Savanna chimpanzees use tools to harvest the underground storage organs of plants
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It has been hypothesized that plant underground storage organs (USOs) played key roles in the initial hominin colonization of savanna habitats, the development of the distinctive skull and tooth morphology of the genus Australopithecus, and the evolution of the genus Homo by serving as “fallback foods” exploited during periods of food shortage. These hypotheses have been tested mostly by morphological, isotopic, and microwear analyses of hominin bones and teeth. Archaeological evidence of USO digging technology is equivocal. Until now relevant data from studies of chimpanzees, useful in behavioral models of early hominins because of their phylogenetic proximity and anatomical similarities, have been lacking. Here we report on the first evidence of chimpanzees using tools to dig for USOs, suggesting that exploitation of such resources was within the cognitive and technological reach of the earliest hominins. Consistent with scenarios of hominin adaptation to savannas, these data come from Ugalla (Tanzania), one of the driest, most open and seasonal chimpanzee habitats. USOs are, however, exploited during the rainy season, well after the period of most likely food shortage, contradicting the specific prediction of fallback food hypotheses. The discovery that savanna chimpanzees use tools to obtain USOs contradicts yet another claim of human uniqueness and provides a model for the study of variables influencing USO use among early hominins.

Results

Eleven USO digging sites were discovered during a field study conducted by R.A.H.-A. at Ugalla from August 2001 to June 2003 (12). Sites were identified based on the coincidence of multiple holes in the ground and partially consumed USOs, and chimpanzees are linked to the production of these holes through multiple lines of indirect evidence: vocalizations, feces, knuckle-prints, and the expelled fibrous wedges of consumed USOs. Ten digging sites were found immediately below chimpanzee nests, and the 11th was only ~300 m from a repeatedly used nesting site. Finally, the diagnostic chimpanzee spoor were the only mammalian traces identified at the sites, strengthening the inference that chimpanzees were the sole excavators of the holes.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the Ugalla USO digging sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site area, m²</th>
<th>No. of holes</th>
<th>Maximum diameter, mm</th>
<th>Minimum diameter, mm</th>
<th>Depth, mm</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tool(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>226 ± 68 (90–350)</td>
<td>207 ± 59 (90–300)</td>
<td>108 ± 47 (50–210)</td>
<td>D. kilimandscharicus</td>
<td>IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>IS-S-001, IS-S-002, IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68 ± 5 (60–155)</td>
<td>66 ± 20 (45–90)</td>
<td>55 ± 37 (30–110)</td>
<td>T. leontopetaloides</td>
<td>IS-S-003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>280 ± 20 (60–155)</td>
<td>220 ± 20 (45–90)</td>
<td>180 ± 37 (30–110)</td>
<td>T. leontopetaloides</td>
<td>IS-S-003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>221 ± 107 (95–320)</td>
<td>171 ± 84 (65–260)</td>
<td>151 ± 62 (30–215)</td>
<td>Brachystegia bussei, Smilax sp.</td>
<td>IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>146 ± 41 (60–260)</td>
<td>115 ± 30 (60–200)</td>
<td>65 ± 29 (30–150)</td>
<td>Brachystegia bussei, Smilax sp.</td>
<td>IS-S-003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200 ± 82 (100–300)</td>
<td>154 ± 70 (50–250)</td>
<td>127 ± 76 (50–250)</td>
<td>B. bussei</td>
<td>IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>139 ± 52 (70–250)</td>
<td>110 ± 45 (50–230)</td>
<td>99 ± 53 (30–200)</td>
<td>B. bussei, Smilax sp.</td>
<td>IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>n/m</td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>IS-W-001, IS-W-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>277.5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97 ± 33 (40–180)</td>
<td>79 ± 27 (35–170)</td>
<td>81 ± 39 (30–190)</td>
<td>Fedogia quarrei</td>
<td>IS-S-001, IS-S-002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are ±5D with ranges in parentheses. n/a, not applicable; n/m, not measured.

*Minimum polygon area encompassing all holes identified at a site.

