History of drug or alcohol abuse as defined by DSM-IV criteria within the last 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Likely to participate in all scheduled evaluations and complete the exercise program over 26 weeks</td>
<td>• Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Significant pain or musculoskeletal disorder that would prohibit participation in an exercise program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 ADEPT inclusion and exclusion criteria.
followed by a discussion of neuropsychological test results, and available clinical information from outside evaluations (i.e., MRI, lab tests). Per recently updated criteria [69], individuals classified with MCI are also assigned an etiologic diagnosis (e.g., AD, cerebrovascular disease, depression). Individuals classified as MCI who also demonstrate evidence of longitudinal decline and characteristic objective cognitive findings are assigned an etiologic diagnosis of probable AD.

If the individual meets inclusion criteria and has been assigned an etiologic diagnosis of AD, screening continues with physical function testing and a graded maximal exercise test which last approximately 2 h. Testing is performed using a Modified Bruce protocol in the presence of a medical monitor, exercise physiologist, nurse and spotter. Procedures for the exercise test have been previously reported [70] and are reliable in this population [71]. All participants are required to achieve a respiratory exchange ratio of at least 1.0 during the exercise test to be randomized [72]. Those not meeting this threshold are asked to return for an additional maximal exercise test. If it appears that orthopedic issues or participant concerns are limiting performance, the retest can be performed on a total body recumbent stepper [73]. If there are no orthopedic, cardiovascular or safety concerns raised during testing (e.g., abnormal EKG, poor safety awareness) the participant is enrolled in the study.

Participants who do not meet inclusion and exclusion criteria or are otherwise identified as inappropriate for the study are considered screen failures. For those enrolled, 2 additional visits are required: a 15 minute fasting blood draw performed by a CTSU nurse and an MRI visit that lasts approximately 2 h performed by study staff and a certified imaging technologist with experience in research protocols. Both visits are described in the Outcome measures section. Tests and measures acquired during the screening process are considered baseline measures for enrollees. Although this extensive testing battery may be burdensome to some participants, successful completion of all baseline measures is an excellent indicator of study completion and exercise adherence. In addition, our baseline screening procedures provide excellent characterization of study participants, including a detailed assessment of dementia and cognition, a limitation of previous studies.

### 2.4. Outcome measures

Cognitive testing is performed by a trained psychometrist at a separate visit lasting approximately 3 h. (see Table 3 for tests, descriptions, and anticipated effect sizes). The test battery includes standard measures of Verbal Memory, Executive Functioning (set maintenance and switching), Visuospatial Construction, and Reasoning. Verbal Memory and Executive Function factors serve as the primary outcomes measures for Aim 1. We use Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) on baseline cognitive scores to create factor score weights which are then applied across the 3 times of measurement in this study. The Verbal Memory and Executive Function factors (primary outcomes of Aim 1) are previously validated in this neuropsychological battery [74,75]. Thus we use the CFA as a weighting schema to create more sensitive and specific summary scores (individual subtest loadings are not tested) shown to be excellent discriminators of healthy and pathological aging in both cross-sectional [74,76,77] and longitudinal [78] studies of Alzheimer’s disease.

The study partner is asked to rate participants using the Quality of Life [79], Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia [80], Disability Assessment for Dementia [81], Resource Utilization in Dementia – Lite [82], Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study Activities of Daily Living [83], and Epworth Sleepiness [84] scales. The Disability Assessment for Dementia and Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia serve as primary outcome measures for Aim 2.

Overnight fasting blood glucose is tested and a blood sample banked for Exploratory Aim 2. The blood draw takes approximately 15 min and is often combined with another appointment such as the MRI or cognitive testing. When appointments are combined with a fasting lab draw, the participant is provided a voucher to eat after the fasting lab draw and prior to their next appointment.

The physical function and exercise test visit consists of a battery of performance and anthropometric measures. Waist and hip circumference, body weight and height and dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) are performed with the participant in a standard hospital gown with shoes removed. Then, the Physical Performance Test [85], Senior Fitness Test [86], and the graded maximal treadmill test. These measures serve as indices of training effect.

