

Lecture 5: Primate Foraging Skills

Given the Socio-Ecology of Foraging in primates, what cognitive demands do they face?

Some Cognitive Issues

- **Ecological Validity** - Research in lab should be designed to test the real-world problems the animals face
 - However, this has often NOT been the case!
 - These lectures will attempt to redress this, by translating experimental findings into likely functions
 - In particular, we will look at the cognition required to Identify, Locate, and Process foods
- **“Goals”**: Studying the cognition of foraging tends to involve attributing “Goals” to the animals
 - Can we identify behavioral criteria for this (invisible) abstract concept?
 - Path efficiency? Per degree of linearity, travel speed, points & abruptness of direction change
 - BUT! - e.g. Many species take efficient route when resources **scarce**, circuitous when **abundant**
 - e.g. Woolly monkeys typically do not go directly to ripe fruit trees, visit all, poss to **monitor** ripening
 - See Janson & Byrne 2007 reading re: challenges for studying foraging cognition, assessing "value", etc!
- **Individual Differences** - In cognitively sophisticated species, ability and experience differ across individuals
 - Especially in species with prolonged development, many influences shape adult performance
 - Age also a factor - Orangs eat palm, but as size & strength changes, eat diff parts, stages, using diff skills

Cognitive Demands of identifying, locating & processing foodsIDENTIFYING

- **Eat What Mom Eats**
 - Observe, share, imitate – Infant’s first foods are from mother’s mouth & hands
 - Re **Mirror Cell** assumptions: Note many infant primates watch Mom’s hands before their own
 - So, mapping may not be from own, to other’s hands, but vice versa!
 - Medicinal use of foods
 - e.g. Bristley leaves scrape, clear internal parasites; Certain flowers settle upset stomach
 - Infant possibly associate foods with mom’s symptoms, like bad breath, fever, lethargy, etc?
- **Discriminating “things”** (The world is a cluttered and dynamic place!)
 - **Object Permanence** = Object moves, gets occluded (can’t be seen), still exists!
 - Most animals act accordingly even predatory insects! (tho can take time to develop, e.g. in humans)
 - **Match-to-Sample (MTS)** - Found a good one, find another just like it! A skill shown across the phyla...
 - Show sample, remove, show 2 alternatives, subject must pick 1 that matches sample
 - **“Transfer”** = subjects require fewer trials on subsequent examples to reach criteria for success
 - Primates get so proficient, can correctly choose match on “first trial” w/novel stimuli
- **Assessing Patch Size & Quality**
 - Others things being equal, primates prefer clumped resources & bigger is better!
 - In lab, will tolerate delays for larger reward = **Delayed gratification** (per Prefrontal Cortex devel)
 - In wild too, walk farther for more, though trade-offs for avoiding predators, competitors, etc
- **Building Associations**
 - **Sensori-motor Integration**; developed, for example, through PLAY
 - Develop basic sensory & motor skills like size constancy, distance judgments, hand-eye coord, etc.
 - **Cross-Modal/Matching**: Perceive in one modality, respond to another
 - Primate sees object X; can then select matching X (vs. Y) by touch alone (So, can grab tho vanished)
 - Also succeed at auditory to visual matching (& vice versa) based on temporal patterns.
 - (So, in wild, how thing looks when moves also recognized by how it sounds when moves)
 - **Learn Affordances** through interaction w/physical & social environments
 - e.g. Will this branch hold my weight? Will this fit in my hand? Do I have to peel before eating? Etc!
 - Canonical Cells in Parietal Cortex recognize relevant affordances of objects
 - **Regularity detection/prediction** Detecting regularities, building associations >> Predictions
 - e.g. Menzel (1991) placed store-bought persimmons on ground in Japanese macaque home range
 - After finding, monkeys then traveled to (as yet unripe) persimmon trees in range
 - e.g. Mangabey learn to alert to Hornbill alarm calls to a shared predator
 - Then give own alarm (“whoop gobble”), warning other local primates