†Although B. bussei does not have USOs sensu stricto, its young roots are used to store nutrients. Only the roots of very young saplings (up to 20 cm high) of this tree species were dug up; these taproots resembled in shape small carrots.

‡See Fig. 2 for an illustrated key to tool specimen catalog numbers.

Table 2. Taxa and uses of USOs exploited by Ugalla chimpanzees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species*</th>
<th>Uses†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>B. bussei</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>D. kilimandscharicus</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>F. quarrei</td>
<td>cM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>R. welwitschi</td>
<td>cE, cM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilacaceae</td>
<td>Smilax sp.</td>
<td>cE, cM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taccaceae</td>
<td>T. leontopetaloides</td>
<td>E, M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E, edible and documented nutritional consumption; cE, congeners edible and documented nutritional consumption; M, documented medicinal ingestion; cM, documented medicinal ingestion of congener.

*One species was not identified. Ugalla chimpanzees also eat the USOs of Costus macranthus (Zingiberaceae), but it was not included in our analyses because its USOs are barely subsurficial and were simply plucked manually from the ground, without excavation of soils.

†There are no previous reported cases of ingestion or use by chimpanzees of USOs belonging to the genera excavated at Ugalla, but all have previously documented uses among humans as food or medicine (26–32), except for B. bussei, which was also found to be edible and of pleasant taste (R.A.H.-A. and J.M., unpublished observations).

One of the digging sites was thrice reused; each of the other sites was used only once. The number of holes per site ranged from one to 96 (n = 10 sites). The areas of sites containing more than one hole ranged from 6 to 300 m². Holes were measured at eight sites. Depths ranged from 30 to 250 mm, and site mean depths ranged from 55 mm (SD = 37) to 151 mm (SD = 62) (Table 1). Table 2 summarizes the taxa and uses of USOs excavated from these holes. Two species and the congeners of two others are consumed as food by humans; the remaining two species are of unknown edibility, but one of them and the congeners of the other have documented medicinal properties exploited by traditional peoples (references in Table 2). The medicinal use of plants by chimpanzees is known from other study sites (22), but more data are required to assess its specific application and significance at Ugalla.

All of the digging sites appeared in the rainy season (November to May). A 17.5-month phenological study in Ugalla revealed that more edible fruits and terrestrial herbaceous vegetation were available to chimpanzee consumers during the rainy season than during the dry season (12). Although interannual data need to be collected and assessed, a hypothesis of USOs as seasonal fallback foods for the Ugalla chimpanzees is inconsistent with current data. The overall low resource density (for chimpanzees) of savannas may favor exploitation of marginal or difficult-to-obtain resources whenever they are available, or similar behavior...
may not yet have been seen in forested habitats. Alternatively, the hardness of the Ugalla soils during the dry season might be a factor in preventing chimpanzee USO foraging then [Ugalla soils can be difficult to breach manually even in the rainy season (our personal observations)].