Finally, all participants undergo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain in a Siemens 3.0 T MRI scanner which takes no more than 1 h. We obtain a high resolution T1 weighted (MPRAGE) image (MP-RAGE; 1×1×1mm voxels; TR=2500, TE=4.38, TI=1100, FOV 256×256 with 18% oversample, 1 mm slice thickness, flip angle 8°) for detailed anatomy with high gray-white matter contrast and a Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) sequence for improved imaging of the periventricular white matter and discrimination of perivascular spaces from infarcts (1×1×4 mm voxels; flip angle = 180°; TI = 2500, TR = 10,000 s, TE = 81.0 ms). We use voxel based morphometry (VBM) analysis of the MRI as our imaging outcome to explore exercise-related effects on brain atrophy. VBM examines the whole brain and selected regions of interest in an unbiased way with high sensitivity to identify small changes in brain structure over time. Data analysis is performed using the VBM8 toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de), an extension of the SPM8 algorithms (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) running under MATLAB 7.3 (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) on Linux. Every scan is checked for image artifacts and gross anatomical abnormalities. Subjects are excluded for movement artifact or any inhomogeneity that distorts brain matter.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Calls generated</th>
<th>Cost per call</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct to home advertisement mailing (Valpak)</td>
<td>$3480</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>$36.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print advertisement</td>
<td>$1599</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>$7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct mail campaigns to existing research databases</td>
<td>$629</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brochures in physician clinics and Y facilities</td>
<td>$308</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$20.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Participants are randomized equally to either aerobic or non-aerobic exercise. A block randomization procedure is used, stratified by age (<75 years vs. ≥75 years old) and gender to ensure the groups are well-matched across these variables. The randomization sequences were constructed prior to study start by the KU Department of Biostatistics. Randomization is performed immediately upon successful completion of the exercise test. The psychometrician, clinical evaluator, and staff involved in the exercise testing are blinded to randomization assignment. Participants are asked at the beginning of each visit not to discuss anything regarding their intervention with testing staff. However, maintaining blinding can be a challenge with cognitively impaired participants. The PI remains un-blinded to actively impaired participants. The PI remains un-blinded to
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive test battery and reported effect sizes of exercise.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effect size range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal Memory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Reminding Test [96]</td>
<td>Picture list learning with structured category-cued reminding and unced recall.</td>
<td><strong>0.16 to 0.59</strong> [97,98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Memory&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; [99]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prose recall of short narrative passages.</td>
<td><strong>0.02 to 1.44</strong> [100–106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Naming Test&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; [107]</td>
<td>Naming of figural line drawings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Executive function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Color-Word Test</td>
<td>Word reading and color naming requiring set maintenance and inhibition of irrelevant information.</td>
<td><strong>0.09 to 0.40</strong> [97,98,102,108–110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-KEFS Card Sort</td>
<td>Card-sort requiring concept formation, set maintenance, and switching.</td>
<td><strong>0.08 to 1.61</strong> [98,111,112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category Fluency&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; [113]</td>
<td>Spoken wordlist generation to a target category (Animals or Vegetables).</td>
<td><strong>0.17 to 1.16</strong> [97,98,108,112,114,115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter–Number Sequencing</td>
<td>Immediate memory for numbers and letter, requiring information to be maintained and manipulated in mind by self-ordered recall of like sets.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span (Forward and Backward)&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; [99]</td>
<td>Immediate memory for numbers, requiring information to be maintained and manipulated in mind.</td>
<td><strong>0.05 to 3.50</strong> [97,100,102–104,106,108,110,116–123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visuospatial Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Symbol&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt; [124]</td>
<td>Test of psychomotor speed involving sustained attention and transcription of digit-symbol pairs.</td>
<td><strong>0.11 to 0.77</strong> [98,101–104,106,108–110,112,114,115,117,118,125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making Test&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; (Parts A and B) [126]</td>
<td>Line drawing between alternating numbers and letters, requiring visual search, attention, and mental flexibility.</td>
<td><strong>0.06 to 0.82</strong> [102,106,108,109,112,114–117,121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Design [124]</td>
<td>Visuospatial construction using blocks to match target models</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reasoning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductive Reasoning † [127]</td>
<td>Inference making based on principles derived from informative models containing letters, words, and numbers.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix Reasoning [124]</td>
<td>Inference making based on principles derived from informative models containing colored patterns.</td>
<td><strong>0.21 to 1.18</strong> [105,111,112,121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture Arrangement [124]</td>
<td>Card-sort requiring temporal sequencing and social reasoning.</td>
<td><strong>0.19</strong> [123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional disability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Assessment for Dementia [128]</td>
<td>Scale of need for assistance on basic and instrumental activities of daily living.</td>
<td><strong>0.4-0.8</strong> [129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt; [130]</td>
<td>Scale combining participant and informant ratings of mood and behavior.</td>
<td><strong>0.15</strong> [131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life [133]</td>
<td>13-item measure given to participant and study partner regarding perceived quality of life of participant.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study—Activities of Daily Living [83]</td>
<td>Measure given to study partner regarding independence in basic and instrumental activities of daily living.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth Sleepiness Scale&lt;sup&gt;™&lt;/sup&gt; [84]</td>
<td>Rating of likelihood of falling asleep during activities, scored 0–24</td>
<td><strong>0.47</strong> [94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Utilization in Dementia—Lite [82,132]</td>
<td>Survey of heath care resource use and time for providing participant care completed by study partner.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA — not available.
Note: All citations listed by number are not contained in any of the prior reviews listed.