- **"Rule Based" vs. "Associative" Learning** - Cognition concerns not just what, but HOW animal learns
 - **IMTS** ("Identity Match-to-Sample") Sample is identical to one (correct) alternative
 - **CMTS** ("Conditional MTS"): No stimuli match; "Correct" alternative is arbitrarily assigned
 - Pigeons show transfer between these tasks; Treat both tasks as: "See 1, pick 1 of 2"
 - Primates do NOT - Performance retarded: i.e. takes more trials to learn CMTS after IMTS
 - BOTH show some transfer from IMTS to Oddity (Oddity = Pick the alt that is *not* same as sample)
 - Pigeon uses "Associative" learning = Per reinforcement contingencies of each new set of stimuli
 - Primate uses **"Rule Based"** = Pick "same" (or, modified for Oddity, "Find same, pick other")
 - Enables subject to respond to NOVEL situations w/out further learning = **First Trial Success**
 - Pays off esp in species with more variable diets of unpredictable, patchy, ephemeral foods
- **Inferences** e.g. re: competitors & targets
 - Chimp sees Experimenter leave w/2 objects, return with 1 >> stops search after finds one
 - Chimp sees Exp hide apple @X, pear @Y, distracted, then see Exp eating apple >> only seek @Y
 - Many others! (e.g. based on what competitor can/not see) We will discuss in detail later --

LOCATING

- **Searching Environment**
 - In Field: Most use landmarks and re-use paths, subject to predator avoidance, obstacles like rivers, etc
 - Also tend to move faster and more directly toward preferred (more "valued") resources
 - In Lab: Can successfully navigate virtual environments, via VISION only
 - Chimps (few) can even use 3D model to represent real-world locations (*Kuhlmeier et al 1999; 2002*)
- **Moving Targets** - Track animate prey (insects) & plants handled/transported by others
 - **Invisible Displacement** = Object into container, container moved to diff places, then shown to be empty
 - Harder than "Visible Displacement" Humans: Vis@6mo, Invis@18 mo; Most nonhumans fail Invis
 - Several ape species, and only one adult Cebus (of various monkey species tested) pass!
 - Might this be related to food bit disappearing into (moving) hand of other?!!
- **Controlling Resources**
 - Defend territory – Monogamous pairs secure smallish feeding ranges for nuclear family's needs
 - e.g. Lesser Apes (Gibbons & Siamangs) & New World Callitrichids (Marmosets & Tamarins)
 - Drive off non-family; Older offspring often stay to help; Pairs may duet to mark claim
 - Compete for a given resource
 - e.g. Higher ranking animals can often displace others from resources
 - Food fought over, stolen, allowed to be taken (tolerated scrounging), but rarely given, except M/Y
- **Other Social Factors**
 - Social structure: e.g. *Pan* vs. *Pan*
 - *Pan troglodytes* – fairly intolerant, avoid competition, forage in small groups (e.g. mother/young)
 - e.g. Altho will give food calls if resource is sufficiently plentiful (e.g. abundance of figs)
 - *Pan paniscus* – more tolerant, feed in larger groups, when nervous all have sex to promote calm
 - i.e. Bonobos rub genitals with all gender & age partners (except moms & non-infant sons)
 - Gender - Female chimps more likely than males to divert to fat-rich fruits (often eating for 2)

PROCESSING

- **Follivory** – Leaves as primary diet; Easy but relatively poor nutrition requires significant time investment
 - Correlation between gut length & brain size (more leaves, **longer gut, smaller brain**)
 - **EXCEPT**: Large-brained Gorilla largely follivorous, but particularly bi-manually dexterous
 - That, and simultaneous independent finger control, requires more brain
 - Enables it to eat special foods, such as nutritious but well-defended nettles (*Byrne et al 2001*)
 - Processing shows subgoal structure; e.g. Loops within/not between subgoals,
- **Frugivory / Omnivory** – Ripe fruit major part of diet, but also tends to include wider variety of foods
 - Much more demanding: Variety of locations, types, processing etc. >> **Larger brain**
 - Must track seasonal & weather-related changes (Tho data on "when" generally scarce)
- **Extractive Foraging** – A few species use tools to extract foods (from shell, ground, mound, etc.)
 - Only Cebus, Chimps & Orangutans (& of course, humans) commonly seen to use tools in wild
 - Traditions: Crack nuts w/stone or log, prepare stick to "fish" for ants or termites in mound, etc.