Three sites yielded seven digging tools, which can be grouped into three types (Fig. 2). Three are sticks. Only the larger, presumably stronger proximal end of each stick shows evidence of use. The broken, smaller distal end is devoid of any adhering sediment and appears to be unused in digging activities. Two sticks (IS-S-001 and IS-S-002) are deciduous twigs, each with an abscission layer forming a callus at its proximal end, from its terminal apex. The callus on each acted as a barrier against which sediment is banked, as did the bark edge on specimen IS-S-003. The encrusted sediment, visible with the naked eye, provides evidence of the use of the sticks as tools for digging. Microscopic analysis of the digging ends of the stick tools revealed neither working polish nor any nonmorphological striations or pits, suggesting a short working life for each piece. IS-W-001 and IS-W-002 are pieces of tree trunk, of driftwood-like appearance, removed from a decaying fallen log 50 cm from the closest hole at site 5. Their removal is evidenced by R.A.H.-A.’s being able to refit the pieces to the log in the field (Fig. 1). As with the stick specimens, each of the tree trunk pieces displays a differential distribution of adhering sediment, with it embedding showing a thick accumulation of sediment in a natural trough. (C) The bark tool specimens. The working end of each bark specimen is that portion that lacks macroscopically apparent layers of periderm in the edge-on view. For each specimen, angle and thickness measurements were taken at 1-cm intervals. Values on the figure represent means for the measured regions of each specimen. IS-B-001: weight = 33.6 g, length = 427 mm, maximum circumference = 62 mm. IS-W-002: weight = 44.0 g, length = 422 mm, maximum width = 80 mm (a small section of the working end is broken from the larger specimen and not pictured here). IS-B-002: weight = 23.7 g, length = 176 mm, maximum width = 77 mm.
ded along the length and width of a naturally formed longitudinal trough (~50 mm × ~20 mm (maximum) × ~3 mm (maximum)) on the inner surface of IS-W-002. IS-W-001 has thick sediment cover on the tip of its inner surface, partially in a naturally formed trough, for a length of ~10 mm. On its opposite surface a polish, supporting a single nonmorphological striation, has been developed, presumably from its use in digging. Two other specimens (IS-B-001 and IS-B-002), recovered from site 11, are long fragments of tree outer bark (rhizodrome). Their use as digging tools is inferred based on discernible variation in the thickness and angles of each piece around its full circumference. Each specimen possesses a single worn edge of greater thinness and of more acute angularity than its remainder (Fig. 2C). Other edges on each piece are defined by distinguishable individual layers of periderm, whereas the worn edges lack clearly separate layers. We interpret these disparities as moderate use-wear from digging. However, because the pieces are overall relatively thin, only a few layers of periderm thick at their thickest, the wear pattern seems to show evidence of minimal use by chimpanzees. The pieces are flimsy and would disintegrate under any force much greater than brief scraping of surface soil. Taken together, analyses of the digging tools reveal an expedient technology, probably limited largely to breaching the hard surface of compacted sediments. Chimpanzees then likely used their hands to enlarge the holes and extract the desired USOs, as evidenced by shallowness of the measured holes and emanating finger drag marks at some digging sites. [USO extraction holes created by chimpanzees using their hands at Tongo (3) were estimated at depths of 50 cm; however, the soil there was sandy and easy to dig.]

Discussion

Following our results, if the first use of digging tools by early hominins was to extract shallowly buried USOs, that technology might have consisted of relatively fragile organic implements, minimally modified (if at all) and unlikely to have been curated. Relatively expedient technology also characterizes bone tool assemblages from the *A. robustus* sites of Swartkrans and Drimolen, which consist mostly of small fragments of broken unguulate limb bones (20, 23). Hypothetically, these Early Pleistocene artifacts from South Africa were used by hominins to extract shallow-rooted USOs of the genera *Hypoxis* and *Scilla* (20). Relicts of experimental work shows USO digging with ungulate limb bone fragments for as little as ~30 min to result in diagnostic wear similar to that on the Swartkrans artifacts (Fig. 3). These examples contrast dramatically with the large wooden digging sticks used by many modern human foragers in Africa to extract deeply buried roots and tubers, such as *Vigna* spp. and *Vatavia* macrorychna exploited by the Hadza of northern Tanzania [Hadza digging stick mean length = 1,360 mm, mean weight = 583 g (24)]. The Ugalla results and those on the bone tools from South Africa suggest that the archaeological record is an unlikely source of evidence to test the hypothesis that the evolution of the robust jaws and dentition of Plio-Pleistocene *Australopithecus* resulted from an adaptive shift away from a “chimpanzee-like” reliance on herbaceous leaves and piths to USOs in fallback situations (7). It is more likely that data to test this hypothesis directly will be found in the microwear patterns and isotopic composition of early hominin teeth. However, the discovery that savanna chimpanzees use tools to obtain USOs shows that such consumption was within the grasp of chimpanzee-like hominins and provides a model for further study of variables (such as seasonality) influencing USO use among early hominins. The data on USO exploitation by Ugalla chimpanzees joins recent observations of dry habitat-adapted chimpanzees at Fongoli (Senegal) using wooden spears to capture galagos (*Galago senegalensis*) (25). These tool-assisted behavioral patterns—both considered to be relevant to the evolution of humans—are unique among chimpanzees and emphasize the importance of conserving and studying rare savanna populations.
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