<sup>a</sup> UDS Battery Subtest.
<sup>b</sup> Active Battery Subtest (or close analogue).

2.5. Randomization and study blinding

Participants are randomized equally to either aerobic or non-aerobic exercise. A block randomization procedure is used, stratified by age (<75 years vs. ≥75 years old) and gender to ensure the groups are well-matched across these variables. The randomization sequences were constructed prior to study start by the KU Department of Biostatistics. Randomization is performed immediately upon successful completion of the exercise test.

The psychometrician, clinical evaluator, and staff involved in the exercise testing are blinded to randomization assignment. Participants are asked at the beginning of each visit not to discuss anything regarding their intervention with testing staff. However, maintaining blinding can be a challenge with cognitively impaired participants. The PI remains un-blinded to actively impaired participants. The PI remains un-blinded to

2.6. Testing considerations

Cognitive and physical function testing is generally performed by a single, trained rater from a prepared script and
beyond 6 months of training [66]. Considering the increased first 3 to 4 months of exercise and major changes are unlikely substantial physiological adaptations to exercise occur in the cognition. The intervention length of 26 weeks was chosen as aerobic exercise in order to examine its role in enhancing population. The current study design attempts to isolate common form of exercise for older adults, is easily adopted – accomplish this target duration in 3 duration of 150 min per week. Participants are asked to 60 min in Week 1 and increase their weekly exercise du-
participants begin the intervention with a weekly goal of (aerobic arm) or duration and activity (control group). All AEs as well as a weekly goal for duration and intensity exercise log contains 26 sheets and includes a place to record log and a Polar heart rate monitor, which are kept at the Y in and facility. At that time participants are provided an exercise introduction to the assigned certified personal trainer (CPT) and one-on-one trainer time as well as for heart rate monitoring warm up and cool down, duration of exercise session, and facility. The study coordinator meets with each participant while maintaining direct contact to enhance adherence. During supervised sessions, CPTs check in with participants to assess AEs, review exercise logs and encourage continued participation. The study coordinator and the CPT make a decision as to whether the individual is ready to exercise independently. The decision is based on the ability to use the heart rate monitor and gather information from the watch, safety and adherence to the exercise routine. If participants are unable or unwilling to use the treadmill, CPTs educate them on the non-weight bearing modalities such as a recumbent stepper or stationary bicycle. The study pays for a household membership to the Y for the duration of the intervention as well as the personal trainer fees. All exercise sessions are completed at the Y. The aerobic arm utilizes Y treadmills and the non-aerobic arm utilizes Y equipment for the various activities. The study provides the resistance bands in to standardize this activity. While the CPT is training a study participant, he/she is considered a research assistant on the project and therefore reports to the study coordinator with regard to study-related activities. The study coordinator meets with each participant at the Y to review randomization and facilitate an introduction to the assigned certified personal trainer (CPT) and facility.

