

Lec 4: Cognitive Ethnography

Cognitive Ethnography = the primary method used to study distributed cognition

- Method derived from Anthropology – shifting emphasis of study from what, to **how** (See Williams 2006)
 - i.e. Not just which meanings, practices, artifacts define a culture, but HOW they are created, used
- Use Participant Observers, interviews, artifact description, & audio-video analyses of select interactions

- **The Three Laws** for doing Cognitive Ethnography on distributed cognition (or “How to get an A in 102B”)

1) Interaction as the unit of analysis

- Score relations, or score elements but analyze relations
 - e.g. Not individual trajectories, but synchrony
 - e.g. Proportion of WB:CB receptor activity

2) Multiple time scales - Micro, Macro & Historic: **Hutchins’ Cube**

- Micro=moment-to-moment; Macro=Event, task; Historic=Long-term relations, cultural traditions

3) Configural change

- How elements configure, and re-configure, over time (*Nothing Never Happens!*)
 - e.g. Snub: L<<C to L><C to L<<C
 - e.g. Successful problem solving often requires reconfiguring elements (e.g. Candle Problem)

- **Real-World Observation** - Two main methodological approaches: Natural History & Quasi-Experiments

- **Natural History**

- Systematically observe subjects functioning in real-world contexts;
 - Look for regularities, track transforms: “What information goes where when?”

- **Quasi-Experiments**

- As in reductionist experiments, researcher establishes initial conditions for subjects
 - BUT, subjects’ response options are *not* controlled; Whatever ensues is recorded, analyzed
 - Note, unlike above, where Ecological Validity assured, here must still strive for it in experimental design
- BOTH also often involve systematic & adaptive **Interviews** w/Subjects, for history, biases, self-report, etc.

- **Data** = Change in an engagement (*human+human, human+artifact*) over time

- Trust Behavior! Even the most complex, abstract, human-specific cognition WILL be evident!
 - The cognition will be observable in the patterns of transformation that occur...
- Data collection requires repeatedly, systematically, & disinterestedly sampling the world
 - If science isn’t tedious, you’re not doing it right!
- To assess information flow, ecological factors, epistemic reconfigurations, etc., need to develop . . .

- **The Ethogram** = list of behaviors/features being scored from recordings

- Your ethogram functions as one of the Hypotheses you are testing
 - i.e. If these behaviors/features pattern out – i.e. show invariants in their relationships - they are the “**media that matter**” - i.e. they propagate information through this system.
- Developing precise scoring criteria requires prolonged, iterative process of test & refinement
 - Researcher must specify observation protocols in “Methods”, so can be **replicated** by others

- A context-relevant Ethogram also enables you to test specific cognitive Hypotheses

- Such as these addressed in readings...
 - What engagements between user and tool are/not productive in this work environment?
 - How does information flow thru the cockpit in an airplane?
 - How does triadic attention develop over an infant’s first year?
 - What interactions are optimal for word learning to emerge?
 - How do experts scaffold learning in novices?
 - How do interlocutors recognize & repair misunderstanding?
 - How is epistemic status negotiated in conversation? - Etc!

- Complex Analyses

- Above approaches require **Multi-Modal, Multi-Party, Multi-Scalar** analyses (demanding!)
 - MULTI-MODAL: Assess changing relationship between multiple sensory & communicative modalities (e.g. speech, gesture, gaze, etc.) within each subject
 - MULTI-PARTY: Assess human+human and/or human+artifact interactions, especially the coordination of their multi-modal activity
 - MULTI-SCALAR: Assess data at multiple time scales (Hutchins' Cube): Micro particulars of macro-level task within long-term cultural setting
- **Time** is always a critical element, since D-Cog's focus is always on **Cognitive Change**
 - Analyses typically include multi-variate analyses of multiple, coordinated time-series
 - Used to ask how does learning, conversation, collaboration, dispute, tool use etc. emerge, develop?
- Often includes Qualitative (descriptive) as well as Quantitative (numerical) analyses
 - QUALITATIVE: Description of niche (history) based on background & Interview data
 - QUALITATIVE: Event exposition, narrative of unfolding in illustrative examples, etc.
 - Such can motivate and clarify QUANTITATIVE (statistical) analyses

- Calibrating Your Instruments

- Trained Observers

- Training and testing (Inter-Observer Reliability) of human observers requires significant up-front effort
 - Note sometimes observational protocol includes "first, spend ten years doing/watching activity..."
- GOODWIN (1994): "**Professional Vision**"
 - Experts develop a sensitivity to relevant details & relationships; w/effort can be described, trained
- CICOUREL (1996, 2001, 2006): Researcher as "**Participant Observer**"
 - To understand any (esp human) activity, need experience with that activity!
 - All science necessarily done from a point of view, so **be explicit** about your **operating assumptions**

- Technology

- Videography has done for Cognitive Science what Telescope:Astronomy, Microscope:Chemistry!
 - Now we can review, analyze, the millisecond changes that our natural systems detect & use
 - BUT, what's outside the video frame? Angle of view matters! (e.g. bird's eye vs. baby's own)
 - Plus, privacy issues! e.g. Subjects need to be informed (sign waiver) - how does this impact?
- Machine Vision, Automated Audio Analysis
 - Computers, with human training, can now score audio/video, classify behavior, find patterns, etc.
 - Can provide huge savings in observer-hours; Tech can manage massive micro-databases
- Computational Modeling & Simulation
 - Test possible mechanisms, Demonstrate emergence; But be aware of programing assumptions!
 - Remember: Different mechanisms can produce same behavior, so simulation is NOT proof!

- Notes on the Cognitive Science of Doing Science

- Scientific practices generate a "Cascade of Inscriptions" (Latour, 1986)
 - i.e. Science cannot proceed without **Cognitive Artifacts** (Representations, and re-representations...)
- Science is a necessarily cultural process
 - Best done in teams; Conversation a valuable cognitive artifact in this process!
 - e.g. Score some data, discuss, adjust, repeat, to find boundaries "where traffic is low" (Bateson 1972)
 - Built "on the shoulders" of those who went before, uses established tools, req's exchange of info, etc
 - Science is cumulative – each study contributes its 2 cents, to eventually reveal patterns...