Lec 3: Cognitive Artifacts & Epistemic Practice

Kirsh (1995, 2006) suggests two types of practice make tasks easier:

- "Pragmatic Acts" change the world in a way that makes a task physically easier (reduce energetic costs)

- "Epistemic Acts" changing the world in a way that makes a task cognitively easier

- Actions that re-present the problem in such a way as to help make the solution more obvious

- These acts involve manipulating information such that perceptual or computational processes are easier

- Some informational problems involve Perception (see last lecture)

- Cognitive solutions often involve <u>reducing the degrees of freedom</u> = fewer options at each <u>choice</u> point - e.g. *TETRIS* - arcade game, manipulate block-objects ("Zoids") to fit them together, cover max area

- As Zoids appear, use Rotate, Translate, Drop-Into-Place, to position them optimally w/others - Experts especially will rotate Zoid **just to see** if it will fit better - an Epistemic Act

- That is, the cognitive task of determining a fit is easier when Zoid is oriented the way it will be used - "Epistemic" = "Of or relating to knowledge"

- e.g. "Epistemic Status" (Expert vs. Novice);

- e.g. "Epistemic Stance" (I act like I know, or don't know);

- e.g. "Epistemic Engine" (Diff between interlocutors' epistemic status drives conversation, e.g. Ask/Answer) Today's focus:

(More on these to come!)

- e.g. "Epistemic Advantage" (An environmental change simplifies a cognitive problem);

- e.g. "*Epistemic Action*" (Action that can provide an epistemic advantage);

- Altho note, sometimes the same act can have BOTH effects!

- e.g. Paths: Pragmatic advantages: Afford walking by eliminating obstacles

Epistemic advantages: Simplifies perception - makes where to walk salient

Costs & Benefits of Doing Cognition

What makes a problem "cognitively easier"?

- Especially when working "under pressure" e.g. Time constraints, Cognitive load, Unexpected opportunity, etc.,
- Problem can be arranged to improve: <u>Time</u> Quicker is better <u>- Effort</u> Less is better <u>- Errors</u> Fewer is better - So, any practices that can accomplish these are Epistemic Acts
- **Speed:** e.g. Mental rotation of TETRIS zoid takes 700-1500 msec; In game, rotation only takes 150 msec - So, in-game rotation not only makes problem easier, but is also more efficient
- Accuracy: e.g. Look up words in a dictionary; Use easy-to-recall mnemonics to help remember material
- Precision: e.g. Use ruler, measuring tape, with standardized markings for precise, conventional assessments of length
- Simplicity: e.g. Iteration of simple process easier than multi-step, variable-move, multi-factor process
 - e.g. "Automatic" processes easier than "deliberate" (effortful, planned, "conscious", etc)

- Cognitive <u>Artifacts</u> – Any object/practice (such as e.g.s above) used to provide Epistemic advantages

- Hutchins (2005): Cog Artifacts are "shared transformers of cognitive systems", reconfigure cognitive activity

- How you restructure (transform) a math problem alters how easy it is to solve

- Each is a cultural "crystallization of partial solutions to common problems" - such as calculator

- Include the Objects themselves - Structure constrains pertinent practices, via physical & cultural affordances

- And Practices - e.g. Tap number, then function, then next number; Plus learn, adapt to Google version, etc

- These include ephemeral artifacts like words, gestures, & other socio-cultural practices

- When any of the above are used to make meaning, we call them "Semiotic Resources"

- But many are "Material anchors" (Hutchins 2005): durable, constraining

- From simple tools, to images, to complex technology
- Their physical presence & features offer advantageous affordances
- Stable & Shareable
 - Writing, sketch, highlighting, etc. outlast verbalizing or imagining
 - Multiple agents can modify (e.g. preserving record of changes) for future agents' use
 - "Independent" (Kirsh 2009) of (possibly absent) creator's point of view
- Can be physically manipulated
 - Facilitates "Juxtapostion"; Alter proximity, configuration; A common problem solving strategy
 - e.g. Much easier to determine if puzzle pieces fit, if you can actually handle them

Task Dependent

Regardless of a tool's design, its epistemic value is always Task-Dependent

- e.g. Measuring tape typically affords accurate, consistent measurement
 - But it also affords being used a ribbon to wrap a gift of diet candy
 - This juxtaposition promotes a very different "meaning"!

So, ANYTHING can be a cognitive artifact – depends on how the thing is treated!

- e.g. This rock , integrated w/other semiotic resources: assigned names, pointed to, moved in space, etc
 - Note requires cultural practices deictics, naming, eye contact, etc to make rock's meaning intelligible
- e.g. How hold, manipulate hammer >> to pound, or to yank, or to measure spans of "about a foot"
 - Note, how hold hammer is a semiotic resource, provides iconicity to help ID activity

Cognitive Biases – Facilitate and constrain problem solving

- e.g. Use Space to simplify choice, organize task, mark out important items, etc. (see last lecture!)
- e.g. Transformations easier via vision than via visualization (e.g. Tetris); Recognition easier than recall
- e.g. Larger size salient, occupy more visual field e.g. Loom object for infant, Large advertisement or store display
- e.g. We are better at judging length than area, so putting items in a line better for est # than in pile
- e.g. We organize perception per <u>Gestalt</u> relations (Proximity, Similarity, Good Continuation, Common Fate)
- e.g. Iconic representations, that bear a resemblance to their referent, easier to interpret than arbitrary symbolic reps
- e.g. Functional Fixedness a common error previous configuration biases read against new affordances
 - Can help if dismantle previous configuration to "notice" new possibilities -
 - e.g Candle & Tack Box Problem: Attach a lit candle to a corkboard wall such that it does not drip
 - Minority who solved problem handled the box immediately before their "Aha!" moment

- Design

- Human <u>cultural practices</u> shape, and are shaped by, changes in their material (and social) environments - Norman (1994) - "Things That Make Us Smart"
 - Design of everyday things provides affordances for our engaging with them & using as them as cognitive resources - e.g. <u>Doorknobs</u>: right size, at right height, for grasping
- The best designs exploit our biases, and are sensitive to task demands (see Norman 1994 reading)
 - Iconicity e.g. Map of US pop easier to interpret if greater saturation (vs. arbitrary colors) = greater population e.g. Map that uses 3rd dimension to show "size" of population vs. distortion of sizes of states
 - What "works" also depends on demands of task
 - e.g. Matrix can be better than a list for searching for what to do next
 - e.g. Best mathematical symbols to use depends on which computational task
 - Tally marks To rapidly count & compare # objects; Simple cumulative (visual) hatch-object correspondence e.g. vs. Arabic numerals, where need to write new numeral for each increase, via recount or addition
 - Roman Numerals Easier to add than Arabic e.g. CVI (106) + CXVII (117) = CCXVVIII (223); no need for ZERO
 - Arabic numerals Easier for other calculations, such as arithmetic algorithms for multiplication & division
 - e.g. Effectiveness of a map can be greatly influenced by its orientation
 - Always easier if oriented from perceiver's current point of view

In this week's lab, you will DESIGN a SPACE

to provide Epistemic and Pragmatic advantages

for one of two different tasks. . .