2.9. Aerobic exercise arm

Target heart rate (THR) zones are calculated for each participant randomized to the aerobic exercise arm. Both exercise duration and THR zone are manipulated to gradually introduce exercise and maximize aerobic training benefit. Participants are instructed to gradually increase speed and incline on the treadmill over approximately 5 min to warm-up and gradually reach their THR. The first 4 weeks of exercise, the THR zone is 40–55% of heart rate reserve (HRR) as calculated by the Karvonen formula from resting and peak heart rate gathered during the exercise test. The THR zone for Weeks 5–18 is 50–65% of HRR. The THR for the final 8 weeks is 60–75% of HRR. Participants are instructed to gradually decrease speed and incline on the treadmill over approximately 5 min to cool down. Participants who cannot tolerate treadmill are instructed on use of an alternative aerobic modality.

2.10. Non-aerobic activities arm

Stretching and toning exercises such as those in our non-aerobic activities arm are commonly used as a control condition in research studies of aerobic exercise [87–89]. Participants in the non-aerobic activities group are given a THR of <100 bpm. A pictorial manual of activities is provided to each CPT and the participants. The activities include axial and appendicular stretching and toning exercises, seated exercises on a large diameter exercise ball and modified versions of Tai Chi and yoga. All non-aerobic exercise session begin with a slow-paced walk on the track for approximately 5 min to provide a warm-up for the participant. CPTs are provided with a schedule of specific exercises and verbal
instructions to participants. CPTs are encouraged to be creative with these activities as long as the variations fall within the intent of the exercises in the manual, are not progressive and do not cause heart rate to surpass the THR. All non-aerobic exercise sessions end with stretching exercises to provide a cool-down for the participant.

2.11. Community implementation

Successful delivery of an exercise program to a large community such as the Kansas City metropolitan area requires several easily accessible sites. The KU ADC established a partnership with the Y because of its significant infrastructure (18 locations), brand recognition within the aging community, and long-time association with physical activity and health promotion. The synergistic relationship between the KU ADC and the Y is at the core of the study. The key on which the partnership was built was agreement that the study results will inform methods in which community organizations such as the Y can serve older adults with memory loss. Importantly, the ADEPT research objectives match the mission and vision of the Y to serve the community through supporting healthy living. The KU ADC expands the Y’s capacity to serve older adults and broadens their scope of activities beyond traditional membership-based exercise programming. Working with the KU ADC enhances the Y’s ability to provide healthy living opportunities to the community in innovative ways. In return, the KU ADC receives the dedication of and access to facilities and CPTs. The network of 18 facilities expands the reach of the study and eases participant time and travel burden, and in many cases introduces individuals to a neighborhood resource they have never used.

As with any partnership between institutions with different policies there are challenges to overcome. First, training for research-level human subjects’ protections is not standard for the Y and second, Y staff members bring various levels of familiarity with clinical trial methods as well as with aging and memory loss. Unique mechanisms for trainer time and membership reimbursement have also been developed to accommodate the study. The study coordinator works with the Business Director of Corporate Billing at the Y central office to direct bill for each study membership and CPT hours spent training our participants. The study coordinator communicates the required information for membership set-up and the Business Director sets up the membership remotely in the appropriate Y’s database ready for the participant’s arrival. The CPTs track their contact time with participants on a provided ADEPT time sheet and submit the time sheet to the Business Director to invoice us for those hours. The study coordinator verifies the trainer hours with the exercise logs.

To standardize implementation of the intervention protocols and facilitate training of CPTs, a study training manual was developed by KU ADC staff. All CPTs who work with study participants receive the study training manual as well as extensive in-person training by the study coordinator. The study training manual contains detailed instruction on the study protocol, maintaining adherence to the study protocol, reporting AEs, recording study data, the importance of human subjects’ protection as well as instruction on working with people with dementia. The importance of the trainer to follow the protocol and to be the “eyes-and-ears” of the study is heavily emphasized. Federal and institutionally required human subjects’ protection and HIPAA compliance courses are completed by CPTs through online training modules hosted by the University of Kansas Medical Center.

2.12. Adverse events

The study coordinator assesses AEs within 3 days of initiating exercise intervention and the CPTs are asked to assess for AEs or medication changes at the beginning of each visit. When the CPT is not supervising the participant, participants are instructed to note the AE on their exercise log as well as report the AE to the study coordinator by phone. CPTs are provided a standard form modified from previous community-based studies [90] to assist with identifying and documenting AEs. These documents are faxed to the KU ADC, at which time a follow-up call is made to the participant to obtain additional information regarding the AE. All AEs and medication changes from baseline are logged and entered in to the study database. Participants and their study partner are contacted by phone by the study coordinator for formal review of medication changes, medical history, and AEs at weeks 3, 6, 9, 16, 19, and 22. The seriousness of the AE is determined using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0. If the participant, study partner, or CPT reports AEs or complaints to the study coordinator, the AE is evaluated by the unblinded investigator and a course of action is determined. CPTs are instructed to follow the Y’s emergency response protocol in the event of such emergency and to follow up by contacting the study coordinator.

2.13. Retention and adherence

The periodic telephone assessments by the study coordinator complement the CPTs routine daily assessment of AEs as well as enhance communication between the study team and the participants. Additionally, the calls encourage compliance with the intervention. To further enhance compliance, the study coordinator visits the facilities bi-weekly when study participants are exercising. This visit provides an opportunity to ensure protocol adherence by reviewing the logs for compliance, providing feedback and additional training on the protocol and stressing the importance of complying with the study protocol to both CPTs and participants. Copies of the exercise logs are collected for staff review and data entry. If the study coordinator does not make contact with a study participant during the visit, a message is written on the current week’s exercise log. These messages are meant to inform the participant the study coordinator came to visit; to provide words of encouragement; and relay any relevant notes about the participant’s progress.

2.14. Sample size and power calculation

Because this is a pilot study, the sample size was determined to provide estimates of intervention effect sizes on outcome measures. However, the moderate sample size is sufficient for preliminary hypothesis testing. We are recruiting 80 patients with the expectation that 60 individuals will
eventually complete the study, a sample size sufficient to plan a more definitive future study.

We also estimated the power of this study based on prior reports (see Table 3). We reviewed the exercise intervention literature in geriatric populations that used similar primary outcome measures to gain effect size estimates. Estimates obtained using AD patient populations and longitudinal designs were used preferentially. We found effect sizes for Logical Memory [91] (7 studies; effect size ranges from 0.03 to 1.44 and sample size ranges from 8 to 87). Fig. 1 shows the power of our study at testing different effect sizes reported in the literature using one-sided two sample t-test at alpha level of 0.05 when the attrition rate is 20% or 0. The power of the present study is between 0.64 and 0.72 if the effect size is 0.5. Cognitive and functional test data (primary outcome measures) are collected at baseline, Week 13 and Week 26 to enhance power as these are less directly associated with exercise compared to peak oxygen consumption or the Physical Performance Test for example. Currently, the study has excellent retention with only 2% drop out and approximately half of study participants enrolled.

2.15. Analysis plan

Using latent variable analysis we will aggregate common (true) score instrument items to generate the two co-primary outcomes for Aim 1: Verbal Memory and Executive Function. Latent variable analysis is a widely used statistical method to aggregate common variance across items and attenuate error. Latent variable analysis allows extraction of what is domain specific among several contributing items/tasks. Because this method pools common score variance and minimizes error variance (idiographic to the item/task), resultant summary scores provide purer measurement of target domains than do individual tasks [92] Resultant summary scores yield more reliable, sensitive, and specific estimates of ability than do traditional analyses of raw scores or scaled score composites because they are purer indices of ability. Hypothesized causal relationships among these summary variables can be empirically tested using structural equation modeling.

We will use a linear mixed model to analyze each primary outcome measure. We will estimate the effect of intervention versus control as the interaction between group and time and also estimate the effect size of intervention using linear contrasts. We will test the linear trend difference between the exercise group and the control group. A linear mixed model is expected to be slightly more powerful than a t-test because of the repeated measures and the capability of the model at accommodating missing data. As this is a pilot study with small sample size, all the analysis will be conducted individually without adjustment for multiple outcomes.

Secondary measures will be evaluated in a similar manner as our primary outcomes. Secondary outcomes will be clearly indicated as such in resulting manuscripts.

Because of the attention paid to uniformly training CPTs we expect that training site have minimum impact on the outcomes, and anticipate that 10 of the 18 local Y sites for training and each site may provide 1–3 CPTs. With 60 patients in total, patients allocated to sites and CPTs will be too sparse for complicated hierarchical regression models. We will not take the cluster effects of Y site and trainer into analysis. ITT may be examined and estimated for future studies. Every reasonable effort is made to maintain rater consistency from baseline to follow-up.

VBM-indexed brain atrophy between intervention groups will be our exploratory neuroimaging outcome measure. The final tissue maps of gray matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid will be modulated in order to analyze relative volume differences between exercise groups and over time. This approach has been used effectively in exercise studies of similar duration in non-demented older adults [93]. We will determine circulating levels of metabolic and inflammatory biomarker levels (Insulin, IGF-1, IL-6, and TNFa). A blood draw will be performed following an overnight (~12 h) fast. Serum will be collected and assayed using commercially available ELISA assays (ALPCO Diagnostics).

![Fig. 1. The power of our study at testing different effect sizes using one-sided two sample t-test at alpha level of .05 when the attrition rate is 20% or 0. The observed effect sizes of Logic Memory from the 7 studies in the literature are marked in the plot. Each number on the plot denotes the sample size of the corresponding study. From this plot, a reasonable estimate of the effect size of logic memory is about .45 and the power is within .56 to .64 depending on the attrition rate. The power of the study is from .64 to .72 if the effect size is .5.](image-url)
3. Discussion

The purpose of this paper is to report our project protocol to guide future studies. While this study is too small to draw definitive conclusions, it may impact public health policy by encouraging research into the effects of aerobic exercise on AD. It may also stimulate development of community-deliverable aerobic exercise programs. The long-term objective of this research line is to assess the degree to which aerobic exercise is associated with healthy brain aging and reduced AD progression. The idea that regular exercise and physical activity has positive benefits for general health, reduces morbidity and mortality, and is an essential component of managing chronic disease is beyond discredit. However, it remains uncertain how exercise may benefit brain health and cognitive function in AD, now one of our most costly and pervasive age-related diseases.

ADEPT is designed to address previous study limitations that confound interpretation of aerobic effects on brain health and AD progression. Block randomization is performed to ensure balance of age and sex across treatment arms. Our control arm (stretching and toning) provides equal social contact and physical engagement without aerobic activity for participants. An additional improvement over prior studies is our careful characterization of potential participants and inclusion of only those in the earliest stages of AD. By using a standard evaluation, diagnosis and cognitive work up, we can be confident that our cohort reflects a group in the earliest stages of AD rather than the potentially mixed cohort of previous studies [41–51].

The partnership between the KU ADC and the Y provides additional advantages for conducting research. This arrangement provides evidence regarding translation of findings to a community deployable program. Additionally, conveniently located community facilities reduce participant and study partner burden. Y facilities employ CPTs and serve as a focal point for community engagement and participation. Older individuals tend to be familiar with the brand and in our experience, have been so positive about attending sessions at the Y they have purchased a membership after study participation. Key to a successful study is engagement of both the participant and study partner [94]. In ADEPT, as with previous studies [40] both the participant and AD and the study partner can engage in the exercise. This dyadic inclusion and focus may further improve study engagement and retention.

3.1. Limitations

As with any study, there are limitations inherent in the design. The community-based intervention increases the possibility that differences between CPTs will influence outcomes. To address this, the CPTs undergo extensive protocol training and we maintain a “hotline” phone number that is staffed at all times for trainer or participant questions. Maintaining open lines of communication with study staff allows CPTs to ask questions about the study protocol and report AEs. A second limitation of this study is its scope. The study is not large enough for definitive evaluation of aerobic exercise effects on AD. That being said, partnership with the Y offers a national infrastructure for deploying a more definitive future trial powered by the common and responsive outcome measures of cognition and function chosen for this study.

4. Conclusion

We have described a small pilot trial of aerobic versus non-aerobic exercise for those in the earliest stages of AD. The foundation of this study is the partnership of a public academic medical center and private enterprise to deliver the intervention in a familiar environment using readily available community resources. Scientific rigor is maintained while minimizing participant burden. The results will inform the development of a more definitive multi-site clinical trial that could be delivered in almost any city with a research university and community fitness resources